

AN INTRODUCTION TO
TĀNTRIC BUDDHISM

By

SHASHI BHUSAN DASGUPTA, M.A., PH.D.,
Lecturer, Calcutta University



UNIVERSITY OF CALCUTTA
1950

Price Rs. 25.00 only

Printed in India

PUBLISHED BY SIBENDRANATH KANJILAL,
SUPERINTENDENT (OFFG.), CALCUTTA UNIVERSITY PRESS,
48, HAZRA ROAD, BALLYGUNGE, CALCUTTA AND
PRINTED AT THE MODERN ART PRESS,
1/2, DURGA PITURI LANE,
CALCUTTA.

To
The Revered and Beloved
Memory of
PROFESSOR BENIMADHAB BARUA

CONTENTS

	<i>Pages</i>
Preface	VII—X
Acknowledgments	XI
Abbreviations	XII

CHAPTER I

PRELIMINARY

(i) Mission of the Tantras in General and the Buddhist Tantras in Particular	1—4
(ii) The Salient Features of Mahāyāna as contrasted with Hīnayāna	4—16
(A) The Final Goal	7—9
(B) The Three Schools—Śrāvakayāna, Pratyekabuddhayāna and Bodhisattvayāna	9—10
(C) The Pāramitās	10—11
(D) The Docetic Conception of the Three Kāyas	12—15
(E) Predominance of Philosophical Thoughts	15—16
(iii) Philosophical systems	16—40
(A) Mādhyamika Philosophy of Nāgārjuna	18—28
(B) The Tathatā-vāda of Aśvaghōṣa	28—27
(C) Vijñāna-vāda or Yogācāra	27—85
(D) Affinity with Vedānta	85—40

CHAPTER II

UNSYSTEMATISED PHILOSOPHICAL FRAGMENTS FOUND IN THE BUDDHIST TANTRAS	41—59
---	-------

CHAPTER III

SCHOOLS OF TĀNTRIC BUDDHISM

(i) The Evolution of Mantrayāna	60—70
(ii) Vajrayāna—the most common name	70—85
(A) Kālacakrayāna, Nāthism, etc.	72—78
(B) General Characteristics of Vajrayāna	78—85

CHAPTER IV

THE THEOLOGICAL POSITION OF THE
TANTRIC BUDDHISTS

	<i>Pages</i>
(i) Vajra and Vajrasattva	86—98
(ii) Bodhicitta	98—125
(A) General Conception of Bodhicitta	98—100
(B) Śūnyatā and Karuṇā as Prajñā and Upāya	100—105
(C) Cosmological and Ontological Aspects of Prajñā and Upāya	106—118
(D) Prajñā and Upāya as Male and Female	118—118
(E) Prajñā and Upāya as Lalanā-Rasanā, Left and Right, Vowel and Consonants, etc.	118—125
(iii) Advaya (Non-duality) and Yuganaddha (Principle of Union)	125—184
(iv) Rāga (Affection) and Mahārāga (Great Affection)	185—188
(v) Samarasa	188—141
(vi) Mahāsukha (Supreme Bliss) as the Final Goal: Nirvāna and Mahāsukha	142—159
(A) Nirvāna as Positive State of Bliss	142—148
(B) Nirvāna as Mahāsukha in the Buddhist Tantras	148—151
(C) The Cosmological and Ontological Significance of Mahāsukha	151—156
(D) Mahāsukha in Relation to the Esoteric Practice	156—158
(E) The Transformed Idea of Bodhicitta in Relation to the Esoteric Yogic Practice	158—159

CHAPTER V

THE ELEMENT OF ESOTERIC YOGA 160—196

(i) Body—the Medium for Realising the Truth	161—174
(A) The Theory of the Plexus	161—169
(B) The Nerve System	169—174
(ii) Selection of the Preceptor	174—178
(iii) The Production of Bodhicitta and its Regulation	178—180
(iv) The Four Mudrās, Four Moments and the Four kinds of Bliss	190—196

CHAPTER VI

THE ARGUMENT OF THE TANTRIC BUDDHISTS
IN DEFENCE OF THEIR YOGA 197—217

Bibliography	219—224
Word Index	225—285

PREFACE

The present work embodies substantially the thesis submitted by the author, and approved, for the Premchand Roychand Studentship of the University of Calcutta in 1937. Due to the abnormal conditions prevailing in the Country during and after the World War II, the author could not arrange to have his work published earlier, it is being published after a lapse of twelve years—an Indian *Yuga*. Though very late, the author feels it a duty to bring before the reading public the fruits of his labour in the hope that they may be of some use and interest to those who find pleasure in making an academic study of a religious subject.

Whether Vedic or Non-Vedic in origin, Tāntricism, both Brahmanical and Buddhistic, represents a special aspect of the religious and cultural life of India. A thorough study of Tāntricism is, therefore, indispensable for a close acquaintance with the special quality of the Indian mind. For a long time it was customary to hold that Tāntricism is an off-shoot of Hinduism, or that it constitutes only a particular phase of Hindu Sādhanā ; but researches in later Buddhism have now brought home that, so far as the extant literature is concerned, the stock of Tāntric literature is richer and more varied in the domain of Buddhism than in that of Hinduism. Much more, it is hoped, may be recovered or reconstructed from the Tibetan and Chinese sources. Thanks to the scholarly endeavour of the Oriental Institute, Baroda, which has published a number of important Buddhist Tāntric texts and made them available to the scholar and the general reader.

Tāntricism, whether Hindu or Buddhistic, (and we shall presently see that they are fundamentally the same) has been the target of all sorts of criticism,

charitable and uncharitable, from scholars, both Oriental and Occidental. It has often been styled as a school of religious mysticism, where the word mysticism is taken, more often than not, as a loose synonym for puzzling obscurity. The present author has, however, tried to keep his mind open as far as practicable throughout the whole study. His interest has mainly been academic and cultural. He has studied a considerable number of texts, both published and unpublished, gathered information, analysed and classified them and has then tried to give a correct exposition on textual basis, avoiding personal observations and judgment as far as possible. There are many things in the practices of the Tāntrikas which are undoubtedly unconventional; the author has tried to exhibit them without offering any apology or advocacy. If errors have crept in, in the form of mis-statement or mis-interpretation, they are due mainly to the fact that ancient religious literature, embodying complicated practices and subtle realisation, may not be deciphered properly by "our modern spectacled eyes."

The inspiration of the author came from another source. It is known to all students of the Modern Indian Languages that the literature of the early period—particularly in Bengali—comprises a number of songs and Dohās, dealing with the tenets of the Tāntric Buddhists. To understand and appreciate the meaning of these songs and Dohās the Tāntric background must be clearly understood. The present study was an attempt towards that direction. This study brought to the notice of the author many new and interesting facts which led him to pursue his study further and the findings of further researches in this direction have been incorporated in his book, *Obscure Religious Cults as Background of Bengali Literature* (published in 1946 by the University of Calcutta).

As the number of published texts on Tāntric

Buddhism is very scanty, the author had to collect his materials mainly from unpublished manuscripts. As there is no possibility of many of these texts being published in the near future, the author has deemed it proper to quote copiously from these manuscripts to illustrate his points and to substantiate his generalisations. This, the author hopes, will give the reader a better opportunity for making his own judgment and also for testing the validity of the statements made and conclusions arrived at. Because of the obscure nature of the topics discussed the author had to re-introduce some of them in different contexts, which made some amount of repetition unavoidable.

A few words should be said about the manuscripts, most of which are preserved in the Royal Asiatic Society of Bengal, some in the Central Library, Boroda, some in the library of the Cambridge University, some in the Bibliothéque Nationale, Paris. Except the manuscripts preserved in the Royal Asiatic Society of Bengal, all the other manuscripts were available to the present writer in rotograph through the courtesy of Dr. S. N. Dasgupta, M.A., Ph.D. (Cal. & Cantab.), D. Lit. (Rome), the then Principal of the Sanskrit College, Calcutta. Apart from the fact that the manuscripts, scribed on palm-leaves, or indigenous hand-made paper in Newari (old Nepalese script), the texts are full of corruptions. Further, the texts were not composed in strictly correct and elegant Sanskrit. The metre is often defective; words are sometimes used without proper suffix; wrong forms are used in analogy; *sandhi* is not treated as essential; pseudo-Sanskritic words have crept in due probably to the influence of the Vernaculars. Because of all these the author has not thought it wise to tamper with the reading of the manuscripts in the form of corrections. Corrections have been made or suggested only in cases where the mistake or the corruption has been palpable. As for

transcription, the author has experienced some typographical difficulty and a few words had to be left unmarked or without proper marking.

The author acknowledges his indebtedness to his predecessors, who have worked in the field. The nature and extent of such indebtedness have always been indicated in foot-notes. Reference of manuscripts include the folio number and the serial number in the libraries or institutions where they are preserved.

THE AUTHOR.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author takes this opportunity of recording his deep sense of gratitude to Professor S. N. Dasgupta, the great Indian Philosopher, for the help, advice and encouragement the author received from him. Professor Dasgupta drew the attention of the writer to the subject of his study and procured for him many manuscripts from abroad. Some portions of this work were published in the journal *Indian Culture* in the form of separate articles ; for this the board of editors deserves thanks from the author. The author received help from Father Pierre Fallon, M.A., in preparing the press-copy of the manuscript. The author acknowledges his indebtedness to Professor K. N. Mitra, M.A., under whose affectionate care the research on the subject was carried on. The author thanks the Registrar (Offg.) of the Calcutta University, Sri Satishchandra Ghosh, M.A. and the Superintendent (Offg.) of Press, Sri Sibendranath Kanjilal, B.Sc., DIP., PRINT. (Manchester) for the interest they took in the printing and publication of the book.

ASUTOSH BUILDING,
January, 1950.

S. B. DASGUPTA.

ABBREVIATIONS

B. N.	... Bibliothèque Nationale, Paris
C. L. B.	... Central Library, Baroda
G. O. S.	... Gaekwad's Oriental Series
J. R. A. S.	... Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society
R. A. S. B.	... Royal Asiatic Society of Bengal

CHAPTER I

PRELIMINARY

(i) *Mission Of The Tantras In General And The Buddhist Tantras In Particular*

The primary concern of the Buddhist Tantras is not to establish a definite system of metaphysical thought. Just as the Hindu Tantras, taking for granted the fundamental tenets of the Darśanas, apply them to a practical effort of realisation, so the Buddhist Tantras, on the basis of the Mahāyāna principles, dictate practical methods for the realisation of the supreme goal. Ideas, current in other religious circles, are also incorporated. These Tantras are primarily concerned with the *Sādhanā* or the religious endeavour, but not with any system of abstract philosophy. The philosophical portions introduced here and there can neither successfully explain the various practices and rituals, nor are they always relevant to the topics with which the Tantras are generally concerned. The main object of the Tantra literature is to indicate and explain the practical method for realising the truth, and so, the abstract metaphysical speculations could never find any prominence in it. The different metaphysical systems deal with the nature of the reality and the philosophic method for its realisation ; whereas the Tantras lay stress on the esoteric methods for realising that reality. In short, the Tantra, whether Hindu or Buddhistic, has to be regarded as an independent religious literature, which utilised relevant philosophical doctrines, but whose origin may not be traced to any system or systems of philosophy ; it consists essentially of religious methods and practices which are current in India from a very old time. The subject-matter of the Tantras may include esoteric *yōga*, hymns, rites, rituals, doctrines and even law, medicine, magic and so forth.

Etymologically the word *Tantra* may be taken to mean any kind of elaboration (if derived from the root *tan*, to spread), or to mean knowledge (if derived from the root *tantri*).¹ Taking the first derivation, *Tantra* may be explained as that which spreads knowledge (*tanyate, vistāryate jñānam anena iti tantram*). There is thus a wider connotation of the word *Tantra* to mean any 'expanded' literature which deals elaborately with any department of study either in a theoretical or in a practical manner. Thus some systems of philosophy have often been referred to as *Tantras*, e.g., *Nyāya-tantrēṣu, Sāṁkhya-tantrēṣu, or Cikitsā-tantrēṣu*, and so on. But it has also a limited connotation inasmuch as the word *Tantra* means an esoteric literature of a religious and practical nature. It is difficult to say how the use of the word *Tantra* in this limited sense became so important that in common parlance the word seems to have acquired almost entirely this specialised sense. The treatment herein followed is limited to this specialised *Tantra* literature as a practical esoteric science.

Because of this practical nature of the *Tantras*, they have never been the subject for pure academic discussion. They have always been transmitted from the preceptor to the disciple in the most secret manner and it has always been held an unpardonable crime on the part of a *Sādhaka* to let the uninitiated into the secret of their *Sādhanā*.

A critical study of the nature of Tāntric Buddhism will reveal that there is no organic relation between Tāntricism and Buddhism of any form. It is not a fact that Buddhism, in the course of evolution in any of its aspects, developed within its arena the composite practices known as Tāntricism; on the other hand, Buddhism, in the later phases of Mahāyāna, seems to have *adopted* these practices, which were a growth of

¹ See an article *General Introduction to Tantra Philosophy* by Dr. S. N. Dasgupta in his *Philosophical Essays* (Calcutta University).

the soil and as such a common heritage both of the Hindus and the Buddhists. In short, Buddhism did not evolve them out of its own materials. We have said that as a religious science Tāntricism has its independent history ; its association with Buddhism may historically be explained with reference to the spirit of catholicity which characterises Mahāyāna Buddhism as a whole. It will be more correct to say that the Tāntric theological speculations that are found in the Buddhist Tantras represent the gradual transformation of later Mahāyānic ideas, effected through the association of the various Tāntric practices, than to say that the practices are there because of the theological speculations.

There seems to be no essential difference between Tāntricism within the province of Hinduism and that within the province of Buddhism. Apart from the multifarious accessories, to judge by the essentials, Tāntricism, both Hindu and Buddhist, lays stress upon a theological principle of duality in non-duality. Both the schools hold that the ultimate non-dual reality possesses two aspects in its fundamental nature,—the negative (*nivṛtti*) and the positive (*pravṛtti*), the static and the dynamic,—and these two aspects of the reality are represented in Hinduism by *Śiva* and *Śakti* and in Buddhism by *Prajñā* and *Upāya* (or *śūnyatā* and *karuṇā*). It has again been held in the Hindu Tantras that the metaphysical principles of *Śiva-Śakti* are manifested in this material world in the form of the male and the female ; Tāntric Buddhism also holds that the principles of *Prajñā* and *Upāya* are objectified in the female and the male. The ultimate goal of both the schools is the perfect state of union—union between the two aspects of the reality and the realisation of the non-dual nature of the self and the not-self. The principle of Tāntricism being fundamentally the same everywhere, the superficial differences, whatever these may be, supply only different tone and colour. While

the tone and colour of the Hindu Tantras are supplied by the philosophical and religious ideas and practices of the Hindus, those of the Buddhist Tantras are supplied by the ideas and practices of the Buddhists.

If we analyse the Buddhist Tantras we shall find three elements in them, *viz.*, (1) the unsystematised metaphysical fragments taken from the different schools of Buddhistic thought, particularly from Mahāyāna Buddhism and also from cognate Hindu thought ; (2) a Tāntric theology, which, though substantially the same as found in the Hindu Tantras, utilised relevant later Mahāyānic ideas ; (3) practices. Apart from the fundamental theological position, we find in the Hindu Tantras the ideas of Vedānta, Yoga, Sāṃkhya, Nyāya-vaīśeṣika, the Purāṇas and even of the medical sciences and the law books—all scattered here and there ; so also in the Buddhist Tantras we find fragments of metaphysical thought, which are all taken from the leading schools of Mahāyāna Buddhism as influenced by Upaniṣadic monism. Ideas are often put side by side indiscriminately without knowing their import and importance, and as a result we find Śūnya-vāda, Vijñāna-vāda and Vedānta all confusedly jumbled together. The leading tenets of early Buddhism also lie scattered here and there side by side with the Mahāyānic and Brahminic ideas and the other Indian systems like Sāṃkhya and Yoga also have been frequently introduced in a rather distorted form.

For all practical purposes, let us first of all try to take a general survey of the philosophical and theological background of the Buddhist Tantras and then the three elements, spoken above, will be taken into consideration in order.

(ii) *The Salient Features Of Mahāyāna As Contrasted With Hīnayāna*

Buddhism has been historically as well as philosophically divided into two great schools, *viz.*, Hīnayāna

and Mahāyāna. By Hīnayāna is generally meant the Pāli Buddhism of the earlier period and it is also popularly known as the Southern Buddhism and its followers are found in the Southern countries like Ceylon, Burma, Siam, Java, Sumatra, etc.; by Mahāyāna Buddhism on the other hand is meant the later Sanskrit Buddhism current in the Northern countries like China, Japan, Tibet, Nepal, etc.¹ The later Buddhists would style their school as the Mahāyāna or the 'great vehicle' in contrast to the narrow and orthodox school of the Buddhists of earlier times, whom they would designate as belonging to the Hīnayāna or the 'little vehicle'. In the *Mahāyāna-sūtrāṅkāra* of Asaṅga the Hīnayāna has been condemned as very narrow because of its five points of difference with the Mahāyāna. These are, firstly, the narrow aim of self-liberation and, secondly, the narrow teachings to realise that aim, thirdly, the narrow method applied for this realisation, fourthly, insufficiency of equipment and, fifthly, the shortness of time within which final liberation is guaranteed.² In fact, the Mahāyāna school is always characterised by a broadness of outlook, and deep sympathy for the suffering beings. But it may be observed in this connection that as on the one hand this freedom of thought, broadness of outlook and the spirit of liberalism saved Buddhism from the walls of narrow scholastic dogmatism and raised it from the selfish hankering after personal liberation to the sublimity of a religion for the suffering humanity, on the other hand, it contained also germs of indiscipline and revelry of wild thoughts which were responsible for the incorporation of all sorts of practices in Buddhism.

The word Mahāyāna, as we find it mentioned in

¹ Many Mahāyāna scholars of recent times, however, think that the Mahāyāna view is as old as the Hīnayāna.

² *āśayasyo' padeśasya prayogasya virodhataḥ |
upastambhasya kālasya yat hinam hīnam eva tat ||
Mahāyāna-sūtrāṅkāra. Ch. I, Verse 10.*

the *Awakening of faith in Mahāyāna (Mahāyāna-śraddho-tpāda-sūtra)* of Aśvaghōṣa,¹ meant the highest principle or reality, or the knowledge which is the primordial source of the universe as a whole ; and all the objects, animate and inanimate, are nothing but the manifestations of that one unchanging and immutable principle, and only through it final salvation of all beings is possible.² But historically Mahāyāna refers to the school of Buddhism which is styled by its adherents to be the great way to salvation because of the universality and generosity of its tenets. It is held traditionally that after the death of Buddha, there arose a great controversy among his disciples as to the correct interpretation of the sayings of the Master and also about the rules of discipline indispensable for a monk. Great councils were convened to settle these controversies. It is said that in the second council held in Vesāli the controversy finally ended in a split up among the Buddhists and the dissenters convened another great assembly (*Mahāsāṅgha*) to have a separate school of their own and they were known as the Mahāsāṅghikas. In this way, as time was passing on, the controversy between these radicalists and the orthodox elders (*thera*) began to be gradually accelerated and it finally resulted in the growth of the two separate schools ; the canonical tenets of the elders being styled as Hīnayāna, and that of the latter as Mahāyāna. Without entering into the details of the historical development of the Mahāyāna doctrine, it will be sufficient for our purpose here to draw an outline of the leading tenets of the Mahāyāna school and its points of controversy with Hīnayāna.

¹ Modern scholars are, however, loath to accept Aśvaghōṣa as the author of the work *Mahāyāna-śraddho-tpāda-sūtra*. There is again a great deal of controversy over the time of Aśvaghōṣa ; but Teitaro Suzuki says,—“ Suffice it to say that he lived at the time extending from the latter half of the first century before Christ to about 50 or 80 A.D.”

—*Awakening of Faith in Mahāyāna*, p. 17.

² *Ibid.*, pp. 58-54.

(A) THE FINAL GOAL

As for the final goal the Mahāyānists believe that every man—nay, every being of the world is a potential Buddha; he has within him all the possibilities of becoming a *Samyak-sambuddha*, i.e., the perfectly enlightened one. Consequently the idea of Arhathood of the Hīnayānists was replaced by the idea of Bodhisattvahood of the Mahāyānists. The general aim of the Hīnayānists was to attain Arhathood and thus through *nirvāṇa* or absolute extinction to be liberated from the cycle of birth and death. But this final extinction through *nirvāṇa* is not the ultimate goal of the Mahāyānists; their aim is to become a Bodhisattva. Here comes in the question of universal compassion (*mahā-karuṇā*) which is one of the cardinal principles of Mahāyāna. The Bodhisattva never accepts *nirvāṇa* though by meritorious and righteous deeds he becomes entitled to it. He deliberately postpones his own salvation until the whole world of suffering beings be saved. His life is pledged for the salvation of the world, he never cares for his own. Even after being entitled to final liberation the Bodhisattva works for the uplift of the whole world and of his own accord he is ready to wait for time eternal until every suffering creature of the world attains perfect knowledge and becomes a Buddha Himself. Ordinary people of little merit would always take refuge in the all-compassionate Bodhisattva. To pray for the compassion of the Bodhisattva was deemed as one of the best ways of being relieved of all suffering.¹ The grand example of Avalokiteśvara Bodhisattva's renunciation of *Nirvāṇa* in favour of suffering humanity, described in the *Kāraṇḍa-vyūha*, will inspire a feeling of sublime rever-

¹ Cf. *samanvāharantu mām buddhāḥ kṛpā-karuṇā-cetasāḥ |
ye ca daśa-diśi loke tiṣṭhanti dvīpado-ttamāḥ ||
yac ca me pātakam karma kṛtam pūrvam sudāruṇam |
tat sarvaṁ deśayisyāmi sthito daśa-balā-grataḥ ||* etc.

ence for all time to come.¹ The whole of Mahāyāna literature breathes this spirit of universal compassion, and all the metaphysical and religious discourses are introduced avowedly with the intention of rendering help to the afflicted in getting rid of their afflictions. In the text *Bodhi-caryā-vatāra* we find the Bodhisattva praying for the distressed:—"With clasped hands do I pray to all the perfectly enlightened ones in all the quarters,—light the lamp of religion for all that are fallen in sorrow for attachment. With clasping hands do I beseech all the self-controlled wise, who are bent on attaining the final extinction, to wait for innumerable ages,—let not this world be dark (without them). Let by all the good I have thus attained through these (righteous) performances all the sorrows of all the beings be completely pacified. . . . All my existence—all my happiness—all my good in the three worlds unconditionally do I renounce for the fulfilment of the desire of all beings. My mind is bent on *Nirvāṇa*, and everything has to be renounced for the sake of *Nirvāṇa*, but if I am to sacrifice everything let all be given to all things. . . . Let them sport with my body—let them laugh—and amuse; when the body is dedicated to them why should I take any more thought of it? Let them do any work they please to do with this body of mine; my only prayer is,—let not any evil come to them with reference to me. Let all that will

¹ "It is said that when Avalokiteśvara Bodhisattva, after obtaining *Nirvāṇa*, was about to merge himself in the eternal *sūnya* from the summit of the Sumeru mountain he heard an uproar from a very remote quarter and became remorseful. He sat there forthwith in intense meditation, and immediately realised that the uproar was nothing but the wailings of the people at the disappearance of Avalokiteśvara, the all-compassionate Bodhisattva. In their utter helplessness at the prospect of losing the support of Avalokiteśvara, who was their only saviour from their worldly miseries and sufferings, they rent the sky with their bitter wailings. Avalokiteśvara was deeply moved and when he came to know about this he resolved within himself not to accept his well-merited emancipation so long as even a single individual on earth remained unemancipated." *An Introduction to Buddhist Esoterism*—by Dr. B. Bhattacharya, p. 29.

“speak ill of me—that will do harm to me, that will laugh at me—be entitled to attain perfect knowledge.”

This feature of universal compassion was one of the most important factors that popularised Buddhism very much in the lands far and wide and gave the religion a deep humanitarian tone. It is by this emphasis on compassion and also on devotion that Mahāyāna Buddhism could very easily attract the sympathy and attention of millions of people and could also harmonise itself with the current religious trend of India.

(B) THE THREE SCHOOLS,—ŚRĀVAKA-YĀNA, PRATYEKA-BUDDHA-YĀNA AND BODHISATTVA-YĀNA

In connection with this question of universal compassion we may mention the general scheme of classifying the Buddhists into the Śrāvakas (*i.e.*, the hearers), the Pratyeka-Buddhas (*i.e.*, the individualistic Buddhas) and the Bodhisattvas (*i.e.*, those whose very essence is knowledge). The Śrāvakas are those who always listen to the preachings of the learned and try to follow them in their life. They try to understand the four noble truths (*ārya-satya*) and to attain *pari-nirvāṇa* through a right comprehension of them. They have mastery over the ten good actions, possess mental power (*citta*), but they have not the universal compassion (*mahā-karuṇā*) which might inspire them for the well-being of the suffering world. They are always busy with themselves and so are regarded as the lowest in the rank. The middle place is assigned to the Pratyeka-Buddhas. They are bent on self-control and generally lead a solitary retired life. They do not require the instructions of any teacher to guide them at every step. They can comprehend the cause and conditions (*hetu-pratyaya*) of things, and through a right comprehension of the nature of causality attain salvation for themselves. They too do not possess *karuṇā*

¹ Ch. III, Verses 4-6, 10-11, 18-15.
O. P. 105—2

and so are ranked below the Bodhisattvas. The Bodhisattvas are those who are more purified, have full control over their passions, have the right knowledge of all the expedients, have great resolution ; perfect enlightenment is their only support. Through their upward march through the ten stages (*daśa-bhūmi*) and through the constant practice of the *pāramitās* (the best virtues) they attain Buddhahood ; and they attain Buddhahood never for their own sake, but for saving the whole world. In them *mahā-karuṇā* has got the fullest scope and so they are the best of men.

(C) THE PĀRAMITĀS

In this connection we should also have a cursory glance at the Mahāyānic conception of the six *pāramitās* or the best moral virtues and the conception of the Bodhicitta or the mind as enlightenment, and the production of the Bodhicitta (*bodhi-citto-tpāda*). The *pāramitās* are the moral virtues through the practice of which the aspirer crosses the sea of existence and reaches the other shore.¹ These are charity (*dāna*), good conduct (*śīla*), forbearance (*kṣānti*), spiritual energy (*vīrya*), meditation (*dhyāna*) and knowledge (*prajñā*). After these virtues are acquired and the moral ground is prepared the aspirer is to produce a strong resolution in his heart for the realisation of his *citta* as perfect enlightenment. This is what is called the production of the Bodhicitta. The Bodhicitta proper involves within it two elements, *viz.*, perfect enlightenment of the nature of void (*śūnyatā*) and universal compassion for the beings (*karuṇā*) ; these *śūnyatā* and *karuṇā* combined together give rise to Bodhicitta. After its production, the Bodhicitta proceeds on in an upward march through ten different stages which are called the *bodhisattva-bhūmis* (i.e., the stages of the Bodhisattva). The first of these is the

¹ *Pāramitā* literally means that which takes one to the other shore.

stage of *Pramuditā* or the stage of delight or joy. Here the Bodhisattva rises from the cold, self-sufficing and nihilistic conception of *nirvāṇa* to a higher spiritual contemplation. The second is styled as the *Vimalā* or the stage free from all defilement. The third is the *Prabhākarī* or that which brightens; in this stage the Bodhisattva attains a clear insight—an intellectual light about the nature of the dharmas. The fourth stage is the *Arciṣmatī* or ‘full of flames’,—these flames are the flames of Bodhi which burn to ashes all the passions and ignorance. At this stage the Bodhisattva practises thirty-seven virtues called *bodhi-pāṅṣikas* which mature the *bodhi* to perfection. The next is the *Sudurjayā* stage or the stage which is almost invincible. This is a stage from which no evil passion or temptation can move the Bodhisattva. The sixth stage is called the *Abhimukhī*, where the Bodhisattva is almost face to face with *praññā* or the highest knowledge. The seventh is the *Duraṅgamā* which literally means ‘going far away’. In this stage the Bodhisattva attains the knowledge of the expedience which will help him in the attainment of salvation. Though he himself abides here by the principles of void and non-duality and desirelessness, yet his compassion for beings keeps him engaged in the activities for the well-being of all the creatures. The eighth is the stage of *Acalā*, which means ‘immovable’. The next is the *Sādhumati* or the ‘good will’; when the Bodhisattva reaches such a stage all the sentient beings are benefited by his attainment of the highest perfect knowledge. The tenth or the last is the stage of *Dharma-megha* (literally the ‘clouds of dharma’), where the Bodhisattva attains perfect knowledge, great compassion, love and sympathy for all the sentient beings. When this last stage of *Dharma-megha* is reached, the aspirer becomes a perfect Bodhisattva.¹

¹ For a detailed study of the subject see *Aspects of Mahāyāna Buddhism And Its Relation to Hīnayāna*, by Dr. N. Dutt, Ch. IV.

(D) THE DOCETIC CONCEPTION OF THE THREE KĀYAS

Another departure of the Mahāyānists from the Hīnayānists is in the docetic conception of the personality of Buddha. The Hīnayānists conceived Buddha only as a historical personage in the life and activities of Śākyamuni. But with the Mahāyānists Buddha is no particular historical man,—he is the ultimate principle as the totality of things or as the cosmic unity. But this highest principle has three aspects which are known as the three kāyas of the Buddha. These are,— (i) Dharma-kāya, (ii) Sambhoga-kāya and (iii) Nir māṇa-kāya. The word Dharma-kāya is often explained as the body of the laws (*dharma*); and it may also be remembered that Buddha is said to have told his disciples that his teachings should be recognised as his own immortal body. But the word *dharma* is generally used in the Mahāyāna texts in the sense of ‘entity’; and the Dharma-kāya means the ‘thatness’ (*tathatā-rūpa*) of all the entities; it is in other words the *dharma-dhātu* or the primordial element underlying all that exists. It has been also termed as the Svabhāva-kāya¹, i.e., the body of the ultimate nature. It is described as devoid of all characters, but possessing eternal and innumerable qualities. It is neither the mind, nor matter—nor something different from them both. The nature of the Dharma-kāya is described in the *Avatamsaka-sūtra*² in the following manner:— “The Dharmakāya, though manifesting itself in the triple world, is free from impurities and desires. It unfolds itself here, there, and everywhere responding to the call of karma. It is not an individual reality, it is not a false existence, but is universal and pure. It comes from nowhere, it goes to nowhere; it does not

¹ But we shall see later on that Svabhāva-kāya or Sahaja-kāya or Vajra-kāya was another *kāya* invented by the Vajrayānists and the Sahajiyās as the ultimate stage even after the Dharma-kāya. This stage has also been styled as the Mahāsukha-kāya.

² Quoted in Suzuki's *Outlines of Mahāyāna Buddhism*, pp. 228-24.

assert itself, nor is it subject to annihilation. It is for ever serene and eternal. It is the One, devoid of all determinations. This body of Dharma has no boundary, no quarters, but is embodied in all bodies. Its freedom or spontaneity is incomprehensible, its spiritual presence in things corporeal is incomprehensible. All forms of corporeality are involved therein, it is able to create all things. Assuming any concrete material body as required by the nature and condition of karma, it illuminates all creations. Though it is the treasure of intelligence, it is void of particularity. There is no place in the universe where this body does not prevail. The universe becomes, but this body for ever remains. It is free from all opposites and contraries, yet it is working in all things to lead them to Nirvāṇa.”

