

We only know a thing by that which it is not. There is no positive definition alone. We can say that Is-ness is Is-ness, but only a man speaking from essence would understand essence.

Do not think I am quibbling. You invited reasonable argument. I think all argument is a waste of time, reasonable or not. If it is necessary for "transmission" then I will try to put up a pretense of argument, and try to be reasonable even if it is painful. But now you hint that maybe we should discontinue this attempt to awaken me. I will leave it up to your superior wisdom, and will quit writing whenever you say, or when you quit writing.

Either we use reason or we do not. My hunches tell me that we will not get far with reason. But this is your idea. Mine would be to talk to you a few days and let the intuition add up something. Then I suggested this you replied that my self-invitation was "almost omnibull". I can tolerate that crack if it was meant to be an insult to irritate, but if it was meant sincerely.....then there is only one other way to communicate and that is writing words with written definitions, and it surely does not make a bit of difference how I look.

I would like to summarize my thinking or attitude in this way.... I think you have had an experience. Perhaps such as mentioned in Bucke's book on cosmic consciousness. Perhaps even greater. But how am I to know. My recognized position is that of a germ in a windstorm. I am too small to be terrorized by the wind-storm....besides I have learned that if the germ wants to learn anything or be anything fear will avail nothing. So you may as well know, I fear neither you nor the wind-storm. When you threaten to drop my case, you are counting on my fear of extinction....and my greed for crumb. (This is the old "drop-pigeon" game, --a hint of great surprises in minimal investments).

There is still another dimension. You keep maintaining the desire for "friendship". Now by the last definition "it is the adoration for "friendship". You cannot expect me to deny that. And transmission". You cannot expect me to deny that. And on the other hand, after the transmission, I presume there should be no doubt of my friendship for you and all men of like effort. (Evidently Christ failed to transmit to the apostles).

Until such time as I am fortunate with a little inadvertent friend-ship, the most I try to live up to is that known to other germs like myself. The most you can expect from me is human friend-ship, and it exists only in mutual reciprocality. You are really demanding when you expect a person to understand you though he want to obey every unexplained order without better understanding than a scribbled line germline.....while you (who should know all about all including me) have need of a picture.

I can do things without any hesitation for no reason at all.... if asked,----but am generally reluctant to be ordered about under the guise of a reasonable reason that is really not common-sense. I surmise with what my peanut brain considers common sense. I found this attitude necessary in dealing with certain cults