

I wonder if there is one scientist anywhere who is so foolish as to imagine that the ultimate nature of things & ourselves will ever be reached by physics & psychology respectively?

As a matter of fact "psychology" deals with everything BUT the nature of mind itself.

Facing this dilemma it is welcome news that there is a way to break through. The experience so obtained is personal & can be passed only to a few.

Yet "millions" of people follow the Buddha's remarks & call it a "religion". In the case of Christianity we call it "God". Then people say to me — oh, your "work" is "religious", it is to find "God".

I agree with them, to avoid semantics, but isn't it obvious that THIS PUTS THE CART BEFORE THE HORSE!!

The "experience" is primary & at this stage there are NO NAMES, NO DESCRIPTIONS.

If some idiots want to make something (a new religion!!) out of my remarks they can, but it will not mean a thing.

But I have drifted from psychotherapy to the question of awakening "work". So to another point.

GURU You are not "denied" any method of convincing yourself. But what "methods" are there? I never had any trouble recognizing the genuine — especially since you do not find it in a blue moon.

CONCEPTS "A new concept must either offer considerable data to indicate other concepts insufficient —"

What is this vague sort of talk? Poppycock?

All "concepts" are useless for our purpose.

Talk English & give examples!