The Sambhoga-kāya is generally explained as the ‘body of bliss’ or the refulgent body of the Buddha. It is a very subtle body which manifests itself in the various conditions of bliss in the superhuman beings for preaching the noble truths and for arousing in the mind of all the Śrāvakas, Pratyeka-Buddhas and the lay Bodhisattvas joy, delight and love for the noble religion (*sad-dharma*). It has been explained in the *Śata-sāhasrikā* and the *Pañcaviṃśati-sāhasrikā* as “an exceedingly refulgent body, from every pore of which streamed forth countless brilliant rays of light, illuminating the *lokadhātus* as innumerable as the sands of the Ganges. When this body stretched out its tongue, innumerable rays of light issued forth from it, and on each ray of light was found a lotus of thousand petals on which was seated a Tathāgata-vigraha (an image of the Tathāgata, a sort of Nirmāṇa-kāya), preaching to Bodhisattvas, Grhasthas (householders), Pravrajitas (recluses) and others the dharma consisting of the six pāramitās.”

¹ See *Aspects Of Mahāyāna Buddhism And Its Relation To Hīnayāna* by Dr. N. Dutt, p. 118.

The *Nirmāṇa-kāya* is the historical personage of the Buddha or the 'Body of Transformation'. The historical Buddha is regarded as an incarnation of the eternal *Tathāgata* or the manifestation in condescension of the *Dharma-tathatā*. *Śākyasiṃha* Buddha is only one of the incarnations of the *Dharma-kāya* Buddha and his life and teachings are sought to be explained as the 'apparent doings of a phantom of the *Buddha-kāya*',—'a shadow image created to follow the ways of the world' only to convince the ignorant people of the world that it is not beyond the capacity of a man to attain perfection. It is generally taken that the human Buddhas (*Mānuṣī* Buddha) like *Dīpaṅkara*, *Kaśyapa*, *Gautama* Buddha, *Maitreya* and others represent the *Nirmāṇa-kāya*; the *Dhyānī* *Bodhisattvas* (*Vairocana*, *Akṣobhya* and others) in their body of supreme happiness represent the *Sambhoga-kāya* and the *Dhyānī* Buddha in *Nirvāṇa* in a state of complete union with all the truths for time eternal represents the *Dharma-kāya*.

This *Tri-kāya* theory of the *Mahāyānists* developed these cosmological and ontological significance only in course of its evolution. Before it developed these cosmological and ontological meanings, the theory as mere *Buddhalogy* would be explained in the following manner:—¹

The quintessence of Buddha is Pure Enlightenment (*bodhi*) or perfect Wisdom (*prajñā-pāramitā*), or knowledge of the Law (*dharma*), i.e., the absolute truth. By attaining this knowledge *nirvāṇa* is also attained; the *Dharma-kāya* Buddha is the Buddha in *nirvāṇa* (*Samādhi-kāya*). Again, before he is merged into *nirvāṇa* he possesses and enjoys, for his own sake and for others' welfare, the fruit of his charitable behaviour as a *Bodhisattva*, and this is the Body of Enjoyment or the Beatific Body (*Sambhoga-kāya*). Again, human

¹ See an article, *The Three Bodies of a Buddha* by Prof. La Vallée Poussin in the *J.R.A.S.*, 1906, pp. 945-46.

beings known as the Buddhas, who are created by the magical contrivances represent the Created Body (Nirmāṇa-kāya).

But after the Tri-kāya theory acquires an ontological and cosmological meaning, Dharma-kāya means the void and permanent reality underlying the things (*dharmā*), or, in other words, the uncharacterised pure consciousness (*viññapti-mātra*). Sambhoga-kāya means the Dharma-kāya evolved as Being, Bliss, Charity, Radiance, or the Intellect, individualised as the Bodhi-sattva. Nirmāṇa-kāya is the Transformation Body, which is the same as consciousness defiled and individualised as 'common people' (*prthag-jana*). Later on, this Buddhology, cosmology and ontology were all confusedly mixed up,—and we find the three Kāyas mentioned more often in their composite sense than either as pure Buddhology or as pure ontology.

(F) PREDOMINANCE OF PHILOSOPHICAL THOUGHTS

Another notable phase of Mahāyāna Buddhism is the exuberant growth of philosophical thought in it. The sayings of the Master were sought to be brought to their logical and metaphysical conclusions, and there developed distinct systems of philosophical thoughts with a host of staunch exponents. The most remarkable is the evolution of the import of the word *śūnyatā*. In the old canonical works the term *śūnyatā* probably implied the momentary or the transitory nature of all entities; but the Mādhyamikas built up a new system of uncompromising nihilism through a different interpretation of the word *śūnyatā* and the Viññānavādins again in their turn contradicted the Mādhyamikas offering another interpretation of the word.

But we should not lay undue stress on the divisions of schools or sects thus made. It has often been said that these divisions of the *yānas* are merely provisional; they may be regarded as being relative and as

having only methodological interest; the *Laṅkāvatāra* says, they (the *yānas*) are but different methods suitable to different persons; when the mind returns to its original abode, there is neither the path nor any one who adopts it.¹ Śrāvaka-yāna, Pratyeka-Buddha-yāna and Bodhisattva-yāna have often been said to be the different stages in the same school of faith,—a Śrāvaka by further endeavour becomes a Pratyeka-Buddha, and he again by further endeavour becomes a Bodhisattva and the Bodhisattva becomes the Buddha himself.

(iii) *Philosophical Systems*

Now, after taking this general survey of the main features of Mahāyāna Buddhism let us take a bird's-eye-view of the philosophical systems of Mahāyāna; and as the metaphysical fragments, found in the different Tāntric literature, are nothing but indistinct echoes of these schools of Mahāyāna philosophy, we think it proper to go into some detail of these systems of thought. The Buddhist Tantras are based more on the Yogācāra school than on the Śūnyavāda,—and the monistic tendency of the Yogācāra school has often been consciously and unconsciously drawn to pure Vedāntic thought. It is for this reason that we shall deal with the Yogācāra more elaborately and we shall also try to explain very briefly the philosophical affinity of Yogācāra with Vedānta.

¹ *citte tu vai parāvṛtte na yānaṃ na ca yāyinaḥ* ||
Laṅkāvatāra-sūtra. Lévi's Edition, p. 822.

Cf. also:—
parāvṛtte tu vai citte na yānaṃ nāpi yāyinaḥ ||
Advaya-vajra-saṃgraha, p. 22.

Cf. also:—
upāya-kauśalya mameva rūpaṃ
yat trīṇi yānāny upādarśayāmi |
ekam tu yānaṃ hi nayaś ca eka
ekā ceyam deśanā nāyakānām ||
Saddharma-puṇḍarīka, Ch. II, Verse 69.
 The Bibliotheca Buddhica Publication.

Hiuen Tsang writes that when he came to India in the seventh century A.D. there were four schools of Buddhism, *viz.*, Sautrāntika, Vaibhāṣika, Mādhyamika and Vijñānavāda or Yogācāra. The former two belonged to Hīnayāna and the latter two to Mahāyāna. The Vaibhāṣikas took their stand on the *Abhidharma* literature and did not accept the authority of the *Sūtras*. They believed in the reality of the extra-mental world and according to them our knowledge of the external world is an exact copy of it and as such it is direct and real. The Sautrāntikas based their views on the *Sūtra* literature; they were also realists but like the Vaibhāṣikas they did not base the notion of the external existence on the evidence of our direct perception; it is but an inference. The point of discussion regarding the status of external objects between the Yogācārins and the Sautrāntikas may briefly be stated thus: the Yogācārins contend that the object of awareness and awareness itself are one and the same, *i.e.*, the different contents of knowledge as yellow, blue etc. are but diverse forms or transformation of knowledge itself; the Sautrāntikas hold that it may be admitted that the diverse contents represent the diverse forms of knowledge, or rather the content may be regarded as in some sense identical with the knowledge, if there must be something outside knowledge by the operation of which the diversity of the forms of knowledge or its contents could be explained or inferred.¹ Though the early schools of Buddhism were thus in a sense all realists they did not believe in the substantiality or the permanence of the world,—everything being momentary and as such ultimately void (*śūnya*).

Mahāyāna Buddhism has been roughly classed under two heads, *viz.*, Śūnyavāda, and Vijñānavāda or

¹ *Śāstra-dīpikā* (1-1-5).

Yogācāra. The distinction between the two schools is not, however, fundamental, and very often the one verges into the other. Nāgārjuna (100 A.D.) was the chief exponent of Śūnyavāda with its uncompromising spirit of negation. Another earlier current was flowing on with a spirit of compromise with the Upaniṣadic doctrine of monism. We find trace of the latter in as early a Mahāyāna text as the *Laṅkāvatāra-sūtra*, we find it somewhat systematised in the Tathatā doctrine of Aśvaghōṣa¹ and it took a definite turn of uncompromising idealism in the hands of the Vijñānavādins like Maitreya, Asaṅga, Vasubhandhu and others; and we may add here that this trend of thought attained fulfilment in the Vedāntic monism of Śaṅkara. Let us first of all understand the standpoint of the Śūnyavādins and then we shall try to trace the development of the other currents of thought in some detail.

(A) MĀDHYAMIKA PHILOSOPHY OF NĀGĀRJUNA

The Mādhyamika-vṛtti of Nāgārjuna, commented upon by Candrakīrti, begins with the declaration that the Mādhyamikas have no thesis to prove, their business is to contradict any and every thesis that may be offered by any school of thought. First of all is taken the principle of 'dependent origination' (*pratītya-samutpāda*) realised and preached by Lord Buddha himself. The phrase *pratītya-samutpāda* has been interpreted in two different ways by former commentators; ² but after refuting both these views Candrakīrti holds that the real significance of the theory of

¹ It has been hinted before that modern scholars are not sure about the authorship of the work *Mahāyāna-śraddhotpāda-sūtra* where we find the Tathatāvāda expounded.

² It may either be explained as the origination (*samutpāda*) of some existence (*bhāva*) getting hold of or obtaining (*pratītya=pra + √ i + tya=getting*) some cause and conditions (*hetu-pratyaya*). Or it may be explained as the origination with reference to each and every destructible individual (*√ i = to go, to change, i.e., to be destroyed*).

pratītya-samutpāda is no law about the ultimately real nature of things; it is a mere law about the relation of inter-dependence among the illusory appearances as things; the law is concerned purely with the provisional reality (*samvṛti-satya*). These illusory appearances which constitute the realm of our experiences have their origin in a law of inter-relation of dependence which is responsible for the world-process as a whole. The real import of this law of relativity of the *Mādhyamikas* is indeed very difficult to understand. Ultimately there is no origination neither cessation;—no destructibility—no permanence; no reality—no unreality; no coming—no going; no subjectivity—no objectivity; no knowledge—no knowable—everything is free from all the disturbances of birth, decrepitude and death. There is neither real origination of the thing by its own nature, nor by others—nor by a combination of both,—nor by any un-reason;—there is origination nowhere—at no time—and of none.¹

Things cannot be self-originated; for, self-origination implies the existence of the thing before it originates itself. If a thing exists already by itself, there seems to be no satisfactory reason, why it should produce itself once more. Moreover, if the existent again require self-production, this will involve the fallacy of the vicious infinite.² The *Śāṃkhya* school,

But Candrakīrti dismisses both the interpretations as unsatisfactory. For, if we accept the latter interpretation, we cannot explain consistently all the passages of the scriptures where the phrase *pratītya-samutpāda* occurs. An attempt may be made to solve the difficulty by explaining the word 'getting' (*prāpti*) as *apekṣā* (dependence or relativity), and *pratītya-samutpāda* may simply be taken to imply,—that being there, it happens (*asmiṃ sati idam bhavati*); but here the exact meaning of each of the component parts, *viz.*, *pratītya* and *samutpāda*, remains unexplained.

¹ *na svato nāpi parato na dvābhyām nāpyahetutaḥ |
utpannā jātu vidyante bhāvāḥ kvacana kecana ||*

Mādhyamika-vṛtti. Lévi's Edition, p. 12.

² As the *Mādhyamikas* had no thesis to prove, they would never lay any stress on the importance of formal logic. It has been held

however, may say that by causality and the identity of the cause and the effect they never mean that the effect is a new manifestation in a particular form (e.g., a jar); but by causality is meant only potentiality. It is said in reply that their argument does not stand to reason; for if by causality is meant the mere potentiality then the effect is never produced at all.¹

The next consideration is about the possibility of the origination of a thing by, or from, anything else. But this position is assailed at once by the argument that the Mādhyamikas do not admit any difference in things, and so there cannot be any *parabhāva* (otherness) at all. Moreover, the quintessence of a particular thing cannot remain in the extraneous cause and conditions.² If the quintessence of anything could be found in anything else, we might have expected the possibility of darkness from the nature of light, and in that case anything might have come out of anything else. Neither can it be said that the things are produced by a combination of the self and the not-self; for, the combination of two things cannot possess the quality which they do never possess separately, and whatever absurdity and incongruity have been pointed out against them separately may as well be pointed out against their combination. Neither is it reasonable to hold that things originate without any reason,—for, if there be no reason behind origination, the conception of the world without having any sufficient reason will seem just like the colour and scent of a lotus growing in the sky.³ Neither any transcen-

by Candrakīrti that all the attempts of Bhāva-viveka (an earlier commentator on Nāgārjuna) to adduce formal reasons in his favour only bespeaks his own love of formal logic, but the Mādhyamikas do not require any formal logic at all. Moreover, had there been any reality in the data of our logical concepts, there might have been any question of formal logic at all, but as all realities are absolutely denied, there remains no scope and possibility of formal logic.

¹ *Mādhyamika-vṛtti*, p. 21.

² *Ibid.*, p. 86.

³ *Ibid.*, p. 88.

dental being like God and others can produce the things,—for, any such being must also be included within the alternatives that have already been discussed. Thus the final conclusion arrived at is that there is nothing like production or origination; the world process is a mere eternal flux of successional series. The references to causality found in the scriptures through the mouth of the Lord himself do not refer to the immutable void nature of objects which reveals itself when the darkness of ignorance is removed; on the contrary, it refers to the objects of knowledge of those whose vision is vitiated by the darkness of ignorance.¹ The scriptural texts must not be interpreted literally and rigorously, we must try to get at the hidden meaning aimed at by the master.

The emphasis of Nāgārjuna is not only on the non-causality of things, but also on the non-substantial nature of things. Nothing has got any nature (*svabhāva*); for, had it any nature, that nature would remain in it even in the absence of the cause and conditions (*hetu-pratyaya*), and that self-sufficient nature would no more require the help of any cause and condition for its production. Neither is it correct to say that the nature of a thing does not exist before its production through the collocation of cause and conditions; for, in that case the nature of a thing would be created by something else; but the nature of a thing no more remains in its own nature when it loses its independence,—for *svabhāva* implies independence.² It may, of course, be argued that if all the *dharmas* are without *svabhāva*, words which are included in the totality of *dharmas* must also be devoid of essence,—and so there cannot even be the proposition that nothing exists, or that everything is void by nature. The Mādhyamikas will reply,—‘Suppose that a fool wrongly perceives a mirage as water, and that you argue

¹ *Ibid.*, p. 41.

² *Ibid.*, Ch. XV, p. 260.

against that wrong perception. The fact (*viz.*, your arguing against the existence of water in the mirage) is just the same as that (*viz.*, our arguing against the essence of the *dharmas*)'.¹ But it may further be objected,—‘If there is neither the perception nor the perceived, and the perceiver also be non-existent, then there is neither the refutation, nor the refuted and the refuter also does not exist.’² The reply is,—‘Suppose that one man created by magic (prevents) another man created by magic or that one *Māyā-puruṣa* (prevents) another *Māyā-puruṣa* (from doing something). The relation (lit. the meaning) of the refutation and the refuted is just like this.’³

The *Sūnyatā*-doctrine of Nāgārjuna may seem incompatible with the doctrine of *nirvāṇa*. If everything be void and there be neither origination nor destruction, then by the destruction or arrest of what should we attain *nirvāṇa*?⁴ The reply of Nāgārjuna is that *nirvāṇa* is not something which is to be attained through the destruction or the arrest of anything whatsoever; it is but the complete cessation of all mental constructions.⁵ It has been described as the destruction of nothing,—the attainment of nothing,—it is neither annihilation, nor eternally existent;—it is neither the arrested, nor the produced—this is the definition of *nirvāṇa*.⁶ Nothing is existent,—nothing is non-existent; so the question of annihilation or suppression does not arise at all. It is not the negation of any existence,—it is but the cessation of all notions

¹ *Vigraha-vyāvartanī* of Nāgārjuna, translated from the Chinese and Tibetan Texts by Tucci (G.O.S., Vol. XLIX, Verse No. xiii).

² *Ibid.*, Verse No. xv.

³ *Ibid.*, Verse No. xxiii.

⁴ *Mādhyamika-vṛtti*, Ch. XXV, p. 519.

⁵ *Ibid.*, p. 522.

⁶ *aprahīnam asamprāptam anucchinnaṃ aśāśvatam |
aniruddham anutpannam etan nirvāṇam ucyate ||*

Ibid., p. 521.

of existence and non-existence.¹ All consciousness vanishes in *nirvāṇa* like a lamp extinguished. *Nirvāṇa* is no Ens, neither non-Ens, it is like a knot entwined by the empty space (*ākāśa*) and untied again by that same empty space.²

As we have said, the exact position of Nāgārjuna is very difficult to understand ; but it seems clear that his emphasis is more on negation, whereas the emphasis of the Vijñānavādins is on the existence of some transcendental absolute reality in the form of the 'thatness' (*tathatā*) of all entities or as pure consciousness. The *Laṅkāvatāra-sūtra* which is taken by scholars to be one of the early texts of Vijñānavāda says that *anutpāda* (uncreate) and *śūnya* (void) mean essencelessness of all that appear ; but the reality remains as mere consciousness (*citta-mātra-vyavasthānam*) transcending all duality (*dvaya-bhāva*) of subjectivity and objectivity.³

(B) THE TATHATĀ-VĀDA OF AŚVAGHOṢA

The 'Tathatā' doctrine of Aśvaghōṣa (? 80 A.D.) begins with a denial of the world of phenomena in both its subjective and objective aspects, but with an affirmation of an ultimate and absolute reality which is the uncreate, eternal and immutable cosmic principle underlying the diversity of the universe as a whole. Two aspects of this reality may be distinguished,—the aspect of pure 'thatness' or 'suchness' (*bhūta-tathatā*) and the aspect of the cycle of birth and death (*saṁsāra*),⁴ each being inseparably connected with the other.

¹ *bhāvā-bhāva-parāmarśa-kṣayo nirvāṇam ucyate* ||

Ratnāvalī, quoted in the *Mādhyamika-vṛtti*, p. 524.

² *ākāśena kṛto 'granthirākāśenaiva mocitaḥ* ||

Ibid., p. 540.

³ *Laṅkāvatāra-sūtra*, Ch. III.

⁴ Compare the *Sallakṣaṇa* and the *Svalakṣaṇa* of the *abhūta-parikalpa* in the *Madhyānta-vibhāga*. *Infra*.

The 'thatness' (*bhūta-tathatā*) is 'the oneness of the totality of things (*dharmadhātu*), the great all-including whole, the quintessence of the Doctrine'. In the essence of the 'thatness' there is nothing to be excluded, nothing to be added,—it has neither beginning nor end—it cannot be expressed by words and expressions which are but the representations of the empirical concepts,—its very nature is unspeakable and inexplicable,—it can only be indicated somehow as 'thatness'.

The external world, which appears under the particularised forms of individuation, is nothing but a creation of the mind with its inherited categories (*smṛti*), which are the mere products of ignorance. The production of the objective world through the disturbance of *smṛti*¹ in the all-conserving mind (*ālaya-vijñāna*) 'may be illustrated by the simile of the water and the waves. Here the water can be said to be identical (in one sense) with the waves. The waves are stirred up by the wind, but the water remains the same. When the wind ceases, the motion of the waves subsides; but the water remains the same. Likewise when the mind of all creatures, which in its own nature is pure and clear, is stirred up by the wind of ignorance (*avidyā*), the waves of mentality (*vijñāna*) make their appearance'.² So the external world, with all its variety and complexity, has no real existence and as such the fundamental nature of things is neither namable nor explicable. Things have no signs of distinction, they possess absolute sameness (*samatā*).

¹ What the word *Smṛti* signifies in this context is not exactly known. Suzuki takes it to be the 'confused subjectivity'. (See, *The Awakening of Faith in Mahāyāna Buddhism*, p. 56, f.n. No. 1); but Dr. S. N. Dasgupta suggests it to be used in the sense of *Vāsanā* (See, *A History of Indian Philosophy*, Vol. I, p. 180, f.n. No. 1). This word however also reminds us of the 'confused ideas' of Spinoza.

² *Aśvaghōṣa's Awakening of Faith*, Suzuki's translation, pp. 67-8.

They are subject neither to transformation, nor to destruction. They are nothing but the one soul, for which 'suchness' is another designation. But how can all beings conform to and have an insight into suchness? The answer is,—'As soon as you understand that when the totality of existence is spoken of, or thought of, there is neither that which speaks, nor that which is spoken of, there is neither that which thinks nor that which is thought of; then you conform to suchness; and when your subjectivity is thus completely obliterated, it is said to have the insight'.¹

This 'suchness' of things may be viewed under two aspects, negative and positive. On its negative side (*śūnyatā*) it asserts the complete negation of all the attributes of all things; in its metaphysical origin it has nothing to do with things defiled, which are conditional or relative by nature,—it is free from all signs of distinction existing among phenomenal objects,—it is independent of unreal, particularising consciousness. The suchness is 'neither that which is existence, nor that which is non-existence, nor that which is at once existence and non-existence, nor that which is not at once existence and non-existence; it is neither that which is unity, nor that which is plurality, nor that which is at once unity and plurality, nor that which is not at once unity and plurality. In a word, as suchness cannot be comprehended by the particularising consciousness of all beings, we call it the negation (*śūnyatā*).² The 'tathatā' is *śūnya* (void) for two reasons,—firstly, there is no content in it, it being the oneness of the totality of things; secondly, there is neither any subject to comprehend it; so that its nature involves the denial of both the subject and the object; there is neither that which is negated, nor that which negates—both being absorbed in the nature of the 'tathatā'.

¹ *Ibid.*, p. 58.

² *Ibid.*, pp. 59-60.

But this 'tathatā' may also be viewed as something positive (*aśūnyatā*) in the sense that it contains infinite merits, that it is self-existent; in it we perceive the pure soul manifesting itself as eternal, permanent, immutable and completely comprising all things that are pure. By the non-void-nature of the 'tathatā' should never be meant any sort of affirmation on it,—we can have only a glimpse of the truth by transcending our subjective categories.

As for *samsāra*, it evolves forth as the law of causation from the womb of the *tathāgata* (*tathāgata-garbha*). When the absolute reality assumes a relative aspect by its self-affirmation, it is called the all-conserving mind or the receptacle mind (*ālaya-vijñāna*). From the receptacle or all-conserving mind evolves through the influence of non-enlightenment an ego principle. 'In the all-conserving mind ignorance obtains; and from non-enlightenment starts that which sees, that which represents, that which apprehends an objective world, and that which constantly particularises.' Thus the phenomenal world, established only through ignorance and the root-instincts (*smṛti-vāsanā*) has no more reality than the images in a mirror—all modes of particularisation being the self-particularisation of the mind. But by the belief in the existence of the external world the mind becomes oblivious of the principle of sameness (*samatā*) that underlies all things. The quintessence of all things is one and the same, perfectly calm and tranquil, and shows no sign of becoming; ignorance, however, is in its blindness and delusion oblivious of enlightenment and on that account cannot recognise truthfully all those conditions, differences and activities which characterise the phenomena of the universe. The annihilation of ignorance is, therefore, the only way of liberation from the cycle of birth and death. But it should also be remembered that the mere eradication of ignorance is not sufficient to guarantee liberation, for, so long as

there will remain a mind, ignorance may recur at any time; so the total extinction of mind is the safest course for attaining eternal liberation.

(A) VIJÑĀNAVĀDA OR YOGĀCĀRA

After this 'Tathatā' doctrine of Aśvaghōṣa, the conception of the *Abhūta-parikalpa* as found in the *Madhyānta-vibhāga*, said to be originally expounded by Maitreya and commented upon by 'Vasubandhu and Sthiramati, gives a more positive and precise conception of the ultimate reality. It begins with the aphorism,—'The *Abhūta-parikalpa* exists, no duality exists in it; void exists in it and it also exists in the void.'¹ This aphorism is a challenge to both the extreme Realists (*i.e.*, the Sarvāsti-vādins) and to the extreme Nihilists (*i.e.*, the Mādhyamikas),² and the thesis of the *Abhūta-parikalpa* is something like a middle path between the two extremes. The word *Abhūta-parikalpa* literally means—that which is devoid of all 'constructs'—*i.e.*, the substratum where there is the mere possibility of all subjectivity and objectivity,—but in which the duality has no reality.³

The aphorism may be interpreted, in the first instance, as a refutation of the extreme sceptic view of the Mādhyamikas. They (*i.e.*, the Mādhyamikas)

¹ *abhūta-parikalpo'sti dvayaṃ tatra na vidyate |
sūnyatā vidyate tv atra tasyām api sa vidyate ||*

Madhyānta-vibhāga-ṭikā. Lévi's Edition, p. 10.

² An impartial scrutiny into the nature of the Sūnyatā of the Mādhyamikas reveals the fact that the Sūnyatā of the Mādhyamikas may not be taken in the extreme nihilistic sense in which it has been taken by the Vijñānavādins and the Vedāntins; but as the Vijñānavādins have always taken this sūnyatā of the Mādhyamikas in the extreme nihilistic sense, we shall also admit this interpretation only to understand the exact standpoint of the Vijñānavādins.

³ Compare here the definition of *Abhūta-parikalpa* as found in the *Mahāyāna-sūtrālaṅkāra* of Asaṅga,—

*abhūta-kalpo na bhūto nābhūto' kalpa eva ca |
na kalpo nāpi cākalpaḥ sarvaṃ jñeyam nirucyate ||*

Ch. XI, Verse 31. (Lévi's Edition).

hold that all the elements are non-essential or unreal (*niḥ-svabhāva*) like the pair of horns of the hare. Against them it is said that all the *dharma*s are not void (*śūnya*) in the sense that nothing exists at all, but in the sense that in the ultimate reality as *Abhūta-parikalpa* there is no duality of subjectivity and objectivity. *Śūnyatā* is the absence of the knower and the knowable (*grāhya-grāhaka-rahitatā*), but it never implies absolute negation (*nāstitva*). So *śūnyatā* exists in the *Abhūta-parikalpa* as the absence of the perceiver and the perceived. But even though this non-dual *śūnyatā* is already there in the *Abhūta-parikalpa*, we are not at once liberated because of the fact that in *śūnyatā*, which is of the nature of perfect purity, also exists the *Abhūta-parikalpa* with the dormant seeds of the subjective-objective world.

The same argument can also be directed against the extreme Realists who believe in the reality of the extra-mental objects (*dravya*). The objects do not exist as extra-mental realities, they are real in the form of the *Abhūta-parikalpa*, which is a mere transcendental existence (*bhāva-mātra*). We cannot think of any reality which is outside our mind, and our mentation arises without reference to any object as in the dream; our mind (*viññāna*) projects itself as an image of the objective reality (*arthā-bhāsa*) as the fruition (*vipāka*) of the seeds of the root-instincts (*vāsanā*). But it may be argued that if the objective world is totally denied, there remains nothing as the support of our purity (*viśuddhyālamhana*) and, therefore, there remains no possibility of liberation. The reply is that *śūnyatā* in the form of the absence of all subjectivity and objectivity remains there as the support of our final purification. But like the purity of the sky this *śūnyatā* also is not easily realisable because of its connection with the *Abhūta-parikalpa* which contains in it the ultimate seeds of the illusory mental and material world (*cf.* the *klesū-varaṇa* and the *jñeyā-varaṇa*).

Some are of opinion that both subjectivity and objectivity are absolutely chimerical as the possibility of the son of a barren woman. Others, on the other hand, think that the negation of the *dharmas* implies only the negation of a soul-substance to regulate the internal affairs (*antar-vyāpāra-puruṣa-rahitatā*), but the *dharmas* have their extra-mental reality. To repudiate the denial of the universal absolute on the one hand and to deny the substantiality of the external things on the other, it is said that in the *Abhūta-parikalpa* is contained the *śūnyatā* in the sense of the denial of all duality and the reality of the appearances.¹

The world of subjectivity and objectivity is being produced by our constructive imagination (*vikalpa*) just like the magically evoked phantom of beasts.² The word *Abhūta* implies that the images of things, as they are constructed by our imagination, do not exist in these very forms, and the word *parikalpa* implies that they have not the reality they are supposed to have.³ But though this phenomenal world of sub-

¹ From the moral standpoint the aphorism may be said to be introduced only to examine the double nature of the reality as impure, or phenomenal (*samkleśa*) and pure absolute (*vyavadāna*). The impure phenomenal reality is a merely illusory representation of the *Abhūta-parikalpa*,—which may, therefore, be said to be a transcendental illusion. But the *śūnyatā* exists in it as the absolute purifying force counter-acting the corrupting forces of impure illusion; but this perfect purity is to be sought from the impure illusory phenomenal reality itself,—there is no existential difference between the purity and the impurity;—and it is therefore said,—‘in her also exists it’. As the principle of perfect purity is there, the defiling principle of phenomenalisation is also there; and hence the necessity for moral efforts. *Madhyānta-vibhāga-ṭikā*. Lévi’s Edition, p. 18.

² Cf. *māyā-hasty-ākṛti-grāha-bhrānter dvayam udāhṛtam |
dvayam tatra yathā nā’sti dvayam caivo’palabhyate ||
Mahāyāna-sūtrālaṅkāra. Ch. XI, Verse 25.*

³ “*abhūta*”—*vacanena ca yathā’yam parikalpyate grāhya-grāha-
katvena tathā nā’sti’ti pradarsayati |*

“*parikalpa*”—*vacanena tu artho yathā parikalpyate tathā’rtho
na vidyate iti pradarsayati |*

jectivity and objectivity is illusory, the *Abhūta-parikalpa* is not also chimerical. As the rope is void (*śūnya*) in the form of the snake, but not as the rope, in the same way things are illusory and non-existent in their subjective and objective nature, but not also in the *Abhūta-parikalpa* nature. The correct description of *śūnyatā* is that the thing, which is devoid of something, exists, but the thing, of which it is devoid, does not exist. The nature of the *śūnyatā* is to be realised as both non-affirmation (*anadhyā-ropa*) as well as non-negation (*anapavāda*). It is non-affirmation in the sense of the denial of the duality and non-denial in the sense of the affirmation of the non-dual (*advaya*).

The objectless consciousness manifests itself as reflected awareness in the form of the object and the subject and that which sticks to them; in absence of this (the awareness) those (*i.e.*, the images) are also non-existent.¹ The *Abhūta-parikalpa* in its specific nature (*sva-lakṣaṇa*) is of the nature of awareness. All mentations arise out of the subliminal mind store, or the all-conserving mind under the influence of co-operating forces which bring their germs to maturity and the difference which is produced in the subliminal mind store in accordance with the influence of moral, immoral or non-moral deeds, is responsible for the manifold phenomenal individual existences in all the spheres of life. Although our consciousness does not contain a real plurality of different objects, it has the capacity of producing manifold ideas. Each is produced from its own germ in accordance with the law of our experiential series.²

The absolute nature of the *Abhūta-parikalpa*, however, cannot be known through the ordinary mind, for it involves the paradox of proving the fact of insanity

¹ *artha-sattvātma-vijñapti-pratibhāsam prajāyate |
vijñānam nā'sti cā'syā'rthas tad abhāvāt tad apyāsat ||*
Ibid., p. 16.

