

E.P. make use less in future (you may grow up)  
for either Egoistic Person  
or Egocentric Predicament or Position

NOTE:

ALFRED R. PULYAN  
R. F. D.  
SOUTH KENT, CONN.

Note ABCDE

Dear Dick,

Sept 23, 1960

References are to your letter.

- ① Wit & humor from an E.P. are not what they are from an A.P. ("awakened person" in future). The A.P. (& God!) has the outgoing & "sunny" fun which desires not to harm. Don't know what Freud said.

As a weapon the M ("Master") - I suppose one has to define the therapist & since he is temporarily 'in control' this term may do as in "Zen Master". About "elevation" I speak again under "Personality of the M." - well, the M uses any tool that will do the job including bitter irony, mordant sarcasm, violent antitheses, & so forth, to THROW INTO RELIEF points that could be overlooked.

The END amply justifies such means.

- ② Surely your logic is faulty? Or perhaps you do not have enough data? I have spent more money than you may ever see at one time in these houses & the property, ("land-poor") & have been able to retire to devote my life to this matter. It is difficult work. It was almost (or quite) a miracle that made this possible.

So! Do you think I wished to engage in an endless series of "wrangles" in which I am emotionally involved just for the fun of playing God or playing therapist or satisfying an ego-drive or sadistic impulses?

{ If I am an A.P. I do not get hooked by personality factors.  
If I am NOT an A.P. then you are wasting your time.

- ③ 50% of my analyses may be wrong, but part of our work is for you to say ~~say~~ so. So please take each one where I am "wrong" & explain why. We must appear to tangle or where is the "transmission"?

More briefly:

Suppose you wrote a letter of one sentence only:

"Alfred, you are 100% wrong". Whatever the truth

of this it would not establish much communication, would it?

(B) → Our link is only these squiggles on this paper!

Thus MORE verbiage please & keep it coming regularly.

over  
please