1674 High St. Benwood, N. Va., Oct. 17, 1960

Friend Pulyans

It is possible that I have too strong an aversion to the use of the word "God". From my early youth I built up a sort of anger with the pretender, who nouthed it too easily. I felt that the imporance of hungry students was often perpetuated by wind-bags with a facility for setting into the act. My fathering law is a minister who is for personal friend of "God's". He has learned todistinguish God's voice from the devil's. hey talk together like two churs in a pub. And I know another suy who claims he is Jenus Christ. Not too long ago he wrote me u letter saying now that he was not supe if he were God the son or God the father. So theere is an "open sesson" on God by atty squakers and confidence sen who would avoid gross sales tax or the postal regulations.

I have a deep respect for those who do not try to label. As the Jews perhaps with IHVH. I think if I actually did experience what I consider from his angle to be the sum total I would devise another symbol..... and while losing a little mentre-magic gain in meaning by differnitation from the God of the minister's vest-pocket, and the phallic gods of old.

You used a word which to a English speaking person (hristian origin) implies personality by omniscience; and omnipresence. And the essence of this entity (in reality the personalized total iggorance of mankind to date) has been valuationiously blue-printed by the summa theological of acquinas. And it still means nothing to me....except an answer erected by heat and hunger.

We have an added difficulty in our correspondence. I would have been more insistent upon definition at the early outset, but I had heard that Ren is full of shocks and gimmicks, and I waited a while to see if you were doing something for effect.

Many would rus to you when you use the word God, because it is a great door-opener....cepecially when they claim to know all about God. But these findamentalists are all madly chooping at each other with the sword that Grist left behind. On the other hand I think a gerainsky honest men would be inclined to avoid you when you make your first claim. And of course your sifting may be the aim...but what does it leave you.

Your letter is still evasive to a degree (although I am deeply grateful for the volume and later on your part. You speak of me not being fit to know of life after death until I know all about life. It is impossible to know life until one knows about death. And I think you know that. Still bleameral and polar.