² *Ibid.*, Com., p. 19.

to the insane. Its nature can only be intuited by transcending all subjectivity and objectivity—and this transcending the duality is perfect extinction. The law of Karma is strictly observed here; and a future birth always results as the fruition of the activities of the former life which remain recorded in the form of *vāsanā* and *saṃskāra*. But a saint, who has intuited the absolute truth, is not affected thereby and is free from the projecting rebirth. The realisation of the *śūnyatā*-nature of things is the only way to perfect purity, and this reality realised in the *śūnyatā* is synonymous with the 'thatness' (*tathatā*) of things, the totality of things (*bhūta-koṭi*), the uncaused (*animitta*), the highest truth (*paramārthatā*) and the ultimate element of things (*dharma-dhātu*).

The *Abhūta-parikalpa* has often been described in the *Madhyānta-vibhāga-ṭīkā* as pure consciousness, but this nature of the ultimate reality as pure consciousness has not sufficiently been emphasised. This emphasis is to be found in the *Vijñapti-mātratā-siddhi* of Ācārya Vasubandhu, which begins with the proposition that all the three elements are at bottom pure consciousness—and all the phenomena are as much unreal as the illusion of hair and moons to a person with defective eyes. But how then to explain the spatio-temporal laws, the law of the experiential series, and the laws of duties? The reply is that all the laws are formed as in dream. For, even in dream we perceive something specific in some particular space and time without there being any real object of experience. The universality of experiential series is also to be taken as in the case of the ghosts (*pretas*); they all see in hell rivers full of pus though there is actually nothing there. The laws of duties are like the night-discharges which happen without there being any real activity.

The question here may be,—if all forms (*rūpa*) and fields of experiences (*āyatana*) be nothing but pure consciousness, how is it that the Lord himself spoke of

them? The reply is that the Lord spoke of them only to teach the lay disciples of low intellectual calibre—but in speaking of them he had an ultimate intention (*abhiprāya*). In reality the *rūpas* and *āyatanas* are nothing but the manifestation of the dormant seeds in consciousness (*viññapti*). These instructions of the Lord were ultimately intended for making the disciples realise the non-entity of the self (*pudgala-nairātmya*) and the non-entity also of the things (*dharma-nairātmya*). But by this non-entity is not meant any nihilism; non-entity is spoken only of the nature of things as the perceiver and the perceived (*grāhya-grāhaka*), but not of the nature of things as intuited by the perfectly enlightened ones.

As for our perceptions which are generally taken to be the best evidence for the existence and non-existence of things, it may be said that they are mental constructions as in the dream. In dream there is neither the real object nor the senses to perceive them, yet there is the perception; so also is the case with all our perceptions which we falsely take to be the direct copies of the thing itself. It may, however, be argued that our dream-perceptions presuppose the memory of the real perception; but the reply is that our memory itself, instead of being the representation of the perception of the real object, may as well be a mere modification of consciousness. Again, it may be objected, that if perception of our conscious-life be as false as that of our dreams, how is it that we ourselves are not conscious of this illusory nature of our perceptions in the same way as we ourselves are conscious of the falsity of our dream-perception. The reply is that as a man cannot be conscious of the falsity of his dream-experiences unless he awakes from his sleep, so also, people, who are engrossed in the sleep of false imaginations, habits and the mental complexes (*vāsanā*), cannot realise the illusory nature of their experiences unless they open their eyes in the flash of enlightenment.

Our ignorance is the ultimate support of our *vāsanās* and the *vāsanās* in their turn are responsible for the imagination of the subjective and the objective world, —and this subjectivity produces our moral hindrances through the veil of passions and attachment (*kleśā-varaṇa*), and objectivity produces the veil of the knowable (*jñeyā-varaṇa*) ; by tearing off this veil of passion we attain omniscience and by removing the veil of the knowable we attain liberation. The reality is both the absence of the notion of ego (*puḍgala-nairātmya*) and of the notion of all things (*dharmā-nairātmya*)—it is only pure consciousness (*viññapti-mātratā*).¹

The transformation of consciousness (*viññāna-pariṇāma*) has three stages, viz., (i) fruition (*vipāka*) of the root-instincts (*vāsanā*), (ii) mentation (*manana*) and (iii) objects of awareness (*viññapti-viṣaya*). Through the fruition of the inherent root-instincts there follow the waves of mentation which are responsible for the appearance of the objective world. The *Ālaya-viññāna*, from which follow all subjectivity and objectivity, is but a transformation of the eternal root-instincts which lie in the consciousness as dormant seeds. The *Ālaya-viññāna* literally means the abode or support (*ālaya*) of all mentation (*viññāna*). It has been explained both as the receptacle where everything is connected as effect, and as the *prima causa* in things.² But if besides the world of our active

¹ Some, of course, may hold that if there be no soul-substance as the ultimate reality, there cannot be any attribution (*upacāra*) of qualities. In reply the Viññānavādins argue that the view that the objective world is an attribution of the soul-substance cannot be accepted on any logical ground. Moreover, the quintessence of any such soul-substance can neither be known nor be spoken of; and we cannot establish the truth of any reality which is neither knowable nor speakable. What we know and speak of is the world of our constructive imagination which has its origin in pure consciousness.

² *atha vākyante upanibadhyante' smin sarva-dharmāḥ kārya-bhāvena |*

yad vā hyate upanibadhyate kāraṇa-bhāvena sarva-dharmeṣu ity ālayaḥ ||

Viññapti-mātratā-siddhi, com. on the *Trimsikā*.

Lévi's Edition, p. 76.

consciousness (*pravṛtti-vijñāna*) there be an all-conserving mind (*Ālaya-vijñāna*) it must have a form ; the reply is that the *Ālaya-vijñāna* is of the form of an illimitable support (*aparicchinnāmbanā-kāra*)—we only see it manifested as the internal categories and the external categories; but the nature of these categories cannot be determined. In the *Ālaya-vijñāna* there remain, in the form of the root-instincts (*vāsanā*), the potencies of both the ego with the internal categories and the external world of objects; and these potencies project themselves as the fruition of the root-instincts as subjectivity and objectivity in an inherent law of deep harmony. But why should we admit the reality of any such transcendental support of the subjective and objective world when we cannot determine the nature of their potencies in their original abode (*ālaya*)? Because, all schools of thought will agree that even when the subjective and objective world is not (as in the state of deep sleep), the existence of the consciousness cannot be denied. This *Ālaya-vijñāna* is no eternal and unchanging principle—it is like an unceasing flow of water which glides on and on through the evolution of cause and effect.

Thus it is clear that anything and everything that is imagined to be existent, does not exist by itself as any real entity ; everything is but the transformation of consciousness,¹ and it is the original seed of all, as it possesses the potency of producing them all.² All these imaginations, which are all conditional (*pratyayo-*

Cf. Also:—āliyante sarve sāravā dharmās tatra phala-bhāvena tac ca teṣu hetu-bhāvenety ālayaḥ |

Madhyānta-vibhāga-ṭīkā. Lévi's Edition, p. 82.

¹ *vijñāna-pariṇāmo'yaṁ vikalpo yad vikalpyate |
tena tan nā'sti tene'daṁ sarvaṁ vijñapti-mātrakaṁ ||*

Ibid., Verse 17.

² *tatra sarva-dharmo-tpādana-śakty-anugamāt sarva-bijam.*

Ibid., p. 86.

dbhava) are relative in nature (*paratantra-svabhāva*), in the absolute state (*pariniṣpatti*) there is neither the subject (*grāhaka*) nor the object (*grāhya*),—it is like the pure sky above. The nature of the *dharma*s may thus be described in three ways ; as the product of constructive imagination (*parikalpita*), as relative or conditional (*paratantra*) and as absolute (*pariniṣpanna*).¹ Now, *parikalpanū* being the product of imagination cannot constitute the real nature of things ; in *paratantra* things have only dependent nature, which cannot be real ; but in the absolute nature things are neither ens nor non-ens ; this absolute nature can only be somehow indicated as the ‘thatness’ (*tathatā*) of things, and this ‘thatness’ of things is nothing but pure consciousness. When our psychosis thus gets rid of subjectivity as well as objectivity and remains steady in pure consciousness, the highest knowledge is produced which is supra-mental, uncognisable and transcendental ; it is the involution of the *Alaya-vijñāna* through the eradication of the two veils (of passion and ignorance) ; that is the immutable element which is beyond the reach of all mentation ;—it is all-good, permanent, perfect bliss—of the form of liberation—it is the substance itself.²

(D) AFFINITY WITH VEDĀNTA

The metaphysical dialectics of the Śūnyavādin and the Vijñānavādin Buddhists prepared the ground for the monistic conception of the ultimate reality of the Vedāntins. The task of destroying the older doctrines was undertaken and very ably done by the Buddhists,

¹ Cf. also *Mahāyāna-sūtrāluṅkāra*.

Ch. XI, Verses 88-41.

² *acitto'nupalambho'sau jñānam loko-ttarañca tat |*
āśrayasya parāvṛttir dvidhā daustulya-hānitaḥ ||
sa evā'nāsravo dhātur acintyaḥ kuśalo dhruvaḥ |
sukho vimukti-kāyo'sau dharmākhyo'yaṁ mahāmuneḥ ||

Vijñapti-mātratā-siddhi, Verses 29-80.

but the work of building up the edifice of a constructive system was left for the Vedāntins to undertake. Nāgārjuna, as we have already seen, frankly confessed that he had no thesis to prove, his only business was to contradict others. The Vijñānavādins, however, were not uncompromising negativists, but their positive standpoint is also not very clear and firm ; it was left for Ācārya Śaṅkara to draw the logical conclusions from the data supplied by the Buddhists. The exact position of Nāgārjuna is rather difficult to understand. His opponents, *viz.*, the Vijñānavādins and the Vedāntins have always criticised his Śūnyavāda as pure nihilism ; but his *śūnyatā* also admits the interpretation of an absolute transcendental reality always escaping the grasp of intellectual comprehension and verbal exposition,—and in this way it may be said to have assimilated the Brahman of the Upaniṣads and anticipated the Brahman of the Vedāntins. The general attitude of Buddha and the Buddhists towards the ontological problem does not seem to be any clear-cut negation,—but a policy of silence ; and this attitude of silence towards the ontological problem is no freak in the evolution of Indian religious thought. The Upaniṣads and the Advaita Vedānta of Śaṅkara also took the same device of silence as to the nature of the realisation of the Brahman. The same attitude has often been taken by the European Sceptics and the Agnostics. As Professor Stcherbatsky puts it,—‘ In many systems, ancient and modern, eastern and western, the reality in itself, the pith of reality is declared to be something incognisable. It is, therefore, quite natural to find in the Sūtra literature, where the style of popular discourses is adopted, the device of impressing upon the audience the mystic character of the Absolute by silence. The Mahāyāna-sūtras do not tarry in characterising it as “unspeakable”, “undefinable”, etc.’¹

¹ *The Conception of Buddhist Nirvāṇa*, p. 22.

In the chapter on the nature of Nirvāṇa in the *Mādhyamika-vṛtti* of Nāgārjuna, we find the nature of things (*dharmas*) described as:—

*avāco'nakṣarāḥ sarva-śūnyāḥ śāntādinirmalāḥ.*¹

All the *dharmas* are unspeakable, unchanging, all-void, quiescent and pure. Nāgārjuna himself has elsewhere admitted that the reality is neither void nor non-void, but it is called void only with the purpose of indicating it somehow. It is not absolutely impossible to infer 'something' out of this 'nothing' of the Śūnyavādins. The Tathatāvāda of Aśvaghōṣa also admits the Tathatā-nature of things to be something substantial, permanent and unchanging, it is also something positive. The Yogācāra-school's conception of the reality as the *Abhūta-parikalpa* or as pure consciousness (*viññapti-mātra*) drives us very near to the Vedāntic conception of the ultimate reality as the *Nirguṇa* (qualitiless) Brahman who transcends all knowledge, knower and the knowable. It has always been vehemently argued by the Vijñānavādins that *śūnyatā* was never spoken of by the Lord as pure nothing; while it is the negation of all duality, it implies at the same time the reality of the *Abhūta-parikalpa*, which is pure consciousness—unchanging, unthinkable, all-good, eternal, all bliss, the ultimate element of the nature of salvation. Again in the docetic conception of the Tri-kāya in the Mahāyāna system the Dharma-kāya or the body of the cosmic unity, or the organised totality of things, though not as a purely philosophical concept, but as an object of religious consciousness, seems to be just the same as that of the idea of the *Nirguṇa Brahman* of the Upaniṣads.

So it seems that as time was passing on, Buddhist philosophy began to come more and more in contact with the Upaniṣadic literature and through its influence began to be more and more positive regarding the ontological problem,—and we are not quite sure if we

¹ *Mādhyamika-vṛtti*. Lévi's Edition, p. 589.

shall be far off from the truth if we assert that the Advaita-vedānta of Śaṅkara with its colourless Brahman contradicting all the empirical realities is in its turn the culmination of the evolution of the Upaniṣadic Buddhist thought. Professor Radhakrishnan has gone so far as to say that 'Buddhism is only a later phase of the general movement of thought of which the Upaniṣads were the earlier.'¹ He also quotes the authority of Professor Max Müller, who says that 'Many of the doctrines of the Upaniṣads are no doubt pure Buddhism, or rather Buddhism is on many points the consistent carrying out of the principle laid down in the Upaniṣads.'² We may further add to it that the revival of the Brahminic thought again in its turn had its stand on the systems of Buddhist thought. Gaudapāda flourished after the advent of all the great exponents of Buddhism and 'there is sufficient evidence in his *kārikā* for thinking that he was possibly himself a Buddhist, and he considered that the teachings of the Upaniṣads tallied with those of Buddha.'³ It has also been justly pointed out that at the beginning of the fourth chapter of his *kārikā* on the *Māṇḍūkya-paniṣad* Gaudapāda adores Buddha with much reverence.⁴

Gaudapāda has expounded all his views in a commentary on the *Māṇḍūkya-paniṣad*. He admits the ultimate reality to be a soul-reality, but this soul-reality (*ātman*) in its last or the highest stage is neither the internal cognitive processes, nor the external knowledge, nor is it the knowledge of the

¹ *Indian Philosophy*, Vol. I, p. 470.

² *Ibid.*, p. 470.

³ *A History of Indian Philosophy*—by Dr. S. N. Dasgupta, Vol. I, p. 428.

⁴ *jñānenā'kāśa-kalpena dharmān yo gagano-pamān |*
jñeyā-bhinnena sambuddhas taṁ bande dvīpadām varam ||
 Gaudapāda's Comm. on *Māṇḍūkya-paniṣat*.
 Anandāśrama-granthāvalī series,
 p. 146.

both ; neither is it awareness, nor the mere contentless consciousness ; it is neither conscious nor unconscious. It is unseen, unrelationable, ungraspable, indefinable, unthinkable, unspeakable, the essence as oneness with the self, the extinction of all phenomenalisation, the quiescent, the good, it is the one.¹ The omniscient wise in the final stage knows neither himself nor others,—he knows neither the real—nor the unreal,—he knows nothing at all.² The phenomenal world is like a creation in dream ; it never existed in the beginning,—it will never exist at the end,—it cannot exist in the present. All the unreals are seeming to be real. The world of differences, the plurality of the selves—all are as much unreal as the imagination of the rope as a snake in the dark night. In the deepest intuition all the differences of forms and selves vanish and what remains is one Brahman.

From an impartial examination of these general views of Gaudapāda we may say that his metaphysical position is not something essentially different from the standpoint of the Yogācārins.

What was out-lined by Gaudapāda in his Kārikā attained its full development in the hand of his worthy successor Ācārya Śaṅkara. Although in the course of his commentary on the *Brahma-sūtras*, he has often quarrelled with the Buddhists, yet we may say that the net result achieved is but a rehabilitation of the Upaniṣadic spirit in and through the metaphysical arguments of the different schools of Buddhism.

The literature of this period, breathes in general the same philosophical spirit as is found in the Vedānta and the Yogācāra Buddhism. In the *Yogavāsiṣṭha* we often find an echo of the Buddhist idealists in holding

¹ *nā'ntaḥ-prajñam na bahiḥ-prajñam no'bhayataḥ prajñam |
adṛṣṭam avyavahāryam agrāhyam alakṣaṇam acintyam—
avyapadeśyam ekātma-pratyaya-sāram prapañco-paśamaḥ
śāntam śivam advaitam ||*

Ibid., p. 40.

² *Ibid.*, p. 47, Verse 12.

the external world of diversity to be merely a construction of mind.¹ It has often been held in univocal language that the notion of the ego is purely an illusion, and the illusion of the world is but an evolution of the consciousness (*cid-vivarta*), and the original cause of this illusion and evolution is ignorance (*avidyā*) and the cessation of it is the real liberation. Neither the ego (*aham*) nor the non-ego (*anaham*) is real,—both of them are illusions based on our ignorance.²

¹ *Yogavāsistha*, Vol. I, Ch. 27, Verses 84-85.

² *Ibid.*, Vol. II. *Nirvāṇa-prakaraṇam*, *Uttarārddham*.
Ch. VI, p. 12.

CHAPTER II

Unsystematised Philosophical Fragments Found In The Buddhist Tantras

Fragments of Mahāyānic philosophical ideas lie scattered in the Buddhist Tantras sometimes as speculations on the nature of the truth and mainly in the context of the ceremonies and secret Yogic practices. In adopting Mahāyāna Buddhism and the cognate monistic thought the Buddhist Tantras show little power of assimilation and systematisation. The loose speculations show a marked mixture of ideas, and the concepts are freely used more often in their traditional vagueness than in their precise philosophical connotation. The study of these philosophical fragments has no value by itself, for, as we have said, they say nothing new. The really important and interesting study will be the study of the history of the transformation of these philosophical ideas into esoteric theology and doctrines and their association with the esoteric practices with which the Tantras in general abound. Nevertheless, we are giving below some specimen of philosophical discussions from some of the representative Buddhist Tantras just to give the reader an idea as to how they occur in these texts.

The *Tattva-ratnāvalī*¹ of Pandit Advayavajra (popularly known as Avadhūtipā) sub-divides the Yogācārins into (i) the *Sākāravādins* and (ii) the *Nirākāravādins*, and the Mādhyamika school also is sub-divided into (i) the *Māyo-pamā-dvaya-vāda* and (ii) *Sarva-dharmā-pratiṣṭhāna-vāda*. It affiliates both the Śrāvaka-yāna and the Pratyeka-Buddha-yāna to the Vaibhāṣika school ; the Sautrāntika, Yogācāra and the Mādhyamika schools are all said to belong to Mahā-

¹ Collected in the *Advaya-vajra-saṅgraha*, edited by MM. H. P. Śāstri. (G.O.S., No. XL.)

yāna. The Mahāyāna school has been further divided here into (i) the *Pāramitānaya* (including the Sautrāntika, Yogācāra and the Mādhyamika schools) and (ii) *Mantranaya*; the nature of the latter is left unexplained because of its profound and subtle character.¹ There is an attempt in the text at explaining the characteristic features of the sub-schools; but the whole account given here is extremely confused and the views of Śaṅkara and Bhāskara have been presented incidentally in a rather perverted and confused way.

In the *Tattva-prakāśa* (of the same author) we find a preference for the Mādhyamika thought to the Yogācāra. Though the Yogācārins hold the world to be as unreal as the perception of the locks of hair in space by a man with retinal defects, yet they speak of the reality of Vijñāna; but even in this theory of Vijñāna there remains scope for confusion. The Mādhyamika school, on the other hand, transcends all the four possible logical alternatives leaving no further scope for confusion.²

In the *Apratiṣṭhāna-prakāśa* (of the same author and collected in the *Advaya-vajra-saṅgraha*) also there is a preference for the Mādhyamika faith. It is said that consciousness cannot have existence for the past, present and the future,—and therefore the absolute essencelessness even of consciousness has been spoken of by the Lord.³ The origination of the *dharmas* is incomprehensible and therefore it is called *sūnyatā*. In the *Yuganaddha-prakāśa* (in the same collection) it has been said that anything that manifests itself should be known to be in its nature pure knowledge without any change or corruption,—for, in the ultimate nature everything is *sūnya* which is pure knowledge. As fire belongs neither to that against which anything is rubbed, nor to the churning rod, nor to the hands of the

¹ *Advaya-vajra-saṅgraha*, *Tattva-ratnāvahī*, p. 21.

² *Ibid.*, p. 46, Verse 7.

³ *Ibid.*, p. 48, Verse 6.

operator,—but it is produced with reference to all these factors, so also is the case with all origination.¹ Thus, because of this dependent origination, all the *dharmas* are non-essential and illusory like the magic. Because of the non-essential character there is the non-production of the *dharmas* and because of the cause and conditions there is also the non-destructibility of the *dharmas*; so there is neither existence nor non-existence,—existence and non-existence always appear in perfect union.² The same non-essential nature of the world has been spoken of in the *Mahāyāna-vimśikā*.³

The *Prajñopāya-viniścaya-siddhi* of Anaṅga-vajra begins like the *Madhyānta-vibhāga-ṭīka* with the assertion that all existence comes from the absolute wherein there is no imaginary construction of existence,⁴—and from this false mental construction come all the pangs of sufferings. False notions give rise to the cycle of birth and death which is at the bottom of all miseries. So long as there is this false mental construction none can do any good either to himself or to others. So, to attain perfect bliss either for the self or for the three worlds, the wise must first of all do away with this notion of existence. But the author warns that after destroying the notion of existence one should not go to the opposite extreme of adopting the nihilistic view. It is better to have the imagination of existence (*bhāva-kalpanā*) than that of non-existence (*abhāva-kalpanā*); for, the burning lamp can be extinguished; but if it be not burning at all how can it be extinguished?⁵ If there be the notion of *bhāva*, there remains the possibility of *nirvāṇa*,—but if there be no notion of *bhāva* or *saṃsāra*, how can there be *nirvāṇa* or final extinc-

¹ *Advaya-vajra-saṃgraha*, p. 49, Verses 2, 3.

² *Ibid.*, p. 49, Verse 7.

³ *Ibid.*, p. 54.

⁴ *Prajñopāya-viniścaya-siddhi*.

(G.O.S., Vol. No. XLIV), Ch. I, Verse 8.

⁵ *nirvāṇi jvalito dīpo nirvṛtaḥ kām gatiṃ vrajet*

Ibid., Ch. I, Verse 1.

tion? There is no possibility of annihilating the beginningless vacuity.¹ Here the contention of the author seems to be that our moral struggle for our final purification and perfection presupposes a world of objectivity and it is for this reason that for the final purification it is wiser to have even a false positive notion about the objective world than a purely negative notion which leaves no scope for morality. But we may mention here that this question did not escape the eyes of the so-called negativists, and Nāgārjuna in his *Mādhyamika-vṛtti* did answer the question definitely in his own way.²

It is, however, urged here that as the illusory notion of existence should be abandoned, so also should be abandoned the notion of non-existence. He, who, abandons the idea of both the real and the unreal, attains a state which is neither *samsāra* nor *nirvāṇa*, and this is pure knowledge (*prajñā*). In the chapter on the meditation on truth (*tattva-bhāvanā*) it has been said that he who realises the truth and meditates on it neither sinks deep in the unfathomable ocean of *samsāra*, nor does he remain in his narrow selfish *nirvāṇa*. In realising the truth one should meditate neither on the void (*śūnyatā*) nor on the non-void (*aśūnyatā*),—he should abandon neither the void nor the non-void. In the taking of either *śūnyatā* or *aśūnyatā* there are involved innumerable false constructions,—even in their abandonment there is the mental function of determination; so this taking up and giving up should both be avoided. When the conception of the egohood (*ahamityeṣa saṅkalpaḥ*) does not determine itself in the negative manner as non-void or in the positive manner as void it becomes bereft of all its basis of thought: the wise, therefore, without any attachment and desire, absolutely sinless, unruffled in

¹ *Ibid.*, Ch. I, Verse 10.

² *Vide*, *Mādhyamika-vṛtti*, p. 58. Lévi's Edition.

mind and freed from the constructive imagination of a beginning or an end, should consider himself as pure vacuity.¹

The exact nature of *samsāra* and *nirvāṇa* has very nicely been described in two verses at the end of this chapter. *Samsāra* is nothing but a condition of our mind (*citta*) which is overpowered with the darkness of innumerable mental constructions and which is as fleeting as the fickle flash of lightning in the storm, and which is besmeared with the almost ineffaceable stains of passions, etc. On the other hand, *nirvāṇa* is a stage of the same *citta*, which is effulgent, free from all constructions, from which all blemishes of passions etc. have been obliterated, where there is neither the perceiver nor the perceived.²

The Jñāna-siddhi³ also asserts that the ultimate thuth is neither positive nor negative; for, in the positive there is possibility of all the defects (*sarva-doṣa-prasaṅga*) and in the negative there is no way left for the relief of all sorrow.⁴ Pure knowledge is neither with any form (*sākāra*) nor is it formless (*nirākāra*). Had knowledge any form, it would have been *samskr̥ta*

¹ *Ibid.*, Ch. IV, Verses 7-8. Similar ideas are found in many of the Tantras all of which need not be quoted here extensively.

² *analpa-saṅkalpa-tamo'bhibhūtam*
prabhañjano-nmatta-tadic-calam ca |
rāgādi-durvāra-malā-vaḥiptam
cittam hi samsāram uvaca vajrī ||
prabhāsvaram kalpanayā vimuktam
prahina-rāgādi-mala-pralepaṁ |
grāhyam na ca grāhakam agrasttvam
tad eva nirvāṇa-varam jagāda ||

Ch. IV, Verses 22-28.

³ These two verses and many other verses of the preceding chapters of this text are also found in the chapter on *Prajño-pāya-bhāvanā* (second chapter of the second section) of the *Samputikā* or the *Samputo-dbhava-kalpa-rāja*. Vide MS. R.A.S.B., No. 4854; MS. pp. 14B, 15A, 15B.

⁴ *Jñānasiddhi* (published under the head of *Two Vajrayāna Works*) (G.O.S.), Ch. I, Verses 19-20.

⁴ *Ibid.*, Ch. XII, Verses 3-4.

(conditional and defiled) 'as all existence is.'¹ Had knowledge, on the other hand, been absolutely formless, there would have remained no possibility for becoming omniscient,—and without omniscience there would be no possibility of universal compassion.² A distinction is drawn here between ordinary knowledge (*jñāna*) and the knowledge of the highest truth (*tattva-jñāna*).³ The distinction is ultimately the same as that between *Prajñā* (the knowledge of the vacuity) and *Bodhicitta* (the combination of both *Prajñā* and *Karuṇā*). The former (*i.e.*, *jñāna*, *prajñā*) however is *nirvikalpa*, *i.e.*, free from all the false constructions; but the latter *tattva-jñāna* should never be taken as the complete cessation of consciousness (*niścittatā*); for in the *tattva-jñāna* there is the existence of universal compassion (*karuṇā-bhāva*).

In the *Śrīguhya-samāja-tantra*⁴ it has been said about the nature of the *dharmas* that the *dharmas* do not belong to the *kāmadhātu* (world of desire) nor to the *rūpadhātu* (material world) nor to the *arūpadhātu* (supernatural sphere of existence), neither do

¹ *Ibid.*, Ch. III.

² *Ibid.*, Ch. IV.

³ *Ibid.*, Ch. V.

⁴ This text is edited by Dr. B. Bhattacharya, M.A., Ph.D., and is published in the Gaekwad's Oriental Series (Vol. LIII); it is taken by the editor to be the earliest text on the Vajrayāna School of Buddhism and as such the most important of all the Vajrayāna texts. Prof. M. Winternitz in reviewing this book in the *Indian Historical Quarterly* (Vol. IX., No. 1) says that this text published in the Gaekwad's Oriental Series is not the same as the *Tathāgata-guhyasūtra* quoted and referred to in Śāntideva's *Śikṣā-samuccaya*. Prof. Winternitz is loath to believe (and he also adduces reason for his position) that the *Guhya-samāja* (the text in question) is written by as great a personality and philosopher as Asaṅga, and he is not also ready to believe that the text belongs to as early a period as the 3rd or the 4th century A.D. We have our sympathy with Prof. Winternitz so far as the time and authorship of the text is concerned; but nevertheless, we must add that in many of the Buddhist Tāntric texts we find reference to and quotations from this *Śrīguhya-samāja* (or simply *Śrīsamāja*) and these quotations most often (though not always) tally with the text edited by Dr. Bhattacharya.

they belong to the four great elements.¹ It has been said about the illusory nature of the *dharmas* that as the sky remains pervading all space, yet it is mixed up with nothing, neither is it unmixed ;—it is indescribable, invisible and cannot be proved in any way,—so is to be understood the nature of all the *dharmas*. This void-nature of the *dharmas* belongs to none of the three worlds (*kāma*, *rūpa* and *a-rūpa*),—and what is not in the three worlds cannot be produced and what cannot be produced cannot have any origination. Bodhicitta is said to be the producer of knowledge in all the Tathāgatas ; but this Bodhicitta remains neither in the body nor in the word nor in the mind ; and what is not in these three elements cannot be produced. The function of the three elements (*traī-dhātuka-kriyā*) is like the dream, resembling the dream and originated in the dream. Thus all the Tathāgatas, all the Buddhas of the ten quarters, all the Bodhisattvas and other beings—all are to be understood as in the dream.² Just as the well-known *cintāmaṇi* (wish-yielding) gem yields gold, silver and other riches as soon as one thinks of them, but these riches belong neither to the mind of the suitor, nor to the gem itself, yet they are produced ; same is the case with the origination of all the *dharmas*.³ The Tathāgatas asked the Lord Vajrapāṇi,—‘Where do remain all the *tathāgata dharmas* and whence are they originated?’ The reply of the Lord is,—‘They remain in the body, speech and mind of the Tathāgata and are produced therefrom.’ ‘But where does the body-word-mind remain?’ ‘In the void (*ākāśa*)’ replied the Lord. ‘But where does remain the void?’ ‘Nowhere’ is the emphatic reply.⁴

•Āryadeva in his *Citta-viśuddhi-prakarana*,⁵ echoes the views of Yogācāra and Vedānta when he says that

¹ *Ibid.*, Ch. IX, pp. 87-8.

² *Ibid.*, Ch. XV, pp. 109, 110.

³ *Ibid.*, p. 110; also see Ch. XVII.

⁴ *Ibid.*, pp. 111-112.

⁵ Verses 67-68.

as the perception of silver in the shell is effaced only when one perceives it to be nothing but the shell, so all our ignorance vanishes after the realisation of the void (*nairātmya-darśana*); as the perception of the snake is contradicted by the perception of the rope as rope, so also all perceptions of phenomenalisation are contradicted by *śūnyatā* or adamant knowledge (*vajra-jñāna*). The gem of mind is covered with the mud of beginningless thought-constructions: it shines forth when it is washed with the water of the knowledge of the void and the experience of universal compassion.¹

Padma-vajra in his *Guhya-siddhi* says that the wise should not think of existence;—but he should also shake off the idea of non-existence; in the conception of existence he has to admit the permanence of things; and the conception of non-existence involves extreme nihilism. The reality is free from both existence and non-existence—it is the supportless *Nirvāṇa* purged of all blemishes: it is beyond the comprehension of even all the Buddhas who are endowed with the knowledge of the void nature,—in it there is neither the goer nor the going nor the place to be traversed: there is neither the thinker, nor the thought, nor the thinkable; it is inexpressible,—indiscernible,—free from the taker and the taken; it is undefinable, stainless—it is exclaimed to be the *Nirvāṇa*.² Thus the ultimate non-essential nature of the *dharmas* is its *Nirvāṇa* nature and this

¹ *Ibid.*, Verse 117.

