

March 3, 1961

Friend Al,

(As regards my quibbling or evaluation) You have forgotten that all you ask for is conversational fodder. I have been trying these months to find out what you want or want done and it boils down to --"Keep on writing and do not try to ask intelligent questions." What can ensue but drivel, and when the drivel comes there is no point in criticizing.

I am trying to follow the instructions. You said write, even if I recite "Mary had a little lamb." Do not take anything too seriously that I say.....never I would feel more uninhibited if you would answer some of my questions.

Naturally you agree that words and language are of some importance else we would not be using this medium of communication. And with these words we are constantly evaluating. Until we get in some peculiar rapport where words are superfluous.

In my diggings I find some least common denominators in or among the same and religious theory and implementation.

I asked you a few you did not answer. Is the logos, or the word of God, an audible sound? I am not asking this out of levity.

From your notes under krya yoga, I presume that you mean that man at death loses self-identity, or self-consciousness, or awareness. I still would like to know why you find it important to this knowledge of oblivion. Yes, I realize that there may be a subtle kind of consciousness that might possibly survive unconsciousness, but then it is immediately in the category of self-consciousness.

The "low tone" of our correspondence has been set by you. You have in fact attacked me for being polite. I have quotes from your letters I could refer to....but you are aware of them. I cannot deduce then that you are as "innocent as doves".

How do you know Lincoln discovered it?

If the student seeks the guru, how will he know him when he finds him?

Sincerely
Rusha