² *bhāvan na bhāvayed dhīmān abhāvaṃ dūratas tyajet |
ekasmin śāśvato grāhya itaratrā'pi śūnyatā ||*

* * * * *

*bhāvā-bhāva-vinirmuktam niṣpāditam anākūlam |
apratīṣṭhita-nirvāṇaṃ nirdhūtā-śeṣa-kalmaṣam ||
tan niṣsvabhāva-yuktānāṃ buddhānāṃ apy agocaram |
na tatra gantā gamanaṃ gamyate yatra vā kvacit ||
bhāvako bhāvanā-bhāvo nā'bhavaḥ (?) paramārthataḥ |
avācyaṃ anirūpyaṇi ca grāhya-grāhaka-varjitam ||
alaksyaṇaṃ virajāṇi ca nirvāṇaṃ tat pragiyate ||*

Guhya-siddhi, MS. (C.L.B. No. 8/24), pp. 12(B)—13(A).

nature is not purely nihil ; it is rather the ' thatness ' of the things,—the cosmic oneness ; it is the primordial source of all the *dharmas*, it is the seed of all entities. Its form is not known,—it is beyond the reach of speech,—it is beyond all sound, scent and taste,—it is beyond even the mind.¹ This ultimate truth is the knowledge, it is the pure *dharmadhātu* as free from all phenomenalisation.² As the non-dual principle of supreme good the truth is pervading all the objects—all the universe.³ It is neither one nor many, nor is it one and many at the same time ; it is waveless, unmanifested—all-pervading.⁴

As we have said, the philosophical fragments occur more often in connection with the rituals, ceremonies and esoteric yogic practices than independently. Meditation on the truth generally precedes all the ceremonies, rituals and yogic practices. All ceremonies and practices are absolutely useless until one attains a true perspective about the nature of all *dharmas* through meditation on the truth. In the *Sādhana-mālā*, which is a big collection of the *Sādhana* of various Tāntric Buddhist gods and goddesses with all the paraphernalia of rituals and ceremonies, the principles of both

¹ *Ibid.*, MS. p. 13(B).

² *sarva-prapañca-nirmuktaṃ rūpaṃ yat paramaṃ śivam |
dharmadhātu-svabhāvā-khyaṃ jñānaṃ tad iha kīrtitam ||*
Ibid., MS. p. 16(A).

³ *yat tattvaṃ sarva-bhūtāni(nām?) grāhya- grāhako-varjitaṃ |
vyāpayitvā sthitaṃ divyaṃ sarvagaṃ paramaṃ śivam ||*
Ibid., MS. p. 27(A).

⁴ *na tad ekaṃ na cā'nekam ekā-nekaṃ ca naiva tat |
nīstaraṅgaṃ nirābhāsaṃ saṃsthito sarvato-mukhaṃ ||*
Ibid., MS. p. 17(B).

In the same strain Kuddāla-pāda says in his *Acintyā-dvaya-kramo-padeśa* (also known as the *Bhadra-pāda-krama* according to the name of Bhadra-pāda, the preceptor of Kuddāla-pāda, MS. C.L.B., No. 18124) that meditative contemplation is nothing but viewing all things as ' unconstruct '. That which has neither any nature, nor any origination, nor any destruction, is the non-dual truth—it is perfect knowledge. Thought-construction is the outward world,—unconstruct is the adamant truth of the nature of supreme bliss.

Śūnyavāda and *Vijñānavāda* are mentioned cursorily in connection with the various parts of the *sādhana*.¹

In the *Vajra-tārā-sādhanam* it is prescribed that one should ponder over the nature of the *dharmas*, whose ultimate nature is the *citta*; the *citta* itself takes the illusory appearances of the varieties of the *dharmas*. As in dream there is no knowable outside the mind, and yet the mind perceives things,—so also is the case with the life-experiences. So, all the *dharmas* are made up of the substance of consciousness, and the absolute negation of the perceiver and the perceived is the ultimate reality.² With this firm conviction the *Sādhaka* should do away with the illusory appearances of things and perceive their ultimate nature as infinite non-dual pure consciousness, which is bright as pure crystal,—or the cloudless noon-day sky of the autumn. This is the transcendental knowledge of the void,—free from phenomenalisation and all thought-constructions.³

✓ The Buddhist Tantras abound with *mantras*; and these *mantras* are often nothing but some cardinal truths representing Mahāyānic faith and philosophy. These *mantras* are to be chanted in the rites, ceremonies, meditations and also in connection with various Yogic practices.

¹ See *Sādhana-mālā* (G.O.S. No. XXVI), Vol. I, pp. 78, 98-94 and 146; *Ibid.*, Vol. II, p. 398.

² *Ibid.*, p. 225.

³ *Ibid.*, p. 226.

This doctrine of *Vijñānavāda* is also found in the *Khasarpaṇa-sādhanam* (p. 89), *Eka-jatā-sādhanam* (p. 254) and here and there in some other places also. In the *Śaḍakṣari-lokeśvara-sādhanam* we find that the three elements should be realised as of the form as well as of the nature of magic illusion; all our visual and tactual perceptions are in the dream, as it were. (*Ibid.*, p. 29; also pp. 105, 271). In another place it has been advised to think of the whole world as a magic—as a mirage—as a moon reflected in water. (*Ibid.*, p. 188). All existence is to be thought of as void; for, there cannot be any definition of its nature; and as we cannot find out sufficient reason behind all existence it should be thought of as uncaused; the nature of existence always escapes intellectual comprehension. (*Ibid.*, p. 170).

In the *Pañca-karma* of Nāgārjuna-pāda we find four gradations in the Śūnyatā doctrine. The first is Śūnya, the second Ati-śūnya, the third Mahā-śūnya, and the fourth or the final is the Sarva-śūnya,—and these are all different according to their cause and effect.¹ The first stage Śūnya has been explained as light (*āloka*)²; it is knowledge (*prajñā*), and the mind remains active in it,—it is relative (*paratantra*) by nature.³ In this stage mind has got as many as thirty-three impure states (*doṣa*) associated with it. These are sorrow, fear, hunger, thirst, feeling (*vedanā*), sympathy (*sama-vedanā*), self-analysis (*pratyavekṣā*), kindness (*kāruṇya*), affectionateness (*sneha-tantraka*), fickleness (*cakita*), doubt (*saṁśaya*), jealousy (*māt-sarya*), etc.⁴ This mental stage Śūnya has been called the woman (*strī*), and it has been said that of all illusions the illusion of the woman is the greatest.⁵ It is also called the left (*vāma*) and the lotus in the lunar circle; it is said to be the first vowel to indicate its adamant nature.⁶

The second stage, viz., Ati-śūnya is said to be the manifestation of light (*ālokābhāsa*), it shines like moon-rays and it proceeds from the former (*āloka-jñāna*), and while Śūnya is said to be Prajñā, Ati-śūnya is said to be the Upāya, or the means. It is also said

¹ śūnyaṅ ca atīśūnyaṅ ca mahāśūnyaṁ tṛtīyakam |
caturthaṁ sarva-śūnyaṅ ca phala-hetu-prabhedataḥ ||
Pañca-krama. MS. (B.N. No. 65) p. 20(A).

² Cf. *prajño-tpanna ālokaḥ prādurbhūtaḥ* |
Lalita-vistara (Ed. by Dr. S. Lefmam), pp. 417-18.

³ ā('a' sic.)lokaṁ śūnyaṁ prajñā ca cittaṁ ca paratantrakam |
MS. p. 20.

In the commentary also *śūnya-prajñā* is explained as light—
śūnya-prajñā āloka iti yāvat. MS. (B.N. No. 66) p. 43(B).

⁴ *Ibid.*, MS. p. 20(B).

⁵ *strī-saṁjñā ca tathā proktā mandā-kāras tathaiiva ca* |
Ibid., MS. p. 20(B).

Also *sarveṣāṁ māyānām strī-māyaiva viśiṣyate* |
Ibid., MS. p. 21(A).

⁶ *vāma-saṁjñā (m, sic.) punaś caiva candra-maṇḍala-paṅkajam* |
dṛḍhīkaraṇa-hetuvāt sa binduḥ pratha(mah) svarah ||
Ibid., MS. p. 20(B).

to be of the nature of constructive imagination (*parikalpita*) and it belongs to the mind as its (mind's) states (*caitasika*).¹ It is also said to be the right (*dakṣiṇa*), the solar circle (*sūrya-maṇḍala*) and the thunderbolt (*vajra*).² There are forty momentary mental states of defilement, associated with it.³ These are passion, contentment, joy, pleasure, wonder, patience, valour, pride, energy, courage, greed, shamelessness, cunning, wickedness, crookedness, etc.⁴ The third stage, *viz.*, Mahā-sūnya proceeds from the union of Prajñā and Upāya or *āloka* and *ālokābhāsa*, or Sūnya and Ati-sūnya, and it is called the intuition of light (*ālokopalabdhī*) and is of the absolute nature (*pariniṣpanna*),—yet it is called ignorance (*avidyā*).⁵ It has also been said to be the *Svādhiṣṭhāna-citta*.⁶ There are seven impure mental states of defilement (*prakṛti-doṣa*) associated with it,—these are forgetfulness (*vismṛti*), illusion (*bhrānti*), stupor, laziness, etc. Thus *āloka*, *ālokābhāsa* and *āloko-palabdhī*—these are the three stages of the *citta* and from them there follow the principles of impurities which number hundred and sixty in all (eighty in total, but doubled in day and night), and they function throughout the whole day and night with the flow of the vital wind (*vāyu*)

¹ *niśākarā-mṅsu-sankāsa āloka-jñāna-sambhavaḥ |
ālokā-bhāsam ity uktam atisūnyam upāyakam ||
parikalpitaṁ tathā proktaṁ proktaṁ caitasikaṁ tathā |
Ibid., MS. p. 20(B).*

² *Ibid., MS. p. 21(A).*

³ *catvāriṁśat prakṛtayaḥ kṣanikās cā'tisūnyatāḥ |
Ibid., MS. p. 21(A).*

⁴ *Ibid., MS. p. 21(A).*

⁵ *ālokasyo'palabdhīś ca upalabdhāṁ tathaiiva ca |
pariniṣpannakañ caiva avidyā caiva nāmataḥ ||
Ibid., MS. p. 21(A).*

⁶ See commentary on Songs Nos. 12 and 44.

Bauddha-gāna-o-dohā, edited by MM. H. P. Śāstri,
pp. 28 and 68.

*Cf. also:—svasyā'dhiṣṭhānaṁ svādhiṣṭhānam / prajño-pāyā-tmaka-
vijñāno-nmilanam ity arthaḥ |*

Pañcakrama-ṭippanī. MS. p. 46(B).

which has been said to be the vehicle (*vāhana*) through which these impurities of nature (*prakṛti-doṣa*) function.¹ It has been said, wherever there is the (function of the) bio-motor force or the vital wind, nature (*prakṛti*) with all her impurities is also brought along with it ; and so long as there is the function of this bio-motor force or the vital wind, the principles of impurity will not cease to function.² As the function of this vital wind goes on day and night, the principles of impurities also function always. In the Śūnya stage the *vāyu* remains mixed up with thought ; in the next stage thought predominates over this *vāyu* and the third stage is a mixture of both the previous stages. Though knowledge is pure consciousness and is of indeterminate nature like that of the sky, yet there are differences in knowledge as there are differences in the sky in twilight, night and day.³

The fourth stage, *viz.*, Sarva-śūnya (all-void or perfect void) is free from all the three-fold impurities and is self-illuminant. It is called perfect-void because of its absolute purity obtained by transcending these principles of defilement. It is the purified knowledge—the ultimate truth—it is the supreme omniscience. It is without change—without appearance, without duality—it is the supreme good. Whether it is any state of existence or non-existence cannot be known ; it is beyond the scope of verbal expression. From this self-luminous purity, which results from the three preceding stages, proceeds the Omniscient (Buddha) endowed with all possible merits, all the thirty-two signs of

¹ *etāḥ prakṛtayaḥ sū(ś. sic.)kṣmāḥ śataṁ gaṣṭyu-ttaraṁ divā |
rātrau cāpi pravartante (tate. sic.) vāyu-vāhana-hetunā ||*
Ibid., MS. pp. 21(A), 21(B).

² *yatra yatra sthito vāyus taṁ taṁ prakṛtim udvahet |
yāvat samirāṇo-tpādo (ho. sic.) nābhāso niścalo bhavet ||*
Ibid., MS. p. 21(B).

³ *samvitti-mātrakaṁ jñānam ākāśavad alakṣaṇam |
kintu tasya prabhedo'sti sandhyā-rātri-divā-tmanā ||*
Ibid., MS. p. 21(B).

greatness and also the eighty consonants.¹ In the *Abhisambodhi-krama* of *Pañca-krama* it has been said, 'Perfect void may be said to be without beginning or with beginning, without middle or with middle,—without end or with end: this is what the wise say.'² Here there is neither going nor not-going, neither decrease nor increase, neither existence nor non-existence. It is free from the categories of either being or non-being,—it is neither of the nature of merit nor of the nature of demerit,—nor is it a combination of both.³

We have seen above how the *Sūnyatā*-doctrine of the Mahāyānic philosophers was adopted by the esoteric Buddhists; but the emphasis of Mahāyāna is not only on *Sūnyatā*; as a religion it is characterised by its stress on universal compassion. This Mahāyāna doctrine of compassion was also adopted by these Tāntric Buddhists in toto. All the preachings, all the rites and ceremonies, all the mystic practices have the stamp of *Karuṇā* on them, *i.e.*, everything is said to be undertaken only with the view to saving the world from all miseries. We have seen in the *Bodhi-caryāvatāra* how the devout Bodhisattva was earnestly praying to all the enlightened ones, bent on entering

¹ *sūnya-traya-viśuddhir yo prabhāsvaram iho'cyate ||
sarva-sūnya-padam tac ca jñāna-traya-viśuddhitāḥ |
jñāna-sūddhi-padam tattvam sarvajñatvam anuttaram ||
nirvikāram nirābhāsam nirvandvaṁ paramam śivam |
asti'ti na ca nā'sti'ti na ca tad vākya-gocaram ||
ataḥ prabhāsvarāt śuddhāt jñāna-traya-samudbhavaḥ |
dvā-triṁśal-lakṣaṇa-dhāro hy aśiti-vyañjanā-nvitaḥ |
sarvā-kāra-varo-petaḥ sarvajño jāyate tataḥ ||*

Ibid., MS. pp. 22(B), 23(A).

² *anādi-bhūtaṁ tv athavā'di-bhūtaṁ amadhya-bhūtaṁ atha
madhya-bhūtaṁ |
ananta-bhūtaṁ tv athavānta-bhūtaṁ sarva-sūnyam pravadanti
santāḥ ||*

Ibid., MS. p. 80(A).

³ *yad asti-nāsti-vyavahāra-muktaṁ na puṇya-rūpaṁ na ca
pāpa-rūpaṁ |
na puṇya-pāpa-tmakam agra-bhūtaṁ tat sarva-sūnyam prava-
danti buddhāḥ ||*

Ibid., MS. p. 80(A).

nirvāṇa, not to accept *nirvāṇa* until the suffering world be saved from the miseries of life and every one be helped in realising perfect wisdom. We find a very beautiful echo of it in the *Jñāna-siddhi* where all the compassionate Buddhas are solicited not to accept their own *nirvāṇā*, but to wait on and on until all the creatures attain perfect Buddhahood.¹ In the *Sādhana-mālā* we find it an essential part of many of the *sādhana*s to pray to the Buddhas and the Bodhisattvas, bent on attaining *nirvāṇa*, to wait for time eternal for the benefit of all beings. The Buddhas, who are the fathers of the afflicted helpless beings, are requested to postpone their *nirvāṇa* and to preach the true religion among all the beings until they are enabled to cross the sea of *samsāra* and attain perfect bliss. The aspirer is found not only to pray to the Buddhas and the Bodhisattvas to renounce their *nirvāṇa*,—but he himself also renounces his own *nirvāṇa* and pledges his life for the benefit of all beings. It is said—“I have deceived all the beings—how shall I save them (who are pitiable alas!) from this unfathomable sea of existence? Thus being filled with compassion for the beings, the aspirer should reject the *śūnyatā* which makes a man altogether static,—and through the effort of the mind he should produce a *citta* which is full of the *dharmadhātu*.² It is said in the *Citta-viśuddhi-prakarana* of Āryadeva that great beings with sound wisdom and alert mind should win the fierce battle of life and then save others also. A beast also courts affliction for his own interest; but rare and blessed are those master minds who court affliction for the sake of the world. The wretched selfish creatures also bear the pains of cold and blast for their own sake,—but why should they not do the same for the interest of the whole world?³ It is often seen, when a *Sādhaka*

¹ Ch. VIII.

² *Sādhana-mālā*, Vol. II, p. 844.

³ *mahā-sattvo mahō-pāyahaḥ sthira-buddhir atantritaḥ |
jitvā dustara-saṁgrāmaṁ tārayed aparān api ||*

is going to worship any god or goddess with all the paraphernalia of rituals and ceremonies, he takes, at the outset, the resolution that he will deliver all the beings and enable them to attain complete *nirvāṇa*.¹

Even in the description of the gods and goddesses we see that compassion is almost everywhere an attribute of them.² We may, for instance, compare the description of the goddess Vārāhī as found in her meditation in the *Abhidhāno-tṭara*, where she is described as having a heart melted with compassion for beings,—as engrossed in the emotion of universal compassion, bent on doing good to all beings.³

It may also be noticed that almost all the Tantras are introduced with an apology of doing good to all the beings by the teachings of the true religion. The *Ārya-mañjuśrī-mūla-kalpa-tantra* introduces all the ceremonials and ritualistic practices—all the *mantras* and *tantras* as being instructed by the Lord Buddha himself only for the good of all beings.⁴ The *Prajño-*

paśavo'pi hi kliṣyante svārtha-mātra-parāyanāḥ |
jagad-ārtha-vidhātāro dhanyās te viralā janāḥ ||
śīta-vātā-di-duḥkhāni saḥante svārtha-lampatāḥ |
jagad-ārtha-pravṛttāste na saḥante kathām nu te ||
Citta-viśuddhi-prakarana, Verses 54-56.

¹ In the *Ṣaḍ-akṣarī-lokeśvara-sādhanaṃ* of the *Sādhana-mālā* we see that the *Sādhaka* is taking the vow of enabling all the beings—whatever be their origin, and be they endowed with form or be they without form,—be they conscious or unconscious,—to attain the *anupādhi-śeṣa-samādhi* (i.e., *nirvāṇa* without any residuum; cf. the *nirupādhi-śeṣa-samādhi* of the *Mādhyamika-vṛtti*, *Nirvāṇa-prakarana*. Cf. also the *Abhiṣeka-pāṭala* of the *Heruka-tantra*.)

² In the *Srī-guhyā-samāja* Lord Mañjuśrī is depicted as compassionate to the whole world and bent on doing good to all beings. (Ch. XVII, p. 146, G.O.S.)

³ *prajñō-pāya-samāpannā karuṇā-rāga-sammukhā |*
sattvā-rtha-hetu-sambhūtā karuṇā-rdreṇa-cetasā ||
ganganā-bhoga-sambhogā mahā-karuṇā-raso-tsukā |
saṭ-triṃśati-bhava-saṃśodhyā (?) sattvā-rtha-kṛta-tatparā ||
śūnyatā-jñāna-sambhūtā nirvikalpā nirālayā |
nīḥsvabhāva-parā sūkṣmā vindu-nāda-vivarjitā ||

MS. pp. 48(B)—49(A).

⁴ Cf. also *Mahāyāna-vimśikā*,—‘By whatever merit I have acquired through this, let all people be made bent on attaining the Bodhi’ (quoted in the *Advaya-vajra-saṃgraha*). At the end of the *Khasar-*

pāya-viniścaya-siddhi also says that all truth was preached and explained by the great sages only with the purpose of rendering some help to the suffering world.¹

In initiating a disciple to the mystic cult for the attainment of the Bodhicitta the preceptor should first of all see that the disciple has a benevolent mind,² and the Guru instructs the disciple to perform all the practices only for the benefit of the beings.³ To bear with

paṇa-sādhana of the *Sādhana-mālā*, the *Sādhaka* proclaims,—‘By whatever merit is acquired by me by performing this *sādhana* of the lord of the world,—let the world attain the (ultimate) status.’ Similar verses occur after the performance of many of the *sādhanas*. (See *Sādhana-mālā*, pp. 48, 75, 171, 206). Even the mystic circle is to be described for the welfare of the beings. [*Kriyā-saṃgraha*, MS. p. 74(B)].

¹ In the Sanskrit portion of the *Ḍākārṇava* (edited by MM. H. P. Sāstri) the goddess Vārāhi entreats the lord Mahāvīreśvara to remove all her doubts and to explain to her all the secrets of Yoga for the good of the beings (*kathayantu mama svāmī sattvānām upakāraṅgam*); to this the lord replies,—‘Propitiated am I, O goddess! I shall explain in detail all that will bring about the good of all beings, harken all with a concentrated mind.’ (P. 137, Sāhitya-pariṣat edition). In the production of the Bodhicitta, in the practices, rites and ceremonies, even in the sexo-yogic practice it has always been proclaimed that everything is done only for the good of all beings. Thus it is said in the *Kudṛṣṭi-nirghātanam* of the *Advaya-vajra-saṃgraha*, ‘I shall produce the great Bodhicitta and shall lead and guide all the beings to the right path; I shall undergo all the practices for the attainment of Bodhi—and shall be the Buddha for the good of the world.’ (Pp. 5-6; also see *Sādhana-mālā*, pp. 3, 29). In the *Kriyā-saṃgraha* (in the chapter on the production of the Bodhicitta) it is said,—‘By this meritorious work (*kuśala-karma*) soon shall I be a Buddha and I shall preach the truth for the good of the world and deliver the beings oppressed with miseries.’

*gṛhītān sambaram kṛtsnam sarva-sattvā-rtha-kāraṅgāt |
atīrṇān tārayiṣyāmi amuktān mocayāmy aham ||*

MS. (B.N. No. 31) p. 36(B).

*anena cāham kuśalena karmaṇā
bhaveya buddho na cireṇa loke |
deśeya dharmān jagato hitāya
moceya sattvān bahu-duḥkha-pīditān ||*

MS. (B.N. No. 31) p. 36(B). Also see *Advaya-vajra-saṃgraha*, p. 9.

² Cf. *dīnoddhāraṇa-cittāya pradēyam divya-sādhanam ||
Jvālāvali-vojra-mālā-tantra*. MS.

³ *akhinna-vigataḥ-sangaḥ sattvā-rtham kuru sāmpratam ||
MS. (R.A.S.B. No. 4854) p. 18(B).*

a calm unruffled spirit all sorts of mental and physical torture for the sake of the world was deemed to be a great virtue in Buddhism ; as a result, we see that in the Tantras, as in Buddhism in general, it became a religious practice to inflict torture on the body.¹ It was also another practice to try to become one with the whole universe through repeated and deeply concentrated meditation and to perform the religious practices or the Yoga after one had realised oneself completely identical with the universe, so that after this identification of the self with the universe any merit acquired by the Sādhaka would be a merit acquired by the whole universe and the liberation of the self will be the liberation of the whole universe. Through meditation first the universe must be identified with the self, so that there may be complete oneness of the self and the universe.²

In the songs and the *Dohās* of the Siddhācāryas we find this spirit of universal compassion expressed often in a very nice way. In the *Ḍākārṇava* the Sādhaka is requested first to realise the pain of bondage in the world and to meditate on the compassion for beings.³ It has been very nicely said by Saraha-pāda in his *Dohās*,—"Whoever thinks this to be the self—this to be the other—perverts himself even in the absence of bondage and even though he is liberated.

¹ Vide *Advaya-vajra-saṅgraha*, Ch. VIII. Also *Sādhana-mālā*, pp. 1, 57 (G.O.S.).

² *śīrasaṅ padato vāpi yāvad dhṛdayam āgataḥ |*
bhūta-koṭim viśet yogī piṇḍa-grāha iti smṛtaḥ ||
sthāvaram jaṅgamam caiva pūrvam kṛtvā prabhāsvaram |
paścāt kuryāt tathā-tmānam anubhedu-kraṇo'hyayam ||
śvāsa-vāto yathā'darśe layam gacchati sarvataḥ |
bhūta-koṭim tathā yogi praviśec ca muhurmuḥuḥ ||
gaccharis tiṣṭhan svayam (?) bhūñjann unmiṣan niṣan hasan |
anena dhyāna-yogena sadā tiṣṭhati tattvavit ||

Pañca-krama. MS. p. 80(B).

Cf. also, *Śrī-cakra-sambhāra-tantra*—Ed. by Arthur Avalon, p. 72.

Also see *Sādhana-mālā*, p. 504 (G.O.S.).

³ *loaṅga karuṅa bhavahu tumma/saala surāsura buddhahu jimma ||*

Ḍākārṇava—Ed. by Dr. N. N. Chaudhury, p. 122.

Don't make the mistake of the self and the not-self ; everything is of the nature of perfect enlightenment from time eternal,—this great lord of unblemished citta is pure by nature.”¹ “The great tree of non-dual mind spreads throughout the three worlds in its vastness ; it contains flowers and fruits of compassion,—there is nothing beyond it.”² Saraha-pāda concludes with the verse,—“If no good to others is done,—no gift is given at all,—what is the need of living this life in the world at all? It is better to do away with it.”³ This stress on Karuṇā in various ways is a characteristic feature also of the Caryā-padas.⁴

¹ *Dohākoṣa*—Ed. by Dr. P. C. Bagchi, p. 22. (Many of these *dohūs* are ascribed also to Tillopāda, see *Ibid.*, p. 2).

² *aḍḍaa citta-taruaraha gaū tihuvaṇe vitthāra |*
karuṇā phullī-phala dharai nāū paratta ūāra ||
Ibid., p. 23.

³ *para ūāra ṇa kiaū atthi na diaū dāna |*
ehu saṁsāre kavaṇa phalu varu chuḍḍahu appāṇa ||
Ibid., p. 23.

⁴ It is said by Kambalāmbara-pāda in a song that his boat of *karuṇā* is filled with the gold of vacuity. (Song No. 8). In another song where Kaṇhu-pāda explains the esoteric doctrine by the metaphor of the chess-game, compassion has been made the play-board. (Song No. 12). Again in explaining the ultimate stage Kaṇhu-pāda says that he sees his body in compassion and vacuity. (Song No. 13). In the commentary of the Caryās the Siddhācāryas are said to have composed these songs through deep compassion for the beings. (See Comm. on Songs Nos. 1, 7, 8).

CHAPTER III

SCHOOLS OF TANTRIC BUDDHISM

In describing the schools of esoteric Buddhism the best thing would have been to trace historically the origin and development of the various schools with their particular faiths, doctrines and practices ; but the data for such a historical survey is so scanty and confused that we do not venture to make such an attempt. Dr. Benayatosh Bhattacharya in his *Introduction to Buddhist Esoterism* has of course made an attempt at constructing some sort of a history of the Vajrayānist and the Sahajiyā preachers ; but so vast and confused is the field and so scanty and doubtful are the materials that the structure does not seem to be very well built. The same remark holds good for the attempt made by Dr. Shahidullah in tracing the history of the Sahajiyā Buddhist School in his work *Les Chants Mystiques de Kanha et de Saraha*.

(i) *The Evolution Of Mantra-yāna*

In the *Tattva-ratnāvalī* (collected in the *Advaya-vajra-saṁgraha*) we find Mahāyāna sub-divided into two schools, viz., Pāramitā-naya and Mantra-naya. The principles of Mantra-naya are said to be very deep and subtle and inaccessible to ordinary men ; and though the ultimate purpose of the Mantra-śāstra is the same as that of others, it is distinctly superior to the other Śāstras, which prescribe many easier methods ; the reason is that it (i.e., Mantra-śāstra) is free from delusions and it is accessible only to people of higher intellectual calibre.¹ This Mantra-naya or Mantra-yāna school of Mahāyāna seems to be the introductory stage of Tāntric Buddhism from which all

¹ *ekā-rthatve' py asaṁmohāt bahūpāyād aduṣkarāt |
tikṣṇendriyā-dhikārāc ca mantra-śāstram viśiṣyate ||*

Tattva-ratnāvalī in *Advaya-vajra-saṁgraha*, p. 21.

the other offshoots of Vajra-yāna, Kālacakra-yāna, Sahaja-yāna, etc., arose in later times. In the *Laghu-kāla-cakra-tantrarāja-ṭīkā*, entitled *Vimala-prabhā*, we find that the doctrines of the Pāramitā-naya are written wholly in Sanskrit, while those of the Mantra-naya are explained in Sanskrit, Prakrit, Apabhraṁśa and even in the non-Sanskritic languages like those of the Śavaras and others. ¹

Early Buddhism was a religion of rigorous moral discipline, practices and contemplation. But such a religion of purely ethical codes and deep meditation could not appeal to the masses and the systems of moral discipline and the methods of the *jhānas* were not acceptable to them. To the ordinary mind religion is something full of rites and ceremonies or other paraphernalia of esoteric practices. It is for this reason that for the sake of the common run of people the Mantras, the Mudrās and the Maṇḍalas (mystic circles) were introduced in Buddhism in course of time. These Mantra, Mudrā and Maṇḍala, etc., introduced for the realisation of the ultimate truth, gradually brought with them various other practices and thus Buddhism began to put on a different air, which is generally known as Tāntricism. We may recall in this connection the later Mahāyānic idea of *Trailokya-vijaya* or the religious conquest of the three worlds. The idea behind the whole drive was the idea of bringing people of all calibre within the Buddhistic faith by making Buddhism acceptable to all classes of people. With this end in view the Mahāyānic apostles had to incorporate all sorts of popular ceremonies and practices in their

¹ *saṁskṛta-bhāṣayā pāramitānayaṁ |*

mantranayaṁ tantra-tantrāntaraṁ saṁskṛta-bhāṣayā prakṛta-bhāṣayā apabhraṁśa-bhāṣayā asaṁskṛta-śavarādi-mleccha-bhāṣayā |

Laghu-kālacakra-tantra-rāja-ṭīkā (MS. R.A.S.B. 4727), p. 80(A).

religion, and as a result the ethico-religious nature of Buddhism began to change rapidly.¹

Tradition holds Asaṅga, the great exponent of the Yogācāra school, to be responsible for the introduction of Tāntrism in Buddhism; he again, in his turn, is believed to have been initiated into this mystic cult by Maitreya in the Tuṣita-heaven. Others on the other hand hold that Nāgārjuna, the renowned exponent of the Mādhyamika school, was the real founder of the esoteric school, and he received the doctrines from the Celestial Buddha Vairocana through the divine Bodhi-sattva Vajrasattva at 'the iron tower' in South India.

But apart from these traditions, some scholars are disposed to think that in the *Mahāyāna-sūtrālaṅkāra* of Asaṅga there are clear references to the sexo-yogic practice of the Tāntric Buddhists. As the point has been discussed by the present writer elsewhere, he need not repeat it here.² Dr. Benayatosh Bhattacharya is of the opinion that the esoteric elements were introduced into Buddhism by Lord Buddha himself as a provision for the lay people whose intellectual calibre would not allow them to follow the path chalked out by him.³ We on the whole are rather loath to believe that Buddha whose life and teachings were a direct revolution against the prevalent religious system of ceremonies and rituals should have himself made any provision for Tāntric practices of any kind in his religion only to popularise his religion among the uncultured and uneducated mass.⁴

¹ *Vide Aśoka and His Inscriptions* by Dr. B. M. Barua, Part I, pp. 288, 812; also a lecture by Dr. Barua, named, *Role of Buddhism in Indian Life and Thought*, delivered at a symposium of the Indian Philosophical Congress, 21st Session, Delhi.

² *Vide Obscure Religious Cults As Background of Bengali Literature* (C.U., 1946) by the present writer.

³ *An Introduction to Buddhist Esoterism*, p. 48. See also *The Introduction to the Sādhana-mālā*, Vol. II, pp. xvi-xvii.

⁴ *Vide Obscure Religious Cults, etc.*, pp. 18-20.

When and by whom the Mantra element was introduced in Buddhism we do not know exactly; but it seems that with the rise of Mahāyāna with its pledge for delivering all the beings higher or lower, meritorious or vicious, the Mantra, Mudrā, Maṇḍala and such other popular religious practices began to make their way into Buddhism. The Mahāyānist preachers, with their pledge of universal redemption, could not do without these ordinary masses and for them they had to condescend from the prescription of strict ethico-religious practices to the sanction of the Mantra, Mudrā, etc. The innumerable Dhāraṇīs seem to be the original form in which the Mantra element first found its way in Mahāyāna. The word Dhāraṇī literally means that by which something is sustained or kept up (*dharyate anayā iti*), i.e., the mystic syllables that have got the capacity of keeping up the religious life of a man. In the evolution of some of the Mantras in the Dhāraṇīs there seems to be some sort of a history. It seems that the ordinary followers of Buddhism could not follow the original aphorism of Buddhism; for them it was necessary to shorten the *sūtras* into the Dhāraṇīs and the ordinary followers were enjoined to commit to memory and regularly recite these Dhāraṇīs with implicit faith, and it was assured by the Bodhisattvas that the recital of these Dhāraṇīs was capable of producing infinite merit in the reciters and of conferring immense benefit on them. Dr. B. Bhattacharya has traced some sort of a history of the *bīja-mantra pram* which symbolises Prajñā-pāramitā. The *Aṣṭasahasrikā-prajñā-pāramitā*, a Mahāyāna work of stupendous volume, could hardly be expected to be read and mastered by any ordinary follower; it was therefore absolutely necessary to abridge these 8,000 stanzas into a few stanzas, which became known as the *Prajñā-pāramitā-hṛdaya-sūtra*, which again was further reduced to the *Prajñā-pāramitā-dhāraṇī*. From this *Prajñā-pāramitā-dhāraṇī* again evolved the *Prajñā-*

pāramitā-mantra, from which again evolved the *bīja-mantra* in one syllable as *praṁ*, and it was believed that in response to the muttering of this *bīja-mantra praṁ śūnyatā* would transform herself into the goddess Prajñā-pāramitā, who is a 'veritable metamorphosis of the Prajñā-pāramitā literature.'¹ These *bīja-mantras* are mono-syllabic Mantras symbolising some particular god or goddess. Thus 'a' represents Vairocana, 'ya' Aksobhya, 'ra' Ratnasambhava, 'ba' Amitābha and 'la' Amoghasiddhi, 'Hum' is the *bīja* of Vajra-sattva.² The vowel 'a' being the first and the most independent sound, has been taken to represent Śūnyatā or Prajñā.³

This system of *bīja-mantra* is very common in the Hindu Tantras also, and they are even now prevalent in all the Hindu systems including Śāktaism, Śaivism, Vaiṣṇavism, etc. It has often been assured in the Buddhist Tantras that in response to the muttering of these *bīja-mantras* the ultimate void (śūnyatā) will transform herself into the particular form of god or goddess and confer infinite benefit on the reciter. The same belief is found in the Hindu Tantras.⁴ In the *Mahāsukha-prakāśa* of the *Advaya-vajra-saṁgraha* it has been said that the *bīja* proceeds from the śūnyatā-knowledge, and from the *bīja* proceeds the reflection or the form (of particular gods and goddesses).⁵ This

¹ Vide *An Introduction to Esoteric Buddhism*, by B. Bhattacharya, p. 56.

² About the allotment of the *bījas* to the different gods and goddesses there is no strict agreement among the Tantras. Thus in the *Pañca-krama* we find that 'om' is the *bīja* of Vairocana, 'āḥ' of Amitābha, 'hum' of Aksobhya, 'svā' of Rantranātha and 'hā' of Karmanātha. [MS. B.N. Sans. Nos. 65, 66, p. 4(B)].

³ In the *Mantra-putāla* of the *Hevajra-tantra* we find that the *bīja-mantra* of the Tathāgata is *om āḥ huṁ phaṭ svāhā*; that of the goddesses *krum am jīm kham huṁ*,—and that of Heruka is *taṁ maṁ paṁ taṁ buṁ om deva picuvajre huṁ huṁ huṁ phaṭ svāhā*. MS. (R.A.S.B. No. 11817) p. 5(A).

⁴ Vide *Tantrā-loka*, also *Vijñāna-bhairava* (Kashmir Series of Texts and Studies).

⁵ *śūnyatā-boḍhito bījam bījād bimbanṁ prajāyate | Advaya-vajra-saṁgraha*, p. 50 (G.O.S.).

kind of belief in a syllable representing a god or goddess is a very old belief current from the time of the Āraṇyakas and the Upaniṣads. In the Upaniṣads we often find that Brahman is to be meditated in the form of the syllable 'om'; this 'om' is taken to be the representative of the Brahman. Even Patañjali in his Yoga philosophy accepts the *praṇava* (i.e., 'om') to be the representative of Īśvara.

It must, however, be admitted that of these Mantras (which are many in kinds, such as *Bīja*, *Hṛdaya*, *Upahṛdaya*, *Rakṣā*, etc.) only very few can be historically traced back to some significant origin like the *bīja-mantra praṇ*. A noticeable thing in this Mantra element is the infusion of some particular significance into some sound or syllable, which now seems to us to be purely arbitrary; and often purely Sanskritic words have also been explained in that technical way. Thus, in the *Manual of a Mystic* we find,—“In the word Araham the first letter 'A' means the Treasure of the Law (Dharma); the second 'Ra' the Treasure who is the Buddha and the third 'Haṇ' the Treasure of the brotherhood (Saṅgha)”.¹ In the *Hevajra-tantra* Śrī-Heruka has been explained in the following manner,—‘Śrī’ means the non-dual knowledge, ‘he’ means the non-causality, ‘ru’ means the nature as uncompounded and ‘ka’ means ‘not abiding anywhere’.² In the *Śrī-cakra-sambhāra-tantra* we find,—“Let him imagine in the centre of his own heart the letter 'A' evolved from the experience which knows that forms are unreal. Or let him think of the clear lunar disc which symbolises world experience, and upon that Mantra 'Hum' which symbolises mind devoid of objective content. Of this 'Hum' the

¹ *Manual of a Mystic* (being the Translation from the Pāli and Sinhalese work entitled the *Yogavachara's Manual*) by F. L. Woodward, M.A. (Cantab), p. 2.

² *śrī-kāram advayaṃ jñānaṃ he-kāraṃ hetuśūnyatā |
ru-kāra-pagataṃ byūhaṃ kakāre na kvacit sthīlam ||*

Hevajra-tantra. MS. (RASB. No. 11817) p. 19(A).

letter 'u' stands for the knowledge which accomplishes all works ; the body of the letter 'H' for that knowledge which distinguishes, the top of the letter 'H' for the equalising knowledge, the crescent (*candra*) for the mirror-like knowledge ; and the 'Bindu' above that for the changeless knowledge." ¹ This kind of interpretation of the Mantras is frequently met with in the Tantra literature in general. ²

On the whole it seems that most of the Mantras and Dhāraṇīs are composed of a string of syllables which have lost their etymological meaning or which had never an etymological meaning. Vasubandhu says in his *Bodhisattva-bhūmi* that this absolute meaninglessness is the real significance of the Mantras. A Sādhaka is to meditate on these Mantras as something absolutely meaningless and this constant meditation on the meaninglessness of the Mantras will gradually lead to a state of mind where it will be very easy for him to meditate on the ultimate nature of the *dharmas* as absolutely meaningless ; this meaninglessness is the void nature of the *dharmas* and thus the meditation on the Mantras will gradually lead a Sādhaka to the realisation of the void nature of the *dharmas*. ³

¹ *Śrī-cakra-sambhāra-tantra*, translated from Tibetan by Kazi Dawasamdup, pp. 5-6.

² *akāras tala-cakrastho vārāhī sā vidhīyate |*
rephas tataḥ samudbhūto yā rekhā bahni-rūpinī ||
akāro vāvadhūtīti sarva-dharma-sukhaṁ hi sā |
rekhā bahnimayī rekhā tadvartamāno carita (satī) (?) ||
atrāpyakāro draṣṭavyaḥ prabhāsvara-sukhākṛti |
dṛavyoḥ samyogato ceti madhya-varṇo yato bhavet ||
hakāraḥ sukha-cakrastho lekhayālīngitam (?) tayā |
tataḥ samplāvayan devīm ikāro vindurucyate ||
tasmāt tu lokottarā kvacit lokottara-sukha-pradā |
lakṣya-lakṣana-vibhavā seyam ikāra-rūpinī ||
etām saptākṣarīm devīs trailokya-jñāna-suddhataḥ | etc.

Marmakalīkā-tantra. MS. (B.N. Sans. No. 88) pp. 2(B)—
 8(A).

³ *Vide Bodhisattva-bhūmi*—Ed. by Unari Wogihara (Tokyo), pp. 272-74.

See also *Obscure Religious Cults*, etc. by the present author, pp. 21-22.

Thus according to Vasubandhu in this absolute unmeaningness of the Mantra lies its real power which helps the Sādhaka in realising the nature of the universe as absolute void. The Mantras are thus taken only as a help for the realisation of the absolute void-nature of the universe. So in the hands of Vasubandhu the Mantras obtain a deeper significance than the mere invocation of any particular god or goddess who might confer mundane benefit on us or fulfil some of our selfish desires.

Besides these unmeaning mystic syllables we find in later Buddhist Tantras that the letters (including all the vowels and the consonants) were transformed into Mantras. In this matter the Buddhist Tantras agree fully with the Hindu Tantras. It is a general custom with the Tantras to place these Mantras in the form of the letters in different parts of the body for the purification of the body, and there are often elaborate systems of the arrangement of the letters on the different plexus (*cakras*) situated within the body along the spinal chord.¹ The two sets of letters, viz., *āli* (the vowels beginning with the vowel 'a') and *kālī* (the consonants beginning with the letter 'ka') are often spoken of variously in both the Hindu and Buddhist Tāntric practices. It is therefore necessary here to say a few explanatory words about these Mantras as letters (*varṇa*).

In this transformation of the letters into the Mantras, as Prof. S. N. Dasgupta explains in an article,² the Tantras seem to have adopted the Mīmāṃsā theory of *śabda* or sound. The Mīmāṃsakas hold that sound is eternal and is always in the form

¹ Vide *Vajra-jāpa-krama* of the *Pañca-krama*.

MS. (B.N. Sans. No. 65) pp. 4(B)—5(A).

Also, *Heruka-tantra*, *Bodhicitta-saṅkramaṇa-ṭāṭala*.

MS. (R.A.S.B. No. 11279) pp. 74(A)—75(B).

² *General Introduction to Tantra Philosophy*, by Prof. S. N. Dasgupta, collected in his *Philosophical Essays*.

of the letters of the alphabet, and a word is also nothing more than the letters that compose it. The meaning of a word is absolutely independent of any human agency and belongs to the word by virtue of its very nature and some peculiar power is required for the realisation of this inherent meaning. The words themselves are also eternal, but they require the auxiliary agency of pronunciation to be cognisable to our consciousness. The Tantras accept this view of the eternal nature of the *śabdās* and further hold that "the movement that produced the world shows itself, or, is represented in us in miniature, in the production of the sound. The process of the production of the sound is the epitome of the notion as it were, of the cosmic process of creation." This creative power, which is viewed as the mother of the universe in its aspect as identified with the *varṇas* is called the *mātrkā*. Now *śabda* being of the nature of *varṇa*, the fifty *varṇas* from 'a' to 'h' are taken to be the totality of the presiding force over the *śabda*. The different *varṇas* therefore represent the different functions of the *mātrkās* and these *mātrkās* being parts of the creative forces are associated with particular conative, cognitive and emotional tendencies and are also naturally connected with corresponding physiological centres, which form the physiological data of these psychological functions. This seems to be the metaphysical ground on which this Mantra theory as the *varṇas* is based. In the *Śrīsampuṭikā* we find that whatever word proceeds from the mouth of man should be regarded as a Mantra, the sound (*nāda*) is the Mantra.¹ In the *Vyakta-bhāvānugata-tattva-siddhi* it has been said that whatever pure movements of the limbs, proceed forth from the Bodhicitta, which is Sahaja, should

¹ *yaḥ kaścit prasaro vācāṃ janānāṃ pratipadyate |
sa sarvo mantra-rūpo hi tasmād eva prajāyate ||
nādo hi mantra ity uktāṃ sarveṣāṃ tu śarīriṇāṃ |* etc.
Śrī-sampuṭikā. MS. (R.A.S.B. No. 4854) p. 9(B).

all be conceived of as the Mudrās, and whatever words proceed from it are all Mantras. ¹

Closely associated with this Mantra element of esoteric Buddhism is the Mudrā element which is generally taken to be signs made by the particular position of the hands and the fingers. ² This Mudrā element, however, with the Mantra and some other esoteric practices has a deeper significance in the yogic Sādhanā of the Tāntrikas, and as the Mantra element contains all the secrecy of the potency of sound, the Mudrā element contains the secrecy of touch as associated with the potency of the physiological system. With Mantra and Mudrā the element of Maṇḍala or describing the mystic circles was also introduced. Though this Mudrā element with the Mantra element and some other esoteric practices may be traced back to the later vedic practices, we have reason to think that in the evolution of Mudrā in esoteric Buddhism the different postures of the hands and fingers of Śākya-muni have something to do, and some of these postures do allude to some of the incidents of his life. In the University library of Cambridge there is a manuscript with the colophon *śrīmac-chākyarāja-sarva-durgati-pariśodhana-mukhākhyāna-prathama-ādiyoga-nāmasamādhiḥ* with as many as hundred and fifty-eight coloured illustrations of the different kinds of Mudrās. Of these some seem to be purely the posture of the

¹ *svabhāva-śuddha-bodhicittāt ye khalu sahaja-vinirmitā-ṅga-vikṣepās te sarve eva mudrā-kārāḥ/ye'pi vāg-vikṣepās te'pi mantra-prakārāḥ* |

Vyakta-bhāvānugata-tattva-siddhi. MS. (C.L.B. No. 18124) p. 90(A).

Cf. also the Bengali song of Sādhaka Rāmprasād,—
yata śuna karṇa-puṭe, sakali mār mantra baṭe,
kālī pañcāsat-varṇamayī varṇe varṇe nāma dhare ||

“Whatever you hear with your ears are but the Mantras of the Mother; Mother Kālī is of the nature of these fifty letters, and she has her name in every letter.”

² This Mudrā should be carefully distinguished from the Mudrā or the Mahāmudrā who is the Prajñā or the great woman to be adopted in the Yogic practice.

hands and fingers ; some on the other hand illustrate the different manners of holding the thunderbolt (*vajra*), the lotus (*padma*), the bell (*ghaṅṭā*), the sword, the conch-shell (*śaṅkha*), bunch of flowers, garlands, etc. Others again illustrate the manner of offering flowers, water, incense, lamp and other materials of worship. Some again illustrate the different manners of playing on the different musical instruments. All these are done with the aim of obtaining final purification and final deliverance from the miseries of life.

Once the portals of Buddhism were flung open to let in some elements of Tāntricism in the form of the Dhāraṇī, Mantra, Mudrā, etc., all the traditional beliefs in Magic and charms and sorceries with all their details rushed in and quickly changed the whole ethico-religious outlook of Buddhism ; and with the forms and traditions of Buddhism and the materials of Tāntricism grew up the elaborate Tāntric Buddhist systems.

(ii) *Vajra-yāna—The Most Common Name*

Once this esoterism could find admission into the Buddhist fold all sorts of popular beliefs and practices began vigorously to be incorporated in it. The six kinds of Tāntric rituals intended for the good or evil of anybody (generally known as *abhicāra*) gradually made their way in it, and the five accessories of Tāntric practices, generally known as the five 'M's' (*pañca-makāras*) also found place in Buddhist esoterism.¹ But the aim of these practices is not always the fulfilment of some or other mundane desire (though instances of them are not altogether wanting), but these practices with their minutest details are said to be undertaken only for the attainment of the Bodhicitta, *i.e.*, for the

¹ We do not find any direct mention of these *pañca-makāras* in the Buddhist Tantras ; but we find sporadic mention of wine, fish and meat, etc., but more often we find mention of the *pañca-kāma-guṇas*, and it is often said that a Sādhaka placed in the *Prajñō-pāya* can, without hesitation, enjoy the five objects of enjoyment for the attainment of perfection.

realisation of perfect knowledge and for the uplift of all beings.

This composite system of Tāntricism came to be known by the general name of Vajra-yāna¹ and from Vajra-yāna developed the Sahaja-yāna at a still later time.²

¹ The original name Mantra-yāna is also sometimes used in a general sense for the later Buddhist Tāntric Schools.

E.G., mantra-mahāyāne tv anuvakṣyate, etc. Hevajra-panjikā, MS. p. 45(B).

Also,—*mantra-yānā-nusāreṇa tad idaṃ vakṣyate'dhunā |*
—*Advaṃya-vajra-saṃgraha, p. 54.*

Also,—Comm. on the *Dohākoṣa* of Kāṇhapāda, Verse No. 12.
—Dr. P. C. Bagchi's edition.

² Kazi Dawasamdud in his introduction to the *Śrī-cakra-sambhāra-tantra* divides this Vajra-yāna into six sects. He says,—“The development or ‘fruit’ of the first three (*i.e.*, Śrāvaka-yāna, Pratyeka-buddha-yāna and Bodhisattva-yāna) is the Vajra-yāna and Mantra-yāna which is divided into six parts or states; (4) Kriyā-tantra-yāna (Byavhi-rGyud-kyi-thegpa); (5) Caryā or Upāya-tantra-yāna (Spyod-pahi-rGyud-kyi-thegpa); (6) Yoga-tantra-yāna (rNal-hbyor-rg). The latter is again subdivided into three; (7) Mahāyoga-tantra-yāna (Maha-yoga or Ma-rGyud-kyi-thegpa); (8) Anuttara-yoga-tantra-yāna (Anuyoga or (?) Blamed-rGyud-kyi-thegpa); and (9) Ati-yoga-tantra-yāna (Ati-yoga hi-theg-pa).” From what source Kazi Dawasamdud described these subdivisions we do not know; the general practice, however, is to divide Vajra-yāna into four classes,—*viz.*, Kriyā-tantra, Caryā-tantra, Yoga-tantra and Anuttara-tantra. Mr. Waddell describes the first two divisions as the “Lower Tantra” and the last two as the “Upper Tantra”. (*Lamaism*—p. 152). The Kriyā-tantras and Caryā-tantras are concerned with the rituals, ceremonies, worship of gods and goddesses and other practices; but the Yoga-tantra and the Anuttara-tantra are much higher types of Tantras containing the Yogic processes for the realisation of the ultimate truth and a discussion on the nature of the ultimate truth. As a matter of fact if we go through the Buddhist Tāntric texts, we shall find that some of the texts contain nothing but the detailed description of the gods and goddesses and elaborate systems of worship and chantings—without having any element of yoga or philosophy in them. It is for this reason that to study the essentials of the Buddhist Tantras we should concentrate our attention more on the Yoga-tantras and the Anuttara-tantras and our study is generally based on them. But the fact is that it is often very difficult to arrange the Buddhist Tantras under the heading of these four classes,—for many of the Tantras contain a mixture of the different elements.

The doctrines of the Kriyā-tantras and the Caryā-tantras were meant for the beginners for whom mere rituals and practices were prescribed; but the Yoga-tantra and the Anuttara-yoga-tantra are

(A) KĀLACAKRA-YĀNA, NĀTHISM, ETC.

The Buddhist Tantras are generally divided into three schools, viz., Vajra-yāna, Kāla-cakra-yāna and Sahaja-yāna. We do not know on the authority of what texts this division of schools have been made. Of course, these names are often met with in the Tāntric texts, but the characteristics of the schools have never been sufficiently explained, Mahāmahopādhyāya Haraprasāda Śāstrī in his introduction to *Modern Buddhism and its Followers in Orissa* of N. N. Basu, speaks of Nāthism as another school of Tāntric Buddhism in addition to the three already mentioned above. This view of MM. Śāstrī seems to be based on a popular misconception.¹ The name of Kāla-cakra-yāna, also appears to be perplexing. About it Waddell says, in his *Lamaism*,—"In the tenth century A.D., the Tāntrik phase developed in Northern India, Kashmir, and Nepal, into the monstrous and poly-demonist doctrine, the Kāla-cakra, with its demoniacal Buddhas, which incorporated the Mantra-yāna practices, and called itself the Vajra-yāna or the 'Thunderbolt-Vehicle', and its followers were named Vajrā-cārya or 'followers of the Thunderbolt.'"² In another place he says,—“The extreme development of the Tāntrika phase was reached with the Kāla-cakra, which, although unworthy of being considered as a philosophy, must be referred to here as a doctrinal basis. It is merely a coarse Tāntrik development of the Ādi-Buddha theory combined with the puerile mysticism of the Mantra-yāna, and it attempts to explain creation and the secret powers of

meant for the advanced Sādhakas who would attain perfection (*vidhi*) through processes of Yoga. Prof. La Vallée Poussin has compared these divisions of the Buddhist Tantras with the arrangement of the Vaiṣṇava-tantras (found in the *Padma-tantra*) as the (1) Jñāna-pāda, (2) Yoga-pāda, (3) Kriyā-pāda and (4) Caryā-pāda. (J.R.A.S., 1901, p. 900).

¹ See *Obscure Religious Cults As Background of Bengali Literature* by the present writer.

² *Lamaism* by Waddell, p. 15.

nature, by the union of the terrible Kālī, not only with the Dhyāni Buddhas, but even with Ādi-Buddha himself. In this way Ādi-Buddha, by meditation evolves a procreative energy by which the awful Sambharā and other dreadful Dākinī fiendesses, all of the Kālī-type, obtain spouses as fearful as themselves, yet spouses who are regarded as reflexes of Ādi-Buddha and the Dhyāni Buddhas. And these demoniacal 'Buddhas', under the name of Kāla-cakra, Heruka, Achala, Vajrabhairava, etc., are credited with powers not inferior to those of the celestial Buddhas themselves, and withal ferocious and blood thirsty; and only to be conciliated by constant worship of themselves and their female energies, with offerings and sacrifices, magic circles, special mantra-charms, etc." ¹

From what is said here about the Kāla-cakra-yāna it seems that it is also a phase of Vajra-yāna with a predominance of the terrible gods and goddesses whom Waddell has styled as the 'demoniacal Buddhas'. But we do not understand the relation of this terrible aspect of Tāntric Buddhism with the name Kāla-cakra given to it. The word Kāla-cakra means the wheel of time. Its Tibetan synonym " 'Dus-Kyi-' K 'or-lo" also means the circle of time. MM. H. P. Śāstrī explains the word in the following way:—"What is Kāla-cakra-yāna? The word Kāla means time, death and destruction. Kāla-cakra is the wheel of destruction, and Kāla-cakra-yāna means the vehicle for protection against the wheel of 'destruction.'" ²

We have at our disposal a text of the title of *Śrī-kāla-cakra-tantra*.³ As far as our knowledge of the Buddhist Tāntric texts goes, we have not found any other text belonging to this Kāla-cakra class. A study of the text does not confirm the statement that Kāla-cakra-yāna is that school of Tāntric Buddhism which

¹ *Ibid.*, p. 181.

² *Modern Buddhism*, etc. Intro., p. 8.

³ Preserved in the Cambridge University Library (Cambridge MS. Add. No. 1864).
O.P. 105—10

introduced the demoniacal Buddhas in its fold,—at least it is not the main characteristic through which the school should be recognised. In the beginning of the text we find that King Sucandra approached the Omniscient Lord Buddha with salutation and asked of him the yoga of the *Śrī-kāla-cakra* which is the way to salvation of all people of the Kali age.¹ Then the Lord replied that this secret of yoga is unknown to all, it is a system of Yoga which, with all its accessories of Maṇḍala (magic circle) and consecration (*abhiṣeka*), is explained within this very body, and the Lord then explained how all the universe with all its objects and localities are situated in the body and how time with all its varieties (*viz.*, day, night, fortnight, month, year, etc.) are all within the body in its processes of the vital wind (*prāṇa-vāyu*).² In the body of the text Sahaja has been fully explained and the details of the sexo-yogic practices for the attainment of the Sahaja have also been described. The only thing that strikes the reader is the stress given to the control of the vital winds (*prāṇa* and *apāna*) and to the results attained therefrom ; we find detailed discussions of how even the different diseases are to be cured by the control of this *Prāṇa* and *Apāna*. This theory of *Kāla-cakra* has very soundly been discussed in the sixth chapter of the *Tantrā-loka* of Abhinava-gupta and a perusal of the text will convince the reader that the explanation of *Kāla-cakra* as given in the *Śrī-kāla-cakra-tantra* is just the same as described in the *Tantrā-loka*. The whole chapter of the *Tantrā-loka* is devoted to the exposition of the doctrine of *Kāla* (time) and the process of keeping oneself above the influence of the whirl of time. Here also time (*kāla*) in all its phases (day, night, fortnight, month, year, etc.) has been explained with reference to the functions of the vital wind (*prāṇa* and

¹ MS. (Cambridge Add. No. 1864) p. 1(B).

² *dehamadhye samastam yogam vyākhyāyamānam śrṇusva nara-pate maṇḍalam cā'bhīṣekam ||* *Ibid.*, p. 1(B).

apāna) spread through the whole nervous system, and the process of controlling time is to control the vital wind in the nerves through yogic practices.

The nature of Lord Śrī-kāla-cakra becomes clear from the *Laghu-kāla-cakra-tantra-rāja-ṭīkā*, entitled the *Vimala-prabhā*. Here he is saluted as of the nature of Śūnyatā and Karuṇā ; in him there is the absence of the origination and destruction of the three worlds, he is an unification of the knowledge and the knowable ; goddess Prajñā, who is both with form and without form, is embraced by him ; he is bereft of origination and change,—he is immutable bliss bereft of all lower pleasure ; he is the father of the Buddhas, possessing the three Kāyas, the knower of the three times (*i.e.*, the past, present and future), the Omniscient,—the ultimate and original Buddha—the non-dual Lord. By an examination of these descriptions of the Śrī-kāla-cakra it will be found that there is no difference between the conception of this Lord Śrī-kāla-cakra and the Lord Vajra-sattva described hereafter. Again the aim of the *Kāla-cakra-tantra* as professed here is no mundane benefit ; on the other hand, like all other Buddhist Tantras it also professes the attainment of perfect enlightenment, not only for the self but for all the beings, to be the final aim.

That the conception of Kāla-cakra is substantially the same as the conception of Vajra-sattva and that Kāla-cakra-yāna is not a distinct school of Tāntric Buddhism, but a particular name for the Vajra-yāna school, will be clear also from the text *Sekoddeśa-ṭīkā*,¹ which is a commentary on the *Sekoddeśa* section of the *Kāla-cakra-tantra*. There it is said that the ultimate immutable and unchangeable one, remaining in the sky-like *dharma-dhātu* (the element underlying all the *dharmas*) is called *Kāla* ; it itself is the immutable know-

¹ G.O.S.—Ed. by Mario E. Carelli, Dr. Litt.

ledge. *Cakra* implies the unity of the three kinds of existence—the manifestation of *Kāla*. It (the *Cakra*) is the body of the Lord—point-like, containing the potency of the existence of the universe. *Kāla-cakra*, therefore, implies exactly the same as the unity of *Prajñā* and *Upāya*.¹

Every syllable of the word *Kāla-cakra* is said to be pregnant with a meaning. Thus, the syllable 'kā' means causality (*kāraṇa*), 'la' means 'cosmic re-absorption' (*laya*), 'ca' means the unsteady mind, and 'kra' means the series of event or the process.² *Kāla*, therefore, means the state of absorption in the original cause-potency, this is the state of *Śūnyatā*; it is the pure consciousness or the principle of subjectivity. *Cakra* on the other hand means the principle of knowability, or the cycle of the world-process (*jñeyā-kāraṃ jagac-cakraṃ jñeyam traidhātukam ananta-bhāvalakṣaṇam cakram*), which is also the principle of *Upāya*. *Kāla-cakra*, therefore, means the absolutely unified principle of *Prajñā* and *Upāya*.³ We shall presently see that the conception of the Lord Vajra-sattva, the Godhead of Tāntric Buddhism, is also exactly the same. Sometimes we find in the *Kāla-cakra-tantra* salutation to Lord Śrī-kāla-cakra in the very manner in which Lord Vajra-sattva, the Lord Supreme, is saluted in most of the Buddhist Tantras.⁴ This *Kāla-cakra* is the Bodhicitta; he is the ultimate

¹ *Sekoddeśa-ṭīkā*, p. 8. Cf. also,—
karuṇā-śūnyatā-mūrtiḥ kālah saṃvṛti-rūpiṇī |
śūnyatā-cakraṃ ity uktam kāla-cakro'dvayo'kṣaraḥ ||
Ibid., p. 8.

² *kākārāt kāraṇe śānte lakārāl layo'tra vai |*
cakārāc cala-cittasya krakārāt krama-bandhanaiḥ ||
Ibid., p. 8.

³ As for the unification of *Prajñā* and *Upāya* see *infra*.

⁴ *namas te kāla-cakrāya sarvā-varaṇa- hānaye |*
paramā-kṣara-sukhā-pūrṇa jñāna-kāya namo'(a sic.) stu te ||
śūnyatā-karuṇā-bhinnam bodhi-cittam yad akṣaram |
tena sekena me nātha prasādam kuru sāmpratam || etc.
Sekoddeśa-ṭīkā, p. 24.

immutable substance in the form of motionless great bliss (*acintya-mahāsukha*).¹

The Sahajiyā school is an offshoot of Vajra-yāna. There is no exclusive literature belonging to Sahaja-yāna,—on the other hand, the Sahajiyā poets of the Dohās and songs recognise the well-known texts of Vajra-yāna as their authority. The exponents of the Sahajiyā school put the whole emphasis on their protest against the formalities of life and religion. Truth is something which can never be found through mere austere practices of discipline, neither can it be realised through much reading and philosophising, or through fasting, bathing, constructing images and worshipping gods and goddesses and the innumerable other paraphernalia of rites and rituals prescribed in Vajra-yāna ; it is to be intuited within in the most unconventional way through the initiation in the *Tattva* and the practice of yoga. This makes the position of the Sahajiyās distinct from that of the Vajra-yānists in general.

The name Sahaja-yāna seems to be doubly significant ; it is Sahaja-yāna because, its aim is to realise the ultimate innate nature (*sahaja*) of the self as well as of the *dharmas*, and it is Sahaja-yāna also because of the fact that instead of suppressing and thereby inflicting undue strain on the human nature it makes man realise the truth in the most natural way,—i.e., by adopting the path through which the human nature itself leads him. What is natural is the easiest ; and thus Sahaja, from its primary meaning of being natural acquires the secondary meaning of being easy, straight or plain. As

namaḥ śrī-kāla-cakrāya śūnyatā-karuṇā-tmane |
tri-bhavo-ṭṭatti-kṣaya-bhāvo jñāna-jñeyaika-mūrtaye ||
sākārā ca nirākṛtir bhagavatī prajñā tayā'lingitaḥ |
utpāda-vyaya-varjito' ksara-sukho hāsyā-di-saukhyo-jjhitaḥ ||
buddhānām janakas tri-kāya-sahitaḥ traikālya-samvedakah |
sarvajñāḥ paramā-dibuddho bhagavān vande tam evā'dvayam ||

Beginning of the *Laghu-kāla-cakra-tantra-rāja-ṭikā* (entitled *Vimala-prabhā*, MS. R.A.S.B. No. 4727).

As for the salutations to Lord Vajra-sattva see *infra*, Ch. IV.

¹ *Sekoddeśa-ṭikā*, p. 8, also, p. 8.

the Sahajiyā school has been studied by the present writer in a comprehensive way in another work, entitled *Obscure Religious Cults As Background Of Bengali Literature*, he does not propose to deal with it into further detail here.

(B) GENERAL CHARACTERISTICS OF VAJRA-YĀNA

Vajra-yāna or the 'Adamantine way' is really the way or means for the realisation of the Vajra-nature or the immutable and impenetrable void-nature of the self as well as of the *dharmas*.¹ But the scope and characteristics of Vajra-yāna have not been satisfactorily explained in any of the Tāntric texts. The *Śrī-samāja*, which is credited by some to be the earliest authoritative text on Vajra-yāna, explains Vajra-yāna as the means which has recourse to the five families (*kulas*) of the Bodhisattvas, viz., *Moha* (presided over by Vairocana with his Śakti Vajradhātviśvari), *Dveṣa* (presided over by Akṣobhya with his Śakti Locanā), *Rāga* (presided over by Amitābha with his Śakti Pāṇḍarā), etc.; but these *kulas* have always their foundation on the *Vajra* or the *sūnyatā*.² Thus, according to the *Śrī-samāja*, the school that grew with the system of the five families, the *Kulas*, of the Bodhisattvas is the Vajra-yāna school. In the *Sādhanamālā* we find some characteristics of Vajra-yāna explained in connection with the *Sādhanā* of Vajratārā. Here the Sādhaka takes the resolution before the *Cakra* of the goddess that he will remove all the evils (*pāpa*) in him—will hold in high regard all the merits (*kuśala*) of all the Buddhas, Bodhisattvas, *Āryas* (the reverend) and of all the *Prthag-janas* (ordinary men)—will develop all his merits which will lead him to transcendental (*anuttara*) perfect enlightenment (*sam-*

¹ Vide *infra*, Ch. IV.

² *moho dveṣas tathā rāgaḥ sadā vajre ratiḥ sthitā |
upāyas tena buddhānāṃ vajra-ḥṣṇam iti smṛtam ||*
Śrī-guhyasamāja-tantra, p. 154.

bodhi); he will take refuge in Buddha, the supreme one of all men,—to *Dharma* including the whole Mahāyāna, to the *Sanḡha*,—the assembly of the immutable Bodhisattvas; he will attain transcendental perfect enlightenment for all the beings—for their good,—for their happiness,— for establishing them in the element of *nirvāṇa* and in the wisdom of the Buddhas,— and for all these he is going to adopt the path of transcendental perfect enlightenment,—and that path is the Vajra-yāna.¹ In another place also, the attainment of Bodhi through all the seemingly unmeaning practices, rites, ceremonies, mantras and meditation is professed to be the aim of Vajra-yāna.² In the *Jñāna-siddhi* of Indrabhūti it has been said that the Vajra-yāna has been explained in innumerable *yoga-tantras*, those fools who do not know of it whirl in the sea of existence.³ Vajra-yāna is compared to the raft by which one is to cross the ocean of existence, which is full of the snares of false subjectivity.⁴ In the *Guhya-siddhi* of Padmavajra Vajra-yāna is characterised as the transcendental path which is the unity of all the religions, the doctrines of Vajra-yāna are the most secret;—it is a religion of non-substantiality and purity,—it has got no parallel.⁵

But all these are mere cursory descriptions none of which suggests any correct definition of Vajra-yāna. In fact, Vajra-yāna cannot be defined; for it incorporated within it so many heterogeneous elements and

¹ eṣo'ham anuttara-samyak-sambodhi-mārgam āśrayāmi yad utu vajra-yānam |

Sādhana-mālā, Vol. I, p. 225.

² *Sādhana-mālā*, Vol. II, p. 421.

³ *Two Vajra-yāna Works*, p. 81 (G.O.S.).

⁴ kalpanā-jāla-pūrṇasya saṃsārasya maho-dadheḥ |
vajra-yānam samāruhya ko vā pārām gamiṣyati ||

Ibid., p. 68.

⁵vajra-yānam anuttaram |
sarva-dharma-samekatvaṃ yat tvayā bhāṣitaṃ prabhu ||
aho guhyā-tiguhyasya vajra-yānasya deśanā |
niḥsvabhāvasya śuddhasya vidyate yasya no'panā ||

Guhya-siddhi, MS. p. 6(A).

practices that any attempt at strict definition must be futile. We occasionally find in it all the six Tāntric practices technically known as *abhicāra*, viz., *māraṇa* (i.e., killing), *mohana* (enchanting), *stambhana* (paralysing), *vidveṣaṇa* (rendering harm through animosity), *uccāṭana* (removing or driving away) and *vaśī-karaṇa* (subduing), carried on with the purpose of fulfilling the selfish desires. Again, there are the elaborate methods of worshipping the gods and goddesses, making their images or paintings, chanting of the *gāthās* (verses) and the Mantras, the culture of the *pāramitās*, the application of the Mudrās, and drawing the mystic circles, the practices of Haṭha-yoga as well as of the contemplative yoga-system found in the canonical texts like the *Visuddhi-magga*, etc., and last but not the least, the sexo-yogic Tāntric practices.¹ We need not here enter into the detail of these heterogeneous practices, the mere enumeration and illustration of which are not likely to throw any light of any kind. Let us only notice here how these innumerable practices have been given a Buddhistic colouring.

In spite of this heterogeneity of elements the most striking feature of Vajra-yāna, which justifies the general name given to it, is the use and function of the idea of Vajra. Vajra, as we shall presently see, is the

¹ Often we find mention of the rules of strict discipline, the development of the *pāramitās*, but often the Vajra-yānist declare that there cannot be anything evil for the Vajra-yānist,—no work not to be done,—no food not to be taken, no woman not to be enjoyed. Often it is rather commended that a Vajra-yānist should steal the properties of others, always tell the lie, kill all the beings, eat everything, should enjoy all women, whatever relation she may be.

para-sva-haraṇam kuryāt para-dāra-niṣevanam |
vaktavyam ca mṛṣā-vākyaṁ sarva-buddhān ca ghātayet ||

.....
gamyā-gamya-vikalpan tu bhakṣyā-bhakṣyan tathaiiva ca |
peyā-peyam tathā mantri kuryān naiva samāhitah ||

Advaya-siddhi, MS. (C.L.B. No. 18124) p. 86(A).

Cf. also *Śṛīguhya-samāja-tantra*, p. 125, p. 98, p. 20 etc. (G.O.S.).

Kṛṣṇa-yamāri-tantra. MS. (R.A.S.B. No. 9964) p. 20(B),
quoted in the Catalogue of Sans.-Buddhist MSS. in R.A.S.B.,
Vol. I, p. 150.

void (*śūnyatā*),—and in Vajra-yāna everything is Vajra—*i.e.*, perfect void. In worshipping a god, the god is thought of as of the Vajra-nature, his image is Vajra,—the worshipper is Vajra, the materials of worship are Vajra, the mantras are Vajra,—the processes are Vajra and everything is Vajra. This Vajra, as we have already said, often serves as the stamp of the Vajra-yāna. In the *Kriyā-saṃgraha-nāma-pañjikā* the names of the goddesses are generally *Vajra-rūpiṇī*, *Vajra-bhāskarī*, *Vajra-huṃkarī*, *Vajra-vilāsinī*, *Vajra-mohinī*, *Vajra-khecarī*, *Vajrāsanī*, *Vajra-rasanī*, *Vajra-trāsanī*, *Vajra-sparśinī*, *Vajra-cetanī*, *Vajra-virāsinī*, *Vajra-vikāsinī*, etc.¹ It should also be noticed that the supreme goddess is also called *Vajreśvarī*, or *Vajra-dhātviśvarī* or *Vajra-vārāhī*. In the rites and worships we find mention of the articles incense, lamp, conch-shell, bell, perfumes, flowers, garlands, sisamum (*tila*), grains (*yaba*), seat (*āsana*), flag, jar, cloth, ornaments, fried paddy (*lāja*), unboiled rice (*akṣata*), offerings (*arghya* and *añjali*), the five preparations from cow-milk (*pañca-gavya*), the five sweets (*pañcā-mṛta*), etc., but all of them invariably bear the stamp of Vajra.² There is laughing (*hāsyā*), artistic gesture and movement (*lāsyā*), music, dancing, playing on musical instruments—everything of which is Vajra. We need not multiply the instances. If we examine the *Kriyā-saṃgraha* we shall find nothing there which has not the determinant Vajra before it. Almost same is the case with the *Sādhanas* of the *Sādhana-mālā*. It was the peculiar idea of the Vajra-yānists that anything that bears the epithet *vajra* before it, necessarily leads one to the realisation of the void-nature of the self and the *dharmas*. We have seen that even the woman to be adopted for the yogic practices was called the *vajra-kanyā* or the ‘thunder or, void girl,’ and so it

¹ Ch. III. MS. pp. 20-21.

² Vide *Ibid.*, pp. 88-40, 49, etc.

Cf. also *Sādhana-mālā*, pp. 18-19, 821-22.

is in the fitness of things that the vehicle (*yāna*) itself should be called the *Vajra-yāna*.

It is very interesting to note how in the popular practices and worship of gods, goddesses and even of ghosts and spirits the ideas of Mahāyāna Buddhism lie interspersed. Thus in the selection of the ground for a monastery, forty gods and goddesses are to be meditated on and worshipped in the four quarters. These are,—*Pramuditā*, *Vimalā*, *Prabhākari*, *Arciṣmatī*, *Sudurjayā*, *Abhimukhī*, *Duraṅgamā*, *Acalā*, *Sādhumatī* and *Dharmamegha* (i.e., the ten *Bodhisattva-bhūmis*) in the east facing the west; again the ten gods of the nature of the ten *pāramitās*, viz., *Dāna* (charity), *Śīla* (good-conduct), *Kṣānti* (forbearance), *Vīrya* (energy), *Dhyāna* (meditation), *Prajñā* (wisdom), *Upāya* (means), *Praṇidhi* (concentration), *Bala* (strength) and *Jñāna* (knowledge) in the south; again ten in the west facing the east, viz., *Āyuh* (life), *Citta* (mind), *Parīśkāra* (cleanliness), *Karma* (deed), *Upapatti* (birth), *Siddhi* (perfection), *Abhimukti* (that which is facing perfection), *Praṇidhāna* (concentration), *Jñāna* (knowledge), and *Dharma-vaśitā* (control of the *dharmas*); and ten goddesses in the North facing the South of the nature of the ten *Dhāraṇīs*,—viz., *Vasumatī*, *Ratnasthā*, *Uṣṇīṣa-vijayā*, *Māricī*, *Parṇa-śavari*, *Anekamukhī*, *Jāṅgulī*, *Cundā* and *Prajñā-varādhinī*, *Sarva-buddha-kroṣatī*.¹ In the description of gods and goddesses we find that as they hold *Vajra* (thunder), *Khadga* (sword), *Pāśa* (noose), *Padma* (lotus), etc., so also they hold *Prajñā-pustaka* (the book of knowledge), *Bodhi-vṛkṣa* (the tree under which knowledge was obtained), etc. In connection with making the bricks for constructing the house it has been said that the brick must be thought of as a mass of nectar and then it should be sprinkled with the auspicious water of the jar and worshipped with the five presents (*pañco-*

¹ *Kriyā-saṃgraha-nāma-pañjikā*.
MS. pp. 6-7.

pahāra) and thus it should be purified ; and then they should be meditated on as follows :—“ This whole world is of the nature of the Tathāgatas ; the Tathāgatas are of the nature of essencelessness—and therefore, the whole world is also of the nature of essencelessness.”¹

In the *Sādhanas* of the *Kriyā-saṃgraha* in the form of worship and the muttering of various Mantras the fundamental aim of producing the Bodhicitta and of relieving the whole world is not lost sight of. Here also we find that through all the Mantras, Mudrā and Maṇḍala and all other rites and ceremonies the *Sādhaka* is bent on realising the nature of his *citta* as luminous by nature (*prakṛti-prabhāsvara*), as the immutable void (*vajra*) ; after the realisation of the self as the void, he identifies himself with all the objects of the world and becomes one with all.² Even in constructing the image of a god and in establishing it with religious ceremony and in worshipping it with all the elaborate paraphernalia the aim is professed to be the attainment of the Bodhicitta.

If we analyse the *Sādhanas* of the *Sādhana-mālā*, we shall see that the ideas of Buddhism are interspersed with polytheistic idolatry. Let us, for instance, examine the *Vajrā-sana-sādhanaṃ*. Here, first, the Lord Supreme should be thought of with the five Tathāgatas, and then flowers are to be offered. Then the five Tathāgatas and their Śaktis are to be saluted and flowers, incense, lamp, and other offerings are to be presented to them. Then the *Sādhaka* is to meditate on the four *Brahma-vihāras*, e.g., universal friendship (*maitrī*), universal compassion (*karuṇā*), self-contentment (*muditā*), and absolute indifference (*upekṣā*). Then he should think in his heart the lunar disc transformed into the letter ‘a’ and on that the syllable

¹ *tathāgato yat-svabhāvas tat-svabhāvam idaṃ jagat |*
tathāgato niḥ-svabhāvo niḥ-svabhāvam idaṃ jagat ||
Ibid., MS. p. 21.

² *Ibid.*, pp. 45-50.

'hum' of the colour of gold and then think of the Guru, Buddhas and the Bodhisattvas emanating from the rays of that 'hum'. These Guru, Buddha and Bodhisattvas should then be worshipped ; then follow the confession of sins and the acceptance of the merits ; then follow the taking shelter to the three jewels, e.g., Buddha, Dharma and Saṅgha, and the adoption of the path followed by the Jinas (the self-conquered) and then the production of the Bodhicitta. Then follows the meditation on the void (*śūnyatā*), and then the realization of the nature of all the *dharmas* as essenceless and the realization of the self as luminous, of the form of the immutable Bodhicitta ; for the stabilization of this state the following Mantra should be chanted,—
 "Naturally pure are all the *dharmas*, and by nature pure am I !" After this follow the other details of the *Sādhana*.¹ This in general is the nature of many of the *Sādhana*s that are collected in the *Sādhana-mālā*. It

¹ *Sādhana-mālā*, Vol. I (G.O.S.), pp. 18-19. In the *Khasarpaṇa-sādhana* we find that first of all Lord Khasarpaṇa should be saluted and worshipped ; then the Sādhaka should confess his sin before the Lord and take the vow that he will follow the merits of the Buddhas, the son of the Buddhas (i.e., the Bodhisattvas), the reverend ones and others and develop them to perfect enlightenment ; then as usual he takes refuge in the three jewels of Buddha, Dharma and Saṅgha. Then he takes the resolution of producing the Bodhicitta for the well-being and deliverance of all, and adopts the path followed by the Sugatas and their followers. Then he should think of the world of static and dynamic as all void and place himself in the void with the Mantra, 'om I am of the nature of the immutable knowledge of the void.' Then he should realise that all the *dharmas* are pure by nature and he too is pure by nature and then he should read, 'Naturally pure are all the *dharmas*, pure am I by nature—amen !' Then the Sādhaka should realise himself as pure consciousness (*viññāna-mātrā-tmaka*) and then think of a lotus of the colour of the universe (*viśva-varna-kamala*) transformed as "the syllable 'paṃ' and on that a clear lunar disc emanating from the letter 'a' and on that the syllable 'hriḥ' which is radiating rays which cover the sky ; and then see all these terms formed into a full-blown, variously decorated lotus with its seed within. Then the self should be meditated on as the transformation of all these and as the Lord himself ; and then follows the list of the gods and goddesses with the detail of their descriptions and the order in which they should be meditated on by the either side of the Lord with their *bījas* and *Mantras*, etc.

is remarkable that many of the Mantras here are nothing but the well-known doctrines of *Mahāyāna* Buddhism with the addition of an 'om̐' or 'phaṭ' or 'svāhā', etc.,¹ with them. Another remarkable feature of these *Sādhanas* is the construction of the mental images of the lunar and the solar discs with the scheme of the colours and the various lotuses often with full decorations forming the canvas, as it were, for the objects of meditation ; this seems to be significant from the yoga point of view.

¹ The most general Mantras, as we have already noticed, are:--

(i) *om̐ śūnyatā-jñāna-vajra-svabhāvā-tmako'ham* |

(ii) *om̐ svabhāva-śuddhāḥ sarva-dharmāḥ svabhava-śuddho'ham* |

In addition other Mantras like---

(i) *om̐ sarva-tathāgatā-tmako'ham* |

(ii) *om̐ dharmā-dhātu-svabhāvā-tmako'ham* | etc.

are also found.

CHAPTER IV

THE THEOLOGICAL POSITION OF THE TANTRIC BUDDHISTS

We have said in a previous chapter that the most important and the most interesting study in the Buddhist Tantras is that of the gradual transformation of Mahāyānic ideas into an esoteric theology and the association of the esoteric Yogic practices with them. We repeat here that it may not be a fact that the practices are there solely because of this theology ; on the contrary we are inclined to postulate great influence of these practices in evolving the doctrinal theology through the gradual transformation of the Mahāyānic ideas. Let us now have some idea about the transformation of some of the main Mahāyānic thoughts into Tāntric esoterism.

(1) *Vajra And Vajrasattva.*

In the transformation of the ideology of Mahāyāna into Vajra-yāna the first thing to note is the transformation of the idea of Sūnyatā into the idea of Vajra. The word Vajra, commonly rendered as the thunderbolt, is taken here to connote the immutable adamantine nature of the *dharmas*. It has been said,—“Sūnyatā, which is firm, substantial, indivisible and impenetrable, incapable of being burnt and imperishable, is called Vajra.”¹ To realise the Vajra nature of things is, therefore, to realise the ultimate void nature of things. Some of the most important Mantras of the Vajra-yānists are,—“I am of the adamantine nature, which is Sūnyatā-knowledge.”² “All the

¹ *dīḍham sāram asaśīryam acchedyū-bhedyā-lakṣaṇam |
adāhi avināśi ca sūnyatā vajram ucyate ||*

Advaya-vajra-saṁgraha, p. 87 (G.O.S.).

Cf. abhedyānā vajram ity uktam, Hevajra-tantra, MS. p. 2(A).

Cf. also,—sūnyatā vajram ity uktam, Jvālāvalī-vajra-mālā-tantra.

MS., B.N., No. 47, p. 1(B).

² *om sūnyatā-jñāna-vajra-svabhāvā-tmako'ham |*

dharmas are of the adamantine nature, I am also of the adamantine nature.”¹

We have seen before that the word Vajra-yāna, which is the name for Tāntric Buddhism in general, really means the Śūnyatā-yāna—the path of void which is the adamantine path. We have also seen that this Vajra served as the stamp, as it were, of the Tāntric Buddhists, showing thereby that the aim of the Vajra-yānists in and through all the paraphernalia of Mantras and Tantras was nothing but the realisation of the adamantine void-nature of the self and the not-self.

Who is then the Vajra-sattva? He is the Being of adamantine substance—the ultimate principle as the unity of the universe. It has been said,—“By *vajra* is meant Śūnyatā, and by *sattva* is implied pure consciousness; the identity of the two follows from the nature of Vajra-sattva.”² Here it seems that the ultimate principle of the Vijñānavādins as pure consciousness (*viññapti-mātratā*), which is pure knowledge and as such identical with Śūnyatā in the form of the absence of subjectivity and objectivity, is the Vajra-sattva. It has again been said, “Śūnyatā is said to be Vajra, and all manifestation in form is said to be *sattva*; Vajra-sattva implies the unity and the identity of the two.”³ In other words the Vajra-sattva is the *Abhūta-parikalpa* of the Vijñānavādins, where both Śūnyatā and the world-manifestation remain unrecognisably unified. But the fundamental departure of the Tāntric Buddhists from the standpoint of the Vijñānavādin Buddhists is that the ultimate principle

¹ *vajrā-tmakāḥ sarva-dharmā vajrā-tmako'ham* |

² *vajreṇa śūnyatā proktā sattvena jñāna-mātratā* |
tādātmyam anayoḥ siddham vajra-sattva-svabhāvataḥ ||
Advaya-vajra-saṃgraha, p. 24.

³ *śūnyatā vajram ityuktam ākāraḥ sattvam ucyate* |
tādātmyam anayoḥ aikyaṁ vajra-sattva iti smṛtaḥ ||
Jvālāvali-vajra-mālā-tantra. MS. B.N. Sans. No. 47,
p. 1(B).

of the Vajra-yānists, however negatively it might have sometimes been described, was tacitly conceived and more often frankly described as a Being—sometimes as the personal God, the Lord Supreme. Though the Vajra-sattva is free from all existence and non-existence, he, nevertheless, is endowed with the potency of all form and existences and is himself the embodiment of loveliness. ¹

✓ This Vajra-sattva, as the Lord Supreme of the Tāntric Buddhists, representing a monotheistic conception of the Godhead, has variously been described in the Buddhist Tantras with all sorts of positive and negative attributes. He is the *Bhagavān* as he possesses all the six excellences; or he is called the *Bhagavān* as he possesses *bhaga* which means that which breaks or removes; *Śūnyatā* or *Prajñā* removes all afflictions and drives away the *Māra* and so *śūnyatā* is called the *bhaga*. ² He is saluted as the *Śūnyatā*-essence, transcending all imagination, omniscient, embodiment of pure wisdom. ³ He is the abode of all merits and is of the nature of all the beings. ⁴ He is the Being without origination and destruction, the all-good, the soul-substance of all, the enlightened one—including in him all the static and the dynamic. ⁵ Perfect knowledge is his only eye, he is the stainless embodiment of knowledge, pure, all-pervading, the subtle seed (of all creation), the immutable. ⁶ He eternally belongs to all the elements, to all the beings—he remains pervading all the bodies in the form of the flow of consciousness (*citta-dhārā*);

¹ *bhāvā-bhāva-vinirmukto vajra-sattvaḥ sucintitaḥ || sarvā-kāra-varopetaḥ asecanaka-vigrahaḥ |*

Pañca-krama, MS. p. 27(A).

² *bhañjanam bhagam ākhyātam kleśa-mārā-di-bhañjanāt | prajñā-bādhyās ca tekṣās tasmāt prajñā bhago'cyate || Hevajra-tantra, MS. R.A.S.B.*

³ *Prajño-pāya-viniścaya-siddhi, Ch. III, Verse 9.*

⁴ *Ibid., Ch. V, Verse 45.*

⁵ *Jñāna-siddhi, p. 84.*

⁶ *jñānaika-cakṣur amalā jñāna-mūrtis tathāgataḥ | niṣkalaḥ sarvago vyāpti sūkṣma-vijam anāstavaḥ || Quoted in the Jñāna-siddhi, Ch. XV.*

he is immutable, unthinkable, pure, passionless, perfect void like the sky, free from existence and non-existence.¹ He is sometimes spoken of as of the nature of infinite bliss (*mahāsukha*); this, we shall see later on, is a great innovation which moulded the whole Tāntric system in a different form.²

The idea of the Dharma-kāya Buddha, discussed before, may be recalled in connection with this idea of the Vajra-sattva. The Dharma-kāya of the Mahāyānists seems to have been replaced by this Vajra-sattva or the Vajra-kāya of the Vajra-yānists. The Vajra-kāya has often been identified with the Dharma-kāya,

¹ *Srī-vajra-maṇḍalā-laṅkāra*, quoted in the *Jñāna-siddhi*, p. 84. Descriptions of this type abound in the Buddhist Tantras. In the *Vyakta-bhāvānugata-tattva-siddhi* the Lord Vajra-sattva is saluted as the Lord to be realised by the individual soul, the Lord without parallel, all-moving, all-pervading, the only doer, the only destroyer, the Lord Supreme over the world, the revealer of potentialities.—

pratyātma-vedyo bhagavān upamā-varjitaḥ prabhukḥ |
sarvagah sarva-vyāpī ca kartā hartā jagat-patiḥ ||
śrī-mān vajra-sattvo'sau vyakta-bhāva-prakāśakah |

MS., C.L.B., p. 91(A).

Elsewhere he is saluted as the Sūnyatā-essence, free from all constructive imagination, producing right knowledge; he is perfect wisdom personified, he is the remover of illusion, the preacher of the pure truth, born of the non-essential nature of the *dharma*s; he is Bodhi-citta, from him come all the perfectly enlightened ones, all the Bodhisattvas, all truth and all the highest moral virtues. He represents the three jewels, the great way to salvation, the reality in all the static and the dynamic, he is all that are the transformations of the three elements.—

namas te śūnyatā-garbhā sarva-saṅkalpa-varjita |
sarva-prajñāna-sandoha jñāna-mūrte namas tu te ||
jagad-ajñāna-vicchedī śuddha-tattvā-rtha-deśakah |
dharma-nairātmya-sambhūta vajra-sattva namas tu te ||
ratna-trayaṁ mahāyānaṁ tattva-sthāvara-jaṅgamam |
trai-dhātukam idaṁ sarvaṁ jagad-vīra namas tu te ||

Samputikā, MS. (R.A.S.B. No. 4854), p. 12(B).

Cf. also,—*suviśuddha-mahājñānaṁ sarva-deva-svarūpakam |*
vajra-sattva iti khyātaṁ paraṁ sukham udāhṛtam ||
svayambhū-rūpam etat tu dharmā-kāya-svarūpakam |
tasyaiva saha-jā prajñā sthitā tudgata-rūpiṇī ||

Ibid., MS. p. 48(B).

² *sa caiva sarva-bhāvena sarvadā samavasthitaḥ |*
anādi-nidhanaḥ sattvo vajra-sattvaḥ paraṁ sukham ||

Quoted in the *Jñāna-siddhi*, Ch. XV.

Cf. also,—*Advaya-samatā-vijaya*.

but often this Vajra-kāya has been conceived as a fourth body added to the three kāyas of the Mahāyānists ; in the Sahajiyā sect, or the Sahaja-yāna, which is a mere offshoot of the Vajra-yāna, the Vajra-sattva or the Vajra-kāya has been transformed into the Sahaja-kāya, which is decidedly a fourth body conceived above the Dharma-kāya.

A little thought over the nature of the Vajra-sattva discussed above will reveal that the conception of this Vajra-sattva is almost the same as the monistic conception of the godhead variously conceived in Hinduism. It is a well-known fact that Mahāyāna Buddhism was gradually coming closer and closer to the Upaniṣadic ideas and that many Vedāntic ideas were tacitly implied in the Śūnyavāda and Vijñānavāda doctrines. We have also hinted before how the Mahāyānic idea of the Dharma-kāya came nearer to the idea of a monotheistic godhead in popular faith. But what was tacitly implied in Mahāyāna was fully developed, consciously or unconsciously, by the Vajra-yānists. The monistic conception of the Brahman is already there in the conception of the Dharma-kāya Buddha, and this conception finds its full expression in the conception of the Vajra-sattva, who is pure consciousness purged off of all the impurities of subjectivity and objectivity ; he is pervading the whole universe—the inner principle of all *dharmas*—the unity in diversity ; he is a *Being* of infinite wisdom and merit, possessing universal compassion—he is the Lord Buddha.

As a matter of fact, this Vajra-sattva is generally taken in the Buddhist Tantras as the Supreme Being and many of the Tantras begin with salutations to this Lord Supreme in terms which are equally applicable to the Brahman also.¹ It is also interesting to note

¹ Cf. the salutation at the beginning of the *Pañca-krama* :—
trailokyā-cāra-muktam gagana-samagatam sarva-bhāva-

*svabhāvam
durbodham durvicāram sva-para-hitutamam vyāpinam nir-*
nimittam |

that all the Buddhist Tantras of the Saṅgīti-type¹ open with a galaxy of the Buddhas, Bodhisattvas and innumerable other heavenly, terrestrial as well as ghostly beings assembled to listen to the words of the Lord Supreme, who is none but the Vajra-sattva.²

The most striking feature of Vajra-yāna associated with the conception of the Vajra-sattva is the importance attached to the self. According to the Upaniṣads, the Brahman, who is both immanent and transcendent, is to be realised within as the self. Brahma-realisation really means the realisation of the self as the Brahman. The Buddhist Tantras also say that the Sādhaka, who realises the Vajra-sattva, himself becomes the Vajra-sattva. To realise the Vajra-sattva is to realise that all existence is nothing but Śūnyatā in its pure nature ; but once the Sādhaka becomes endowed with this wisdom through his realisation, he becomes the Vajra-

*śuddhaṃ śāntaṃ viviktaṃ parama-śivamayam yoginām eva
gamyam, etc.*

*Cf. also,—namo vajra-sattvāya |
praṇīpatya jagan-nāthaṃ sarva-jinavarā-rcitam |
sarva-buddhamayaṃ siddhi-vyāpinam gagano-pamam ||
sarvadam sarva-sattvebhyaḥ sarvajñāṃ varavajriṇam |
bhaktyā'haṃ sarva-bhāvenu vakṣye tat-sādhanāṃ param ||
Jñāna-siddhi, Ch. I, Verses 1-2.*

Cf. also,—the salutations at the beginning of Advaya-siddhi (MS. C.L.B. No. 18124), Tattva-siddhi (MS. C.L.B. No. 18124), Acintyā-dvaya-kramo-padeśa (MS. C.L.B. 18124), Catur-mudrā (MS. C.L.B. 18124), Vajrayāna-sādhanā-ṅgāni (MS. R.A.S.B. No. 4837), Śoḍaśa-mānasi-bhava-cakra (MS. R.A.S.B. No. 10741B), etc.

¹ The Saṅgīti is the type of Buddhist literature which invariably opens with an assembly of the faithful devotees to whom Lord Buddha would preach all truth, and this type of literature also invariably opens with a sentence like this:—*evaṃ mayā śrutam ekasmin samaye*, etc. (*Cf. Gandha-varṇsa*, Pali Text-book Society.) These Buddhist Tantras are called the *Buddha-vacanas* (or the sayings of the Buddha) and in Tibetan translations they form an important part of the Kangyur collection while the translations of the texts, which are composed by the Buddhist Ācāryas themselves, form part of the Tangyur collection.

² *Cf. śastā sarva-buddha-bodhisattvānāṃ sarva-tathāgatānāṃ ca sa eva bhagavān mahā-vajra-dharaḥ sarva-buddha-jñānā-dhipatir iti | Śrī-guhya-saṃāja, Ch. XVII.*

sattva. He is also called the Mahā-sattva as he is full of the bliss of infinite knowledge ; and as he is always engaged in the right method of religious observances (*samaya*), he is called the *Samaya-sattva* ; as he is eternally associated with the disciplinary practices for the attainment of wisdom, he is called the *Bodhi-sattva*, and as associated with perfect wisdom, he is called the *Jñāna-sattva*.¹ It is emphatically said in the *Jñāna-siddhi* that our *Bodhi*-mind, which is of the nature of the Vajra, is itself the Buddhahood ; so Buddhahood should be realised through conceiving all things as the self.² In such a stage a man realises himself in all things, everywhere, in all aspects, by all means and for all time, and he realises the universalised self as the universal perfectly enlightened one.³ This realisation of the self as the highest being is the realisation of the self as God (*devatā*) and the process is technically called the *svādhi-daivata-yoga*.⁴ It is frequently prescribed that the self should be realised as God and then it should be worshipped with all the usual rites and rituals.⁵ In the *Prajñopāya-viniścaya-siddhi* it is said that the Lord is of the nature of all existence in the form of the Bodhicitta ; he is the Lord, the holder

¹ *abhedyam vajram ity uktam sattvam tri-bhavasyaikatā |
anayā prajñayā yuktam vajra-sattva iti smṛtaḥ ||
mahā-jñāna-rasaiḥ pūrṇo mahā-sattvo nigadyate |
nityam samaya-pravṛttatvāt samaya-sattvo vidhīyate ||
bodhi-caryā-samāsenā bodhi-sattvam nigadyate |
prajñā-jñāna-sannāyogāt jñāna-sattvas tathāgataḥ ||*
MS. (R.A.S.B. No. 11817) p. 2(A).

² *bodhicittam idaṁ vajram sarva-buddhatvam ātmanah |
tasmāt sarvātma-yogena sarva-buddhatvam āpnute ||*
Jñāna-siddhi, Ch. XV.

³ *sarvatra sarvataḥ sarvaṁ sarvathā sarvadā svayam |
sarva-buddhamayaṁ siddhaṁ svanīātmānaṁ prapaśyati ||*
Ibid., Ch. XV, Verse 52.

⁴ *Cf. svādhidaivata-yogena sarvam ekaṁ vikalpayet.
Abhidhāno-ttara. MS. (R.A.S.B. No. 10789) p. 7(A).*

⁵ *sarva-bhogo-pabhogai (śca) sevya-mānair yathāsukham |
svādhi-daivata-yogena svam ātmānaṁ prapūjayet ||*
Ch. XV, Verse 54.

of the thunder, and this self verily is God.¹ It is found in most of these Sādhanas that gods and goddesses are first of all to be thought of on the solar or the lunar disc or on the lotus, but the whole group is then to be meditated on within as identical with the self. The *Svādhiṣṭhāna-krama* of the *Pañca-krama* gives a very clear exposition of this theory of self-worship. The Yogin is first of all to meditate on the nature of the world, and through deep concentration of the mind to realise the nature of the phenomenal world as purely illusory; then he is to realise the Vajra-sattva who is free from all the categories of existence and non-existence, who is endowed with all the forms, yet of whom no form can be conceived, and then it is added that to realise the self is to realise this Vajra-sattva. The self is described here as the all-powerful, the universal Buddhahood, and, therefore, it has been prescribed that with all care the self should always be worshipped.² All the Mantras and Mudrās and Maṇḍalas—everything should be applied to the worship of the self. The chapter concludes with the injunction that everything should first of all be realised in its *Śūnyatā*-nature and then should be thought of as the self.³ This realisation of the self in all as of the immutable and all-pervading nature is the *svādhiṣṭhāna* (affirmation of the self) and this *svādhiṣṭhāna* is the secret of all Yogas.⁴

With the evolution of the idea of the Vajra-sattva as the Lord Supreme there evolved a new pantheon in Vajra-yāna. The Vajra-sattva is the Primal Enlight-

¹ *sarva-bhāva-svabhāvo'yaṁ bodhicitta-svarūpaḥ |
sa eva bhagavān vajri tasmād ātmaiva devatā ||*

Ch. V, Verse 33.

² *ātmā vai sarva-buddhatvaṁ sarva-sauritvaṁ eva ca |
tasmāt sarva-prayatnena hy ātmānam pūjayet sadā ||*

MS. p. 27(B).

³ *bahunātra kim uktena vajra-yoge tu tattvataḥ |
yud yad ālambayed yogi tat tad ātmaiva kalpayet ||*

Ibid., MS. p. 27(B).

⁴ Ibid., MS. p. 28(B).

ened One—the Ādi-Buddha. This Lord Supreme possesses five kinds of knowledge which are like five attributes of the Lord. From these five attributes proceed five kinds of meditation (*dhyāna*) and from these five kinds of *Dhyāna* emanate five deities who are known as the five Dhyānī Buddhas. These Dhyānī Buddhas are the five presiding deities over the five *skandhas*, viz., *rūpa* (material element), *vedanā* (feeling), *saṃjñā* (conceptual knowledge), *saṃskāra* (synthetic compound mental states), and *viññāna* (consciousness).¹ The deities are (1) Vairocana, (2) Ratna-sambhava or Ratna-ketu (*vide, Śrī-guhya-samāja*, p. 12), or Ratna-nātha (*vide, Pañca-krama*, Ch. I), (3) Amitābha, or Amitāyus (*vide, Śrī-guhya-samāja*, p. 12), (4) Amogha-siddhi, or Karma-nātha (*vide, Pañca-krama*) and (5) Akṣobhya respectively.²

This pantheon of the five Dhyānī Buddhas seems to have evolved in later Buddhism with some influence from the Sāṅkhya philosophy. The five *skandhas* of the Buddhists were confusedly mixed up with the *pañca-bhūtas* (five material elements) of the Sāṅkhya, viz., earth (*kṣiti*), water (*ap*), fire (*tejas*), air (*marut*) and ether (*vyoma*). These five material elements, together with the five sense-organs, proceed, according to the Sāṅkhya, from the five *Tan-mātras* or potential elements or generic essences of sound, touch, colour, taste and smell. The word *Tan-mātra* may broadly be explained as that in and through which the unmanifested and unspecified reality (*tat*) is measured, i.e., manifested and specified. In this sense the five *Tan-*

¹ In the *Śrī-cakra-sambhāra-tantra* these five deities are explained as the five aspects of wisdom coming from the unobstructed mind; they are the Dhyānī Buddhas, whereas the presiding deities over the five *skandhas* are Vairocana (*rūpa*), Vajra-sūrya (*vedanā*), Padmanartesvara (*saṃjñā*), Rāja-vajra (*saṃskāra*) and Buddha Vajra-sattva (*viññāna*). Ch. on 'The good wishes'.

² *rūpa-vedanā-saṃjñā-saṃskāra-viññānam eva ca |*

pañca-buddha-svabhāvan tu skandho-tpatti-viniścitam ||

Vajra-vārāhī-kalpa-mahātāntra. MŚ. R.A.S.B., No. 11285, p. 10(A).

mātras may remind us of the five kinds of knowledge of the Primal Lord which are popularly conceived as the five attributes of the Lord, and from these five attributes of knowledge follow the five kinds of Dhyānas, from which again proceed the five Dhyānī Buddhas, who are again the presiding deities over the five aggregates (*skandhas*). The nature of these five kinds of *jñāna* is not explained anywhere ; but they seem to be five kinds of creative potencies in the ultimate nature of the Lord, which is pure consciousness.¹ We have seen before that in Vijñānavāda Buddhism the ultimate reality as the Abhūta-parikalpa, though bereft of all subjectivity and objectivity, possesses in it the potency of world creation. The nature of this creative potency is also knowledge and from five kinds of such knowledge there proceed five kinds of specification in the pure consciousness of the Lord and these five kinds of specification of consciousness are the five kinds of Dhyānas which are again the generic essences of the five *skandhas*.

In the Tāntric literature these five Dhyānī Buddhas are described with their Śaktis or divine consorts. Each Dhyānī Buddha has got a particular consort, a particular colour, crest, *Mudrā* (posture) and *Vāhana* ; each again has a particular Bodhisattva, a human Buddha, a *bīja-mantra*, a location, and each is again associated with a particular *Kula* or family ; they are again associated with the *pañca-bhūtas*, and the five sense-organs of sight, sound, touch, taste and smell. They are again placed in different parts of the human body. The whole thing may be illustrated with the

¹ The five kinds of *jñāna*, according to the *Advaya-vaṅgasaṃgraha*, are (i) *suviśuddha-dharma-dhātu-jñāna* producing (?) *Vairocana*, (ii) *ādarśa-jñāna*, producing *Akṣobhya*, (iii) *pratya-vekṣanā-jñāna* producing *Amitābha*, (iv) *śamatā-jñāna*, producing *Ratna-sambhava*, and (v) *kṛtyānuṣṭhāna-jñāna*, producing *Amogha-siddhi*. The *dhyāna* for creation in general is known as *loka-saṃsarjana*.

help of the table given in the next page (the controversies being ignored).¹

These five Dhyānī Buddhas are also called the five Tathāgatas and in the hierarchy of the Pañca-tathāgatas Akṣobhya, the presiding deity over *viññāna* is, often given the highest place and the first four Tathāgatas are generally marked by the miniature of the fifth Tathāgata Akṣobhya, and the latter again in his turn is marked by the miniature of the Vajra-sattva. The marking of the first four Tathāgatas by the miniature of Akṣobhya implies that the first four *skandhas* are nothing but modes and modifications of consciousness,² they are the constructions from the consciousness. But the *viññāna*, as one of the aggregates³ is not the ultimate reality, pure consciousness which is absolutely free from the notions of the *grāhya* and the *grāhaka* is the ultimate reality, and this ultimate principle of void is the Vajra-sattva, and, therefore, even Akṣobhya is marked by the miniature figure of Vajra-sattva.

This Vajra-sattva is not merely of the nature of Śūnyatā, it is a non-dual state of Śūnyatā and Karuṇā; to imply that the void-consciousness is also of the nature of an identity of both Śūnyatā and Karuṇā, Akṣobhya is marked by the Vajra-sattva.⁴ Thus the Vajra-sattva is identified with the Bodhicitta. It is said that when Prajñā or the Śūnyatā-knowledge

¹ For this, see *Śrī-guhyā-samāja, Advaya-vajra-saṅgraha* (Chs. on *Pañca-tathāgata-mudrā-vivaraṇa* and *Pañcākāra*), *Hevajra-tantra*, Ch. IX, *Sampuṭikā*, Ch. I, *Pañca-krama*, Ch. I, *The Gods of Northern Buddhism* by A. Getty, *Buddhist Iconography* by Dr. B. Bhattacharya, pp. 1-8, and *An Introduction to Buddhist Esoterism* by Dr. B. Bhattacharya, Ch. XIII.

² *Pañca-tathāgata-mudrā-vivaraṇa* in *Advaya-vajra-saṅgraha*.
Cf. also,—*rūpa-vedanā-saññā-samskāra-skandhā-tmakā vairocana-raṭna-sambhāvā-mitābhū-moghasiddhaya viññāna-mātratā iti pratipādanāya akṣobhyeṇa mudryante iti*

Pañcākāra, Advaya-vajra-saṅgraha, p. 42.

³ *Viññāna* here seems to be taken in the sense of 'awareness'.

⁴ *viññānasya naiḥsvabhāvyasya śūnyatā-karuṇayos tādātmake (tvam) ca pratipādayitum akṣobhyo'pi vajra-sattvena mudryate. Ibid., p. 42.*

Dhyāni Buddha	Skandha	Direction	Colour	Śakti	Bodhisattva	Human Buddha	Kula (Family)	Mount (Vāhana)	Posture (Mudrā)	Bija	Element (bhūta), sense-perception and sense-organ	Location in the human body
Vairocana	Rūpa	Centre	White	Vajradhātveśvari or Tārā	Samantabhadra or Cakra-pāṇi	Krakucchanda	Moha	Dragon	Dharmacakra	“a” or “om”	Vyoma (ether) Sound (śabda) Ear	Head
Akṣobhya	Vi-jñāna	East	Blue	Locanā	Vajrapāṇi	Kanakamuni	Dveṣa	Elephant	Bhūsparśa	“y” or “hum”	Marut (air) Touch (sparśa) Skin	Heart
Ratnasambhava	Vedanā	South	Yellow	Māmaki	Ratnapāṇi	Kāśyapa	Cintāmaṇi	Lion	Varada	“r” or “Svā”	Tejas (fire) Vision (rūpa) Eye	Navel
Amitābha	Samjñā	West	Red	Pāṇḍarā	Padmapāṇi, or Avalokiteśvara	Gautama	Rāga	Peacock	Samādhi	“b” or “āḥ”	Water (ap) Taste (rasa) Tongue	Mouth
Amoghasiddhi	Samśkāra	North	Green	Āryatārā or Tārā	Viśvapāṇi	Maitreya	Samaya	Garuḍa	Abhaya Viśvavajra	“I” or, “hā”	Earth (kṣiti) Smell (gandha) Nose	Legs

commingles with universal compassion, there remains no thinker, no thinkable, no thought: this is the state of non-duality, this is called the Bodhicitta—this is the adamantine truth—this is the Vajra-sattva—the perfectly enlightened one (*sambuddha*), this is perfect wisdom.¹ This Vajra-sattva (or Vajra-dhara, as he is sometimes called) is also described in the Tantras with his consort variously called as Vajra-sattvātmikā, Vajra-vārāhī, Prajñā, Prajñā-pāramitā, etc. and his *bīja-mantra* is *hum*.² This Vajra-sattva, the Lord Supreme of the Tāntric Buddhists, is found in the Buddhist Tantras bearing many other names of which the most important are Hevajra and Heruka.

(ii) Bodhicitta

(A) GENERAL CONCEPTION OF BODHICITTA

In the previous discussion we have seen that the self as the Vajra-sattva has often been said to be the Bodhicitta. The original meaning of the word Bodhicitta is *citta* or consciousness as *bodhi* or perfect wisdom. Now, in earlier Buddhism wisdom meant *śūnyatā-jñāna*—the realisation of the nothingness of the world; but we have seen, in Mahāyāna wisdom means *śūnyatā-jñāna* complemented by *Karuṇā* or universal compassion. Bodhicitta in Mahāyāna then implies the state of the *citta* where a perfect coming of *Śūnyatā* and *Karuṇā* becomes the very essence of our consciousness. In the Buddhist Tantras the word Bodhicitta, though sometimes used in its older sense of *śūnyatā-jñāna*,³ generally implies the Mahāyānic sense of the unification

¹ *etad advayam ity uktam bodhicittam idam param |
vajram śrī-vajra-sattvam ca sambuddho bodhir eva ca ||
Prajño-pāya-viniścaya-siddhi, Ch. IV, Verse 17.*

² Cf. *tan-madhye tu humkāram vajra-sattva-svarūpakam, etc.
Heruka-tantra. MS. R.A.S.B., No. 11279, p. 28(A).*

³ In the *Śrī-guhyā-samāja* we have a detailed discussion on the meaning of the word Bodhicitta and though at the end of the discussion Bodhicitta is described as the unity of *Śūnyatā* and *Karuṇā* the earlier discussion is dominated by the older sense of the word as the

of the two elements of Śūnyatā and Karuṇā. Breathing the general spirit of Mahāyāna, Saṛaha-pāda says in one of his Dohās, "He who discards Karuṇā and sticks to Śūnyatā alone never have access to the right path ; he, again, who meditates only on Karuṇā, is not liberated even in thousands of birth ; he on the other hand, who can mingle Śūnyatā with Karuṇā, remains neither in *bhava* (existence) nor in *nirvāṇa*

realisation of the essencelessness of the *dharmas*. Here the Lord is approached by the Tathāgatas of the assembly to explain to them the nature of the Bodhicitta. The Lord does not make any direct reply, but asks them first to realise the oneness pervading the body, speech and mind. Through the realisation of the homogeneity underlying the body, speech and mind the Tathāgatas realise that all origination is talked of about what is essentially unproduced. The Lord then becomes absorbed in a special kind of meditation and exclaims,—

abhāve bhāvanā-bhāvo bhāvanā naiva bhāvanā |
iti bhāvo na bhāvaḥ syād bhāvanā no'palabhyate ||

The meaning of the verse, as explained in the *Sekoddeśa-tikā* (G.O.S., p. 41), is that when existence is viewed from the perspective of the void (*abhāva*), no thought-construction remains a positive thought-construction, and existence also ceases to be existence.

After this, Vairocana Tathāgata exclaims in his meditation on the nature of *Bodhicitta*,—'My *citta* is devoid of all (notions of) existence, it is unassociated with any *skandha*, *dhātu*, *āyatana*,—or with subjectivity and objectivity,—it is without origination and is of the nature of void as are all the *dharmas* by nature'. *Aksobhya* exclaims in his own turn,—'All existence is without origination; there is no *dharmā*, neither any essence of the *dharmas*; all this is essenceless like the sky,—this is the immutable *bodhi*'. We find an echo of the same truth in the exclamation of *Ratnaketu* (or *Ratna-sambhava*), who says,—'Unreal are all the *dharmas*,—for they (*dharmas*) have no definition (*lakṣaṇa*); everything originates from the essencelessness of the *dharmas* (*dharmā-nairātmya*), and this is called the immutable *bodhi*'. *Amitāyus* (*Amitābha*) in his turn gives another description of the *Bodhicitta*; he says,—'All the *dharmas* being without origination there is neither any existence nor any notion of existence: existence of things is spoken of in exactly the same way as the existence of the sky.' Then comes the turn of *Amoghasiddhi*, who exclaims in his deep meditation that all the *dharmas* are effulgent by nature, they are perfectly pure like the sky. Then they all join in reciting the *gāthā* in praise of the *Bodhicitta* which is described here as of the nature of pure truth, pure entity (*śuddhā-rtha*), originating from the non-essential nature of things, filling the Buddhas with pure knowledge (*bodhi*), without thought-construction, without any support, good all round, benevolent, the inspirer of the *bodhi*-mind,—embodiment of the practices for the *Bodhicitta*, immutable by nature.

(extinction)".¹ The Bodhicitta state of the self is thus a transcendental state—it is a state of supreme realisation transcending both *bhava* and *nirvāṇa*. The self in this state of Bodhicitta is the supreme reality—it is the Vajra-sattva. It is "without a beginning, without an end, quiescent, it is immutable in existence as well as in non-existence, it is the non-duality of Śūnyatā and Karuṇā."² It is eternal resplendent, pure, the abode of all the Jinas, it includes all the *dharmas* within it, it is divine—the cause of the whole universe."³

(B) ŚŪNYATĀ AND KARUṆĀ AS PRAJÑĀ AND UPĀYA

Śūnyatā and Karuṇā are widely termed as Prajñā and Upāya respectively in Tāntric Buddhism. Śūnyatā is called Prajñā simply because it represents perfect knowledge. So far as an individual Sādhaka is concerned, this perfect knowledge is rather a static or negative state of mind which separates the individual from the world of suffering beings; Karuṇā, on the other hand, acts in his mind like a dynamic force—the moral inspi-

¹ *karuṇā chaddi jo sunṇahi laggu |
ṇāi so pāvai uttīma maggu ||
ahavā karuṇā kevala bhāvāi |
jamma-sahassahi mokkha na pāvai ||
sunṇa karuṇā jai jōṇu sakkāi |
ṇāi bhava nāi ṇivvāṇe thakkai ||*

—Dr. P. C. Bagchi's Edition, p. 29.

² *anādi-nidhanam śāntam bhāvā-bhāvā-kṣayam vibhum |
śūnyatā-karuṇā-bhinnam bodhicittam iti smṛtam ||*

Śrī-guhya-samāja, Ch. XVIII.

This definition of *Bodhicitta* has been commented upon in the *Jñāna-siddhi*, where it is said that by the epithet 'without beginning and end' is implied the absence of origination and destruction, and by that again is implied the all-pervading, unchanging and eternal nature of the *Bodhicitta*. It is quiescent because all the *kleśas* (afflictions) end here; it is the lord, because it performs all the mundane as well as *supra*-mundane duties. It is *śūnyatā* because of the essencelessness of all the *dharmas*,—and it is *karuṇā* because of the resolution in it of elevating all the beings to the state of perfect wisdom, and helping them in attaining perfect peace. (G.O.S.) pp. 72-73.

³ *nityam prabhāsvaram sūddham bodhicittam jñā-layam |
sarva-dharmamayam divyam nikhilā-spada-kāraṇam ||*

—Prajñā-pāya-viśāyā-siddhi, Ch. II, Verse 29.

ration that prompts one to find oneself universalised in an emotion of deep compassion. This moral inspiration as purified by perfect knowledge induces a man to moral activities, which never bind the man, but liberates him as well as others. Prajñā is passive by nature, the religious inspiration is derived from the active emotion of compassion, which serves like the means or the expedience (*upāya*) for the realisation of the highest goal. Prajñā is the one universal principle, the oneness as the 'suchness' (*tathatā*) underlying the diversity of the phenomenal world, while the *Upāya* is the principle that brings down our mind again to the world of particulars. Through Prajñā one is purified, while *Upāya* draws one's perfectly purified mind down to the world of particulars where the helpless beings are found suffering the miseries of life. This *Upāya* prompts man to benevolent activities for the removal of sorrow, but as already hinted, these moral activities are always associated with and guided by Prajñā, which is the ultimate principle of purification, and thus they cannot leave any good or bad impression as *saṃskāra* on the mind of a man, so that by these activities he is never again bound in the snare of *vāsanā* and *saṃskāra* and consequently in the cycle of birth and death. Further we have seen, Prajñā or *Śūnyatā* makes one view the whole of existence from the *pāramārthika* point of view where there is neither suffering nor happiness and consequently no scope left for the spirit of benevolence ; it is *Upāya* that draws our attention to the world of suffering and inspires one to sacrifice one's salvation for the removal of the miseries of the suffering world.

The use of the term Prajñā for *Śūnyatā* is well known in Buddhist philosophy and literature, they are often treated as synonyms ; but the use of the term *Upāya* for *Karuṇā* seems to be somewhat technical. On the authority of Suzuki we find that these conceptions of Prajñā and *Upāya* are already there from the

time of Aśvaghōṣa. It is said in his *Awakening of Faith in Mahāyāna* (*Mahāyāna-śraddhotpāda-sūtra*) that Enlightenment *a priori* has two attributes, viz., (I) Pure wisdom (*Prajñā*) and (II) Incomprehensible activity (*Upāya*? *Karuṇā*).¹ Later on the word *Upāya* is found widely used in Mahāyāna texts for *Karuṇā*.² In standard Mahāyāna texts *Upāya* has generally been used to indicate missionary activities mainly in the form of preaching the truth among lay people to remove their veil of ignorance and to enable them to realise the truth.³

What is said above about *Prajñā* and *Upāya* and their union has variously been expounded in the Buddhist Tantras under various imageries. In the *Hevajra-tantra* *Upāya* and *Prajñā* have been described under the imagery of the Yogin and the *Mudrā* (the great woman to be adopted in *Yoga-sādhanā*), and the *Bodhicitta* is the perfect union of this Yogin and the *Mudrā* who stand for *Karuṇā* and *Sūnyatā* respectively.⁴ In all classes of Buddhist Tantras the most important thing is the stress on this union of *Prajñā* and *Upāya*, either

¹ P. 66. Also *cf.*, p. 99.

² Nāgārjuna used the word *Upāya* for *Karuṇā* (*vide*, *Mādhyamika-vṛtti*, Lévi's edition, p. 2).

³ *Vide*, *Saddharma-puṇḍarīka-sūtra*, Ch. II, pp. 28-58, Bibliotheca Buddhica publication.

In the *Bodhipakṣya-ṭaṭala* of the *Bodhisattva-bhūmi* this *upāya-kaṣāla* is said to be of twelve kinds in all; six from the internal side concerning the adoption of the religion preached by Buddha, and six from the external side concerning the fruition of the essence. (Edited by Unrai Wogihara, p. 26.) For a detailed discussion on the divisions of *upāya-kaṣāla* see *Bodhisattva-bhūmi* (which is but the fifteenth section of the *Yogācāra-bhūmi* of Vasubandhu), pp. 262-72.

⁴ *kr̥po-pāyo bhaved yogī mudrā hetu-viyogataḥ |
sūnyatā karuṇā-bhinnaṁ bodhicittam iti smṛtam ||*

—Ṭaṭala X, MS. p. 80(A).

The commentary says that the state of mind that feels the self-sameness in all the beings is compassion, and it is the 'great means' (*upāya*) as it is the means for attaining perfect enlightenment;—and that is also the Yogin,—for, that is the cardinal principle of a Yogin. The *mudrā* is the *prajñā*, for she is the *sūnyatā* in the sense of the non-production of all the *dharma*s,—and she is the

in the philosophical sense or the esoteric yogic sense. The authority of renowned Buddhist Ācāryas like Ārya-vimala-kīrti and others have often been quoted, who are said to have stressed the truth that Upāya is bondage when unassociated with Prajñā, and even Prajñā is also bondage when unassociated with Upāya ; both of them again become liberation when the one is associated with the other. Their commingling through the instructions of the competent teacher, like the inseparable co-existence of the lamp and the light, will conduce to success in realising the real nature of the self and the *dharmas*. Whatever practices there are, they should, therefore, be preceded by a knowledge or rather the realisation of the true purport of the union of Prajñā and Upāya.¹ The cardinal principle of Buddha, Dharma and Saṅgha, says the *Ḍakinī-vajrapañjara*, points to the state of *citta* shining in the unity of Śūnyatā and Karuṇā.²

Upāya has again very nicely been compared to a boat in the *Prajñopāya-viniścaya-siddhi*. There it is said that compassion is called *rāga* (affection) as it affects or causes happiness to all the beings who are distressed with infinite sufferings. This compassion is like a boat which brings all beings to the favourable shore, and it is for this reason that it is called the Upāya. The com-

non-production of beings because nothing can originate either from the self or from others or from the combination of both or from something other than their combination.

krpe'ty ādi/sarva-sattveṣu ātma-samatā-cittaṃ kṛpā saiva paramo-pāyaha/samyak-sambodhi-prasādhano-pāyutvāt/sa ca yogi/yoginām pradhāna-dharmatvāt/mudrā prajñā kāsāv ity āha/śūnyatā sarva dharmāṇām anutpādah/kathaṃ anutpādah śūnyatā/āha/hetu viyogataḥ svataḥ parata ubhayato'nubhayataḥ sarva-bhāvānāṃ anutpatteḥ/anutpāda-lakṣaṇā śūnyatā/saiva paramā prajñā—Hevajrapañjikā. MS. p. 41(B).

¹ Quoted in the *Kudrṣṭi-nirghātanam* of the *Advaya-vajrasaṃgraha*, p. 2; also p. 55.

² *śūnyatā-karuṇā-bhinnāni yatra cittaṃ prabhāvvyate |
so hi buddhasya dharmasya saṅghasyā'pi hi deśunā ||*
—Quoted in the *Advaya-vajrasaṃgraha*, p. 26.

mingling of this Prajñā and Upāya like the mixture of water and milk in a state of non-duality is called the *prajñō-pāya*.¹ It is an absolutely pure state free from subjectivity and objectivity, free from the mud of reality and unreality—from the definition and the defined; it is neither duality, nor non-duality—it is quiescent—all good—all pervading, immutable and only to be intuited within; it itself is the wonderful abode of all the Buddhas—the divine *Dharma-dhātu*,—from it emanate all the three *kāyas*, the three *yānas*, innumerable *mantras*, *mudrā*, *maṇḍala*, *cakra*—all gods, demons, ghosts, men and everything else; it is like the wish-yielding gem (*cintāmaṇi*)—a combination of both enjoyment and liberation (*bhukti-mukti-padam*). In the chapter on the meditation on the ultimate truth (*tattva-bhāvanā*) of the *Prajñō-pāya-viniścaya-siddhi* it has been said that the truth is both Prajñā and Upāya combined together; for, it is Prajñā as it is the absence of all phenomenalization (*niṣpra-pañca-svarūpatvāt*), and it is compassion, because like the wish-yielding gem it does everything for the good of the beings. Supportless is Prajñā, and supportless is the great compassion; they should be united like the union of the sky with the sky. In that stage there is no thinker—no thought—nothing to be thought of; there all seeing of sights, hearing of sounds,—

¹ *rañjaty aśeṣa-duḥkhaughā-nutthāms tu duḥkha-hetutaḥ |
sarva-sattvān yatas tasmāt kṛpā rāgaḥ praṅgyate
upānayaty abhimataṁ yan naukevā'nukūlataḥ ||
sādā'nukūla-yogena saivo'pāyah prakīrtitaḥ ||
ubhayor melanaṁ yac ca salīla-kṣirayor iva |
advayā-kāra-yogena prajñō-pāyah sa ucyate ||*

—*Prajñō-pāya-viniścaya-siddhi*, p. 5.

For the first line, viz., *rañjaty aśeṣa*, etc. MM. Vidhusēkhara Śāstrī suggests the following correct reading (with the help of the Tibetan Translation):—

*raṅsaty aśeṣa-duḥkhaughād rāgeṇa duḥkha-hetutaḥ |
And for yannaukevā'nukūlataḥ he suggests yallokābhimataṁ phalam.*

—*Vide Modern Review*, October, 1980.

muttering, laughing—enjoyment—doing of all deeds,—all become *yoga* for a man. ¹

It is said in the *Citta-viśuddhi-prakarana* that as by the rubbing of two logs of wood fire is produced which is pure in the beginning, in the middle as well as at the end, and which shows everything by its illumination, so also by the union of Prajñā and Upāya the pure and luminous wisdom of the Yogin is produced. The absolutely pure *dharma-dhātu*, that is obtained by properly churning the milk of Prajñā and Upāya, is the destroyer of both pleasure and pain. ²

¹ *Prajñō-pāya-viniścaya-siddhi*, Ch. IV, Verses 10-16. Similar discussions on the nature of Prajñā and Upāya are found in many of the Tantras. In the *Subhāṣita-saṁgraha* we find a discussion as to whether Buddhahood is to be attained only through Prajñā or only through Upāya. The answer is that Buddhahood is to be attained neither through Prajñā alone nor through Upāya; but if the essence of both Prajñā and Upāya becomes of the same nature—if the two become inseparably connected—become united into one, then and then only a Sādhaka becomes entitled to the highest reality which is at once enjoyment and liberation.

idānīm vicāryate | prajñayā kevalayā kiṁ buddhatvaṁ syān na (no, sic.) ced upāya-mātra-karmenā'pi |

ucyate ce'dam, na kevalam prajñā-mātreṇa buddhatvaṁ nā'py upāya-mātreṇa, kintu yadi punah prajñō-pāya-lakṣaṇau samatā-svabhāvau bhavataḥ etau dvayā-bhinna-rūpau bhavataḥ ekākārau bhavataḥ tadā bhukti-mukti iti.—Bendall's Edition, p. 82.

It is further said that the *citta* (i.e., the *Bodhicitta*) is neither affected, i.e., pleased (*rajyate*) by affection (*rāga*) or compassion or the Upāya (*rāga = karuṇā = upāya*), nor is it displeased by indifference (*virāga = śūnyatā*, or *prajñā*); success is attainable only through the equalization of both *rāga* and *virāga*. It (*citta*) is of the nature of both Prajñā and Upāya,—and in the nature of the combination of both Prajñā and Upāya it attains the highest realization.

*na rāgeṇa rajyate cittaṁ na virāgeṇa virajyate |
rāgā-rāgaṁ samam kṛtvā mudrā-siddhis tu jāyate ||
cittaṁ prajñā-svarūpeṇa tathaivo'pāya-rūpakam |
prajñō-pāya-svarūpeṇa sambitti prajāyate ||*

—*Subhāṣita-saṁgraha*.

It is also said in the *Śrī-kāla-cakra-tantra* that the Sahaja-body (*Sahaja-tanu*) or the *dharma-kāya* is neither Prajñā nor Upāya,—it is of the nature of them both.

na prajñā nā'py upāyaḥ sahaja-tanur iyaṁ dharma-kāyo babbhūva prajñō-pāya-svarūpaḥ khalu vigata-tamo-jñāna-vijñāna-vedāt |

—*Śrī-kāla-cakra-tantra*, MS. (Cambridge, Add. 1864) p. 106(B).

² Verses 98, 97.

(C) COSMOLOGICAL AND ONTOLOGICAL ASPECTS
OF PRAJÑĀ AND UPĀYA

We have hinted before that the conceptions of Prajñā and Upāya acquired a cosmological and ontological significance in course of time. Prajñā is the passive principle as the *dharma-kāya* or the 'thatness' (*tathatā*) with perfect purity and perfect knowledge in her ; but the whole world (*i.e.*, the *sambhoga-kāya* and the *nirmāṇa-kāya*) is a display of the Upāya, and the world-process itself in the form of the *sambhoga-* and *nirmāṇa-kāya* is a means to lead all the sentient beings to the ultimate goal of perfect purification ; this principle of passivity and the principle of activity are then the two aspects of the one absolute reality.

We have seen that the difference between *sūnyatā* and *krpā* is just like the difference between the lamp and the light and the unity of *sūnyatā* and *krpā* is also just like the unity of the lamp and the light. The import is that as light cannot exist without the lamp, so the lamp also is meaningless without light ; exactly same is the case with *sūnyatā* and *karuṇā* ; *karuṇā* which is existence cannot be there without the void or the reality ; but the *sūnyatā* also loses her meaning without there being the world of existence,—and so there is an inseparable connection between the two like the relation of any illusory effect with eternity.¹ The world-appearance as a result of dependent origination is the grand bridegroom ; had he not been there the bride *sūnyatā* would have been dead as it were. But, on the other hand, had this beautiful bride of *sūnyatā* been separated for any time from the bridegroom, he would remain eternally under bondage. So, the relation between *sūnyatā* and *karuṇā* is like the relation of inseparable conjugal love ; the love between

¹ *bhāvedbhyāḥ sūnyatā nā'nyā na ca bhāvo'sti tām vinā |
avinā-bhāvam tyat kṛtaka-nttyayoriva ||*

them is the most natural love (*sahajam prema*) and so it is inseparable.¹

Goddess Vārāhī whò represents Prajñā is spoken of as of the nature of knowledge, whereas god Heruka representing Upāya is spoken of as the knowable, and the *Avadhūtī-maṇḍala* (the circle of perfect purification) is formed by the combination of both of this knowledge and the knowable.² From all these it is evident that Prajñā is conceived as the absolute knowledge which is negative and passive, whereas Upāya is the positive and active principle. Prajñā is conceived as the female element while Upāya is conceived as the male element.

These conceptions of Prajñā and Upāya have important ontological and cosmological bearing on the four philosophical systems of Nepalese Buddhism.³ The Svābhāvika school holds that there is no immaterial ultimate truth in the form of the soul substance; matter is the primordial substance from which the world proceeds. This matter as the ultimate substance has two modes which are called *pravṛtti* and *nivṛtti*, action and rest, dynamic and static, concrete and abstract. Matter is eternal as a crude mass (how-

¹ *Ibid.*, *Prema-pañcaka* (1-8). In the *Subhāṣita-saṁgraha* also we find that the nature of Prajñā is non-existence, whereas the nature of Upāya is existence; essencelessness is Prajñā and of positive nature is Upāya. So the whole truth will be the unity of both these positive and negative aspects.

*atra evā'bhāva-lakṣaṇā prajñā bhāva-lakṣaṇa upāya iti |
tathā co'ktaṁ, niḥsvabhāva-lakṣaṇā prajñā svabhāva-lakṣaṇa
upāya iti tasmāt prajño-pāya-vidhānena coditaḥ |*—pp. 81-82
(Bendall's Edition).

In another place it is said that Prajñā is of the nature of essencelessness while Upāya is the cause of all existence.

*niḥ-svabhāva-svarūpena prajñā-bhagas tu saṁsthitāḥ |
upāyo bhāva-janaḥo bhadrapādena deṣitaḥ ||* —*Ibid.*, p. 70.

In the Sanskrit portion of the *Dākārnava* (edited by MM. H. P. Śāstri) Upāya and Prajñā have been spoken of as existence and extinction (p. 158).

² *Dākārnava* (Śāstri's Edition), p. 157.

³ The systems are: (i) Svābhāvika, (ii) Aiśvarika, (iii) Kārmika, and (iv) Yātnika.

ever infinitely attenuated in *nivṛtti*) and so are the powers of matter. These powers are not only active but also intelligent. The proper state of existence of these powers is the state of *nivṛtti* or rest as the abstraction from all phenomena. When these powers pass from the state of rest into their causal and transitory state of activity the phenomenal world comes into existence and it again ceases to exist when the powers repass from *pravṛtti* to *nivṛtti*. This *nivṛtti* is the Prajñā¹ and the *pravṛtti* is said to be the Upāya. Prajñā is said to be the abstraction from all effects while Upāya is the concretion of all effects or activities.² In the Aśvarika school these Prajñā and Upāya are deified as Ādi-Prajñā and Ādi-Buddha and the visible world is said to be created from the union of the two. According to the Prājñikas³ Buddha as the principle of active power first proceeds from *nivṛtti* or Ādi-Prajñā and then associates with her and from their union proceeds the actual visible world. The principle is symbolized as Prajñā being first the mother and then the wife of the Buddha.⁴ The well-known triad of Buddha, Dharma and Saṅgha has often been explained as Prajñā (Dharma), Upāya (Buddha) and the world (Saṅgha) produced by their union. 'Buddha' generally symbolizes the generative power.

¹ *Illustrations of the Literature, etc.*—Hodgson, p. 149.

² *Ibid.*, page 148.

³ A sub-division of the Svābhāvika school.

⁴ Here it is interesting to compare with it the Śākta cosmological tradition of the Ādi-Śakti, who is the mother of Śiva and again the wife of Śiva in creating the world. The above principle has often been explained also by the analogy of the Yoni. It is said, 'That Yoni, from which the world was made manifest is the *tri-koṇākāra-yantra*. In the midst of the yantra or *tri-koṇa* is a *bindu*: from the *bindu* Ādi-Prajñā revealed herself by her own will. From one side of the triangle Ādi-Prajñā produced Buddha and from another side Dharma and from the third side Saṅgha.' (Quoted by Hodgson, page 126). It is also very interesting here to compare with it the well-known triangular yantra of the Hindu Tantras. Cf. also: *tri-koṇākāra-sambhūto dharmodaya iti smṛtaḥ*—and from it originated the whole universe and all the gods and goddesses.—See *Acintya-dvaya-kramo-padeśa*. MS. (C.L.B. No. 18124) p. 118(A).

‘Dharma’ (or Prajñā) the productive power and their union produces Saṅgha.¹

This conception of the two counterparts of the ultimate reality has its correspondence in the conceptions of the *Garbha-dhātu* and the *Vajra-dhātu* of Northern Buddhism in general. The *Vajra-dhātu* or the ‘thunder-element’ is the immutable nature—it is, in other words, the *tathatā* element; while the *Garbha-dhātu* is the ‘matrix element’ or the phenomenal world corresponding to the *tathāgata-garbha*. They are the two parts of the Maṇḍala.² Their union is symbolized in Nepal by the flame arising from the lotus or the moon-crescent (the flame symbolizing the male element and the lotus or the moon-crescent symbolizing the female element) or by the flame arising from the *kalaśa* (jar); in Tibet the union is symbolised by the *Aśoka* branch in the ambrosia vase, and in both Chinese and Nepalese Buddhism by Yin-yang (the female and the male).³ There Yoga consists in the mystic union of this immutable element, or we may say, the ‘thatness’ of the dharmas with the active element as the material world. It is for this reason that the theory of Yabyum (the male and the female) could find so much prominence in Northern Buddhism, particularly in Nepal and Tibet where almost all the divinities are accompanied by their female counterparts in a state of close union.

Getty interprets the *Mudrā* (the posture, generally of the hands) of Vairocana (the Lord Supreme of the Shingon Sect) as indicative of this mystic union. “As Dhyānī Buddha he has the *Dharma-cakra-mudrā*,

¹ Cf. ‘From the union of the essences of *Upāya* and *Prajñā* proceeds the world which is Saṅgha’. (*Pūjā Khaṇḍa*) quoted by Hodgson, p. 127. Also cf. *The Gods of Northern Buddhism*, by A. Getty, p. 11.

² Here the Maṇḍala is the circle with Buddha Mahāvairocana in the centre and with numberless manifestations of his body, such as Buddhas, Bodhisattvas and others, gathered round him.

³ See *The Gods of Northern Buddhism*, by A. Getty.

which the Tibetans call Thabdong-sheśrab, or the union of wisdom with matter."¹

This two-fold division of the ultimate reality is also found in the Taoism of China. There also Tao means 'The Great' (cf. Skt. Brahman) and Tei is the power, or activity or the Śakti of 'the great'. They are the negative and positive aspects of the one truth. Creation proceeds through their union—through Yinn and Yang (the female and the male).

With this conception of Prajñā and Upāya as *Nivṛtti* and *Pravṛtti* or as Ādi-prajñā and Ādi-Budhha we may compare the conception of Śiva and Śakti and the *aham* or the 'I-ness' produced by their union (*śiva-śakti-mithunapīṇḍa*). According to the Hindu Tantras the ultimate truth is the union of Śiva and Śakti. Śiva represents pure consciousness which is inactive—the static aspect of the ultimate reality; while Śakti represents the world force—the kinetic energy of the ultimate truth. Śiva is *Nivṛtti* and Śakti is *Pravṛtti* and in the ultimate state they remain in a union of oneness.² From the cosmological standpoint

¹ "As Ādi-Buddha he has the mudrā of the Six-elements, which also indicates the same principle, and although rare in Tibet, is often found in Japan. The index finger of the left hand is clasped by the five fingers of the right. The six fingers represent the Six-elements which when united, produce the six-fold bodily and mental happiness". He further explains: "The five fingers of the right hand represent the five material elements of which man is composed: earth (little finger), water (ring finger), fire (middle finger), air (index finger) and ether (the thumb). The index finger of the left hand represents the same symbol of Ādi-Buddha; for the sixth element, the mind (*manas*) is a particle of his essence.

The two hands, thus representing the union of the Spiritual with the Material, correspond to the *Vajra-dhātu* and *Garbha-dhātu* of the Maṇḍala of the two parts. The *Vajra-dhātu*, represented by the index finger, is the 'diamond' element corresponding to the spiritual world. The *Garbha-dhātu*, indicated by the five fingers, is the 'matrix' element, corresponding to the material world." *Ibid.*, p. 80.

² Cf. the Hindu Tāntric principle of designating the *Sahasrāra* (situated in the cerebrum region) to be the abode of Śiva and the lowest *Mūlādhāra-cakra* to be the seat of Śakti in the form of an electric force, generally known as the *Kūlakunḍalini-śakti*; this lower region where Śakti resides is generally known as the region of *Pravṛtti* while the higher region or the region of pure intellection

Śiva is said to be the *Bindu* (of white colour to suggest the comparison with seed or semen) and Śakti is *Rakta* (of red colour to suggest the comparison with ovum) and this *Bindu* and *Rakta* unite together to produce the principle of I-ness or egoism.

But we should notice here a very important point of difference between the Buddhist conception of the two aspects of the truth and that of the Śāktas, Śaivas as well as of the Taoists. In Śākta-tantra, Śaiva-tantra as well as in Taoism the passive subjective aspect of the ultimate reality is conceived as the male, whereas the active counterpart has always been conceived as the female, and this conception of passivity as the male and the active counterpart as the female is found in many of the systems of Indian philosophy as represented in the Tantras. The Puruṣa of the Sāṃkhya-system is absolutely qualitless and inactive, while all the *guṇas* with all their active functions belong to Prakṛti who is generally conceived as the female. In the Vedānta the Brahman is qualitless and indeterminate, while the world-illusion is ascribed wholly to the activity of *māyā*, and this *māyā* in the popular Vedāntic literature bears an air of femininity. But here, in Buddhism, we find the conception reversed; *Sūnyatā* or *Prajñā* which is qualitless and unchangeable is conceived as the female, while *Karuṇā* or *Upāya* with the active inspiration is conceived as the male. It may, however, be noted here that the conception of the female as the passive and the male as the active is not also quite unknown in the history of Indian thought.

In the Buddhist Tantras *Prajñā* and *Upāya* have, sometimes been expressly identified with Śakti and Śiva. Śakti is the *sūnyatā*-perception contradicting

is called the region of *Nivṛtti*—and the Sādhana consists in rousing the Śakti, residing in the region of *Pravṛtti*, to unite with the Śiva residing in the region of *Nivṛtti*; the bliss proceeding from the union of Śiva and Śakti is the highest religious realization.

all affirmation about things.¹ Perfect bliss is obtained from the union of Śiva and Śakti and this bliss is the highest non-duality; but in the ultimate reality there is neither the Śiva nor the Śakti.²

In some places, however, the Bodhi-mind in its active state is spoken of as the Upāya while the goddess *Nairātmā* or *Śūnyatā* or the void-knowledge as the *Prajñā*. In the *Guhya-siddhi* we find that the presiding deity over the mind is the Lord Supreme (*Bhagavān*) and *Prajñā* is the adamant woman,³ and the Lord was amorously sporting with this adamant woman in the form of *Mahāsukha*. When the Bodhi-mind in its upward march reaches the ultimate state, it merges itself wholly in *Nairātmā* or *Śūnyatā* or the Sahaja-bliss—and this merging of the Bodhi-mind in goddess *Nairātmā*, or perfect vacuity of the nature of intense bliss, is called the union of the Lord Mind and the Lady Vacuity. Thus Kukkurīpāda sang in a song, 'Desireless am I (the goddess *Nairātmā*), and the void-mind is my husband'.⁴ Tillopāda says in his *Dohās*, 'Where the mind and the vacuity enter into the bliss arising out of this communion, the objects of the senses are not perceived at all'.⁵ 'The mind is the Lord and the Vacuity is the Lady; they should always be kept united in the Sahaja'.⁶ In a *Dohā* of Kāṅhu-

¹ *lakṣya-lakṣaṇa-nirmuktaṁ vāg-udāhāra-varjitaṁ |*
śiva-śakti-samāyogāt jāyate cā'dbhutaṁ sukhaṁ ||
na santi tattvato bhāvāḥ śaktirūpeṇa bhāvitāḥ |
śaktis tu śūnyatā-dṛṣṭiḥ sarvā-ropa-vināśini ||

—*Nirnāda-tantra*, quoted in the *Advaya-vajra-saṅgraha*
(G.O.S.), p. 28.

² *śiva-śakti-samāyogāt sat-sukhaṁ param advayaṁ |*
na śivo nāpi śaktiś ca ratnā-ntargata-saṁsthitā ||

—*Ucchuṣma-tantra* quoted in the *Advaya-vajra-saṅgraha*.

³ *bhagavāniti nirdiṣṭaṁ cittasyā'dhipatiḥ prabhuh |*
vajro-yoṣit smṛtā prajñā yā sā savajriṇā-tmikā ||

⁴ *hāṁu nirāśi kha-maṇa-bhatāri |* Song No. 20.

⁵ *citta khasama jahi samasaha palaṭṭhai |*
(india-visaa tahi matta) na disai ||

—*Dohā* No. 5. (Dr. P. C. Bagchi's Ed.)

⁶ *maṇaha (bhaavā) khasama bhaavai |*
(divārātti sahaje rahiai) ||

—*Dohā* No. 17. (Dr. P. C. Bagchi's Ed.)

pāda it has been said that the Lord-mind merges himself in his wife vacuity and becomes one with her as salt dissolves in water.¹ In some places again intense bliss (*mahāsukha*) as the ultimate nature and as perfect knowledge has been spoken of as the Yoginī, and the Bodhi-mind as the Yogin. This Yoginī is variously called as the *Jñāna-mudrā* (the woman of knowledge) or the *Mahā-mudrā* (the great woman)—she is the *Sahaja*-damsel, with whom the Yogin remains united day and night. The Yogin often says, he would not live without her kiss and embrace—he passes his dark night (of ignorance) in union with that great woman. In the Caryāpadas of the Siddhācāryas we find many songs about this transcendental love and union of the Yogin and Yoginī often garbed in the metaphors of ordinary love and sex-union of man and woman.

(D) PRAJÑĀ AND UPĀYA AS MALE AND FEMALE

The fundamental theological position of the Buddhist Tantras and that of the Hindu Tantras thus become the same. As there is the belief in the Hindu Tantras that the two aspects of the reality are revealed in the world in the form of male and female in general, so there is the belief in the Buddhist Tantras that all men and women are nothing but the manifestation of Upāya and Prajñā respectively; or in other words all men and women are Upāya and Prajñā in their ultimate nature.

In the Buddhist Tantras Prajñā has generally been designated either as the goddess (*bhagavatī*) or as the *Mudrā* (which technically refers to the woman to be adopted for the Sādhanā), or the *Mahā-mudrā*, or the *Vajra-kanyā*, or as the young woman (*yuvatī*),

¹ *jīma loṇa vilijjai pāṇiehi tima gharinī lai citta |*
samarasa jāi takkhaṇe jāi puṇu te sama ṇitta ||

—Dohā No. 82.

or often as the female organ.¹ In the *Hevajra-tantra* Prajñā is called the mother (*jananī*), the sister (*bhaginī*), the washer-woman (*rajakī*), the dancing-girl (*nartakī*), the daughter (*duhitā*), the Doma-girl (*ḍombī*), etc. These names are sometimes explained very arbitrarily. It is said that Prajñā is called *jananī* because she gives birth to all the beings of the world; she is *bhaginī* as she shows all the differences (*vibhāga*); she is *rajakī* because she pleases all the beings (*rañjanāt*); she is *duhitā* as she accumulates all the qualities (*duhanāt*); she is *nartakī* because of her changing character; she is *ḍombī* (a woman of a very low untouchable class) because she cannot be touched.² In the commentary of Kṛṣṇācārya (*Hevajra-pañjikā* or *Yoga-ratnamālā*) it has been explained that Prajñā is *jananī* because in the form of 'great-bliss' (*mahāsukha*) she gives birth to the world.³ Again as the beginners cannot grasp her steadily, she is called *nartakī*.⁴ She is *asparśā* as she cannot be grasped by the senses.⁵

¹ In the *Vajra-sarasvatī-sādhana* of the *Sādhana-mālā* we find Prajñā styled as the goddess (*prajñām bhagavatīm*, etc., p. 829). In the *Kanaka-varṇa-prajñā-pāramitā-sādhana* also Prajñā-pāramitā is said to be the *Bhagavatī* (p. 821). In the chapter on *Amanasikārā-dhāra* of the *Advaya-vajra-saṅgraha* we find *sūnyatā* described as the *Bhagavatī Prajñā* (p. 62). In the *Advaya-siddhi Prajñā* is styled as the goddess (MS. C.L.B., p. 86).

² *jananī bhanyate prajñā janayati yasmāt jagaj-janam |*
bhaginī'ti tathā prajñā vibhāgam darśayet yataḥ ||
rajakī'ti duhitā ca nartakī ca prakathyate |
rañjanāt sarva-sattvānām rajakī'ti tathā smṛtā ||
guṇasya duhanāt prajñā duhitā ca nigadyate |
nartakī bhanyate prajñā cañcalatvāt mahākṛpā |
asparśā bhavati yasmāt tasmāt ḍombi prakathyate ||

—*Hevajra-tantra*, MS. (R.A.S.B. No. 11817) p. 18(B).
 These lines also occur in the *Samputikā*. Cf. MS. (R.A.S.B. No. 4854) p. 4(A).

³ *mahā-sukhā-kāreṇa viśvasya janant jananī |*

—MS. (Cambridge Add. No. 1699) p. 20(A).

⁴ *ādi-karmika-sattvāḥ sthīri-kartum aśakyatvāt nartakī |*

—*Ibid.*, MS. p. 20(A).

⁵ *indriyāṇām agocaratvāt |*

—*Ibid.*, MS. p. 20(A).

Here of course the designations of Prajñā as mother, sister,

In the *Srīguhya-samāja-tantra* a beautiful girl of sixteen to be adopted in the practice is said to be the Prajñā.¹ Saraha-pāda in one place salutes all young women, who are described as the embodiment of Prajñā—who are like the wish-yielding creepers personified—who destroy all the sufferings (*kleśa*) of the three worlds.² In the *Hevajra-tantra* also the female is called the Prajñā whereas the male is called the

daughter, etc. have got some sort of metaphysical significance; but in other places the plain implication is that the mother, sister, daughter, or any woman of any caste, however low it may be, can be adopted as the Prajñā (or the woman) in the mystic practice.

mātaram bhaginiñcaiva duhitām bāndhavīntathā |
brāhmaṇīm kṣatrinīñcaiva vaiśyām śūdrinīm tathā |
natīm rajakīm ca dombīm ca caṇḍālinīm tathā |
prajñopāya-vidhānena pūjayet tattvavatsalaḥ ||

—*Samputikā*, MS. pp. 8(A), 8(B).

See also, *Prajño-pāya-viniścaya-siddhi*, Ch. V, *Hevajra-tantra*, MS. p. 12(A), 60(B); *Ekalla-vīra-canda-mahā-roṣaṇa-tantra*, MS. p. 8(B).

In justification of this action it is said, Prajñā is devoid of all thought-constructions and consequently she is above all the notions of differences; that being the case, for a Yogin there should not be any notion of difference among the mother, the sister, the daughter and any other girl even of the lowest caste. If there be any notion of distinction at all it is to be understood that the Yogin is not yet fully fit for the *Yoga*, for he has not obtained the *śūnyatā*-knowledge which effaces all such notions of distinction. It is therefore warned that the Prajñā should be carefully worshipped so that no notion of difference may arise at all—*sevituvyā prayatnena yathā bhedo na jāyate*, *Samputikā*, MS. p. 8(B); *Hevajra-tantra*, MS. p. 12(A); cf. also *Hevajra-pañjikā*, MS. pp. 17(B)—18(A); *Cittaviśuddhi-prakaraṇa*, Verses 101-106. (Cf. *Srī-guhyā-samāja*, Ch. V.)

¹ *soḍaśā-bḍīkām samprāpya yoṣitām kānti-suprabhām |*
gandha-puṣpākulaṁ kṛtvā tasya madhye tu kāmayet ||
adhiveṣṭya ca tām prajñām etc.

—*Paṭala IV*, p. 19 (G.G.S.).

Cf. also, *yām kāñcit svabhā-(va?) prajñā-rūpeṇa sarvā-*
lañkṛta-gātra-trivalī-taraṅga-bhaṅgābhirāma. etc.

—Quoted in the *Subhāṣita-saṁgraha*.

² *yāsām ākṛtir aprapañca-vimala-prajñāmayī sarvagā |*
śāksāt kalpa-lataiva tri-bhuvane yāḥ kleśa-juvāla-cchidāḥ
śrī-mad-vaḥra-padā-ñkitā yuvatayas tābhyo namaḥ sarvataḥ ||

—Quoted in the *Subhāṣita-saṁgraha*.

Upāya.¹ In the *Śrī-cakra-sambhāra-tantra* we find—
 ‘Appearance, Method and great compassion are the
 male deity whilst the void, Prajñā, tranquillity and
 great bliss are the female deity’.² In the *Jvālāvalī-
 vajramālā-tantra* it is said that the goddess Prajñā
 resides in all women and the Lord remains in all men.³
 In the *Ekalla-vīra-caṇḍa-mahā-roṣaṇa-tantra* the Lord
 Caṇḍaroṣaṇa explains to the Lady that all men are of
 the nature of the Lord who is Upāya and all women
 are of the nature of the Lady who is Prajñā; and the
 whole world is of the nature of the unity of Prajñā and
 Upāya. As the son of Māyādevī Lord Buddha was the
 incarnation of Upāya and his wife Gopā was the incar-
 nation of Prajñā or *Prajñā-pāramitā*—and Lord
 Buddha attained *mahāsukha* (supreme bliss) of the
 nature of *Nirvāṇa* in union with the Prajñā Gopā.⁴

In the *Pañca-krama* of Nāgārjuna-pāda we find
 four grades or stages in *śūnyatā*, of which the first is
 the *śūnyatā* and the second is *atīśūnyatā*. *Śūnyatā*
 has been described as Prajñā⁵ and it is also called the

¹ *yoṣit tāvat bhavet prajñā upāyaḥ puruṣaḥ smṛtaḥ |*
 —MS. p. 21(A).

Cf. also *Ibid.*, MS. p. 89(B). Cf. also *Sahaja-siddhi* of Ḍombī-
 Heruka. —MS. (C.L.B.) p. 82.

Cf. also *prajñā praveśayet tatra vajra-kanyām athānyapāt ||*
 —*Dākṛṇava*, p. 157 (Sāhitya-parīṣat Edition).

² Translated from Tibetan by Kazi Dawasamdup, p. 28.

³ *sarvanārī-māyā-devī sarvo-pāyamayaḥ prabhuh*
 —MS. p. 14(B).

⁴ *māyādevī-sutaś cā’haṁ caṇḍa-roṣaṇatām gataḥ |*
tvam eva bhagavatī gopā prajñā-pāramitā-tmikā ||
yāvantaś tu striyaḥ sarvāś t(v)ad-rūpeṇaiva tā matāḥ |
mad-rūpeṇa pumāṁśas tu sarva eva prakīrtitāḥ ||
dvayor bhāva-gātam caitat prajñā-pāyā-tmakam jagat |
 —MS. (R.A.S.B. No. 9089) p. 16(B).

Cf. also, —*narāḥ vajradharā-kārāḥ yoṣitaḥ vajra-yoṣitaḥ ||*
 —*Ibid.*, MS. p. 15(A).

⁵ *ālokaṁ śūnyam prajñā ca cittam ca paratantrakam*
 —MS. p. 20(A).

woman.¹ *Atisūnya* is called *Upāya*,² and the sex analogy is also there.³

In some places *Prajñā* is described as the female organ and *Upāya* as the male organ. *Prajñā* is called the female organ because it is the abode of all pleasure which is great bliss (*mahāsukha*).⁴ Again it is said that *Prajñā* is called *bhaga* as she breaks or obstructs all the afflictions.⁵ It can also be inferred that the female organ is called the *Prajñā* because all the beings have their birth from here as all the beings have their origin from the *Prajñā* or the *Sūnyatā*.⁶

It is said in the *Hevajra-tantra*—‘The lord is of the form of the seed while its pleasure is called the girl’.⁷ These *Prajñā* and *Upāya* as the symbol of the female and the male are generally called the thunder and the lotus.⁸ We have seen before that *Vajra* is

¹ *strī-samjñā ca tathā proktā*, etc.

—*Ibid.*, MS. p. 20(B).

² *ālokā-bhāsam ity uktam utisūnyam upāyakam* |

Ibid., MS. p. 20(B).

Cf. also,—*prajño-pāya-samāyogād iti | sūnyam prajñā āloka iti yāvāt | atisūnyam upāya ālokā-bhāsa iti yāvāt | tayoh samāyogo’ bhyāsaḥ*.

Pañca-krama-ṭippanī, MS. p. 43(B).

³ Cf. *rāgaś caiva virāgaś ca dvayor antarita-trayam |*

dvīndriyasya samāpatyū vajra-padma-samāgamāt || etc.

Pañca-krama, MS. p. 22(A).

⁴ Cf. *yena kleśo-panihanyate | prajñā-dhināś ca te kleśāḥ saukhyāt prajñā bhaga ucyate*.

—*Hevajra-tantra*, MS. p. 11(A).

Cf. also,—*yoni-svabhāvataḥ prajñā upāyo bhāva-lakṣaṇam ||*

—*Śrī-guhya-samāja-tantra*, p. 158.

Cf. *kha-dhātu-vajra-samīyogūt*, etc.

—*Kriyā-saṁgraha*, MS. p. 75(A).

⁵ *bhañjanam bhagam ākhyātam kleśa-mārādi-bhañjanāt |*

prajñābadhyāś ca te kleśāstasmāt prajñā bhagocyate ||

—*Hevajra-tantra*.

⁶ *dharmo-dayo-dbhavam jñānam kha-samam sopāyā-nvitam |*

trailokyas tatra jāto hi prajño-pāya-svarūpataḥ ||

—*Hevajra-tantra*, MS. p. 23(B).

Cf. *Comm. iha tan-mudrā-yoṣit-kamulam dharmo-dayam |*

⁷ *śukrā-kāro bhavet bhagavān tat-sukham kāmīni smṛtam |*

—MS. p. 23(B). Cf. also *Ileruka-tantra*.

⁸ Cf. *prajñopāya-viniścaya-siddhi*, p. 42; *strī-ndriyam ca yathā padmam vajram punse-ndriyam tathā |*

—*Jñāna-siddhi*, Ch. 2, Verse 11.

śūnyatā; it has also been extensively used as the synonym for the male organ. The use of the lotus as the symbol of the generating organ is rather well known, but the use of the Vajra for the male organ is rather technical. They are also technically called *bola* or *bolaka* and *kakkola* or *kakkolaka*.¹

(E) PRAJÑĀ-UPĀYA AS LALANĀ-RASANĀ, LEFT AND RIGHT, VOWEL AND CONSONANT, ETC.

Prajñā and Upāya are also called *Lalanā* and *Rasanā* which are the names for the two nerves *Idā* and *Piṅgalā* well known in the Hindu Tāntric nerve-system. The nerve where the two nerves commingle is called the *Avadhūti* which is again the middle nerve corresponding to the *Suṣumnā* nerve of the Hindu Tantras; and this nerve is regarded as the way to *nirvāṇa*. It has been said, '*Lalanā* is of the nature of *Prajñā*, and *Rasanā* remains as *Upāya*, and *Avadhūti* remains in the middle as the abode of *Mahāsukha*.'² We may note here that in the Hindu Tantras the nerve

Cf. *vajrā-bja-gharṣaṇāt prajño-pāya-samāpattiyā*. Comm. on *Dohakoṣa* of Kāñha.

Cf. also,—*Sahaja-siddhi* of Dombi-pāda, quoted in the *Subhāṣita-saṅgraha*, p. 60; *Ghaṅṭā-pāṭiya-paṅcākrama*, quoted in the *Subhāṣita-saṅgraha*, p. 74; *Hevajra-tantra*, MS. p. 21(B). (*kha-dhātāviti padmeṣu*); *Dākārṇava* (Ed. by Dr. N. Chaudhuri), p. 128; *Kriyā-saṅgraha*, MS. pp. 75(B), 76(A); *Śrī-guhya-samāja-tantra*, pp. 25, 28; Comm. on *Marma-kalikā-tantra*, MS. (B.N. Sans. No. 88) p. 15(B).

Cf. also *kamala kulīśa mājhe bhāia miālī* (cf. *prajño-pāya-samatām*, etc. Comm.), *Caryā* No. 47.

vāja nāva pāḍi pāvā khāle vāhiu | *Caryā* No. 49.

(Cf. *prajñā-ravinda-kuhara-hrade sad-guru-carāṇo-pāyena praveśitam*, Comm.)

¹ *vajraṁ volakaṁ khyāturī padmaṁ kakkolakaṁ mataṁ*.
—*Hevajra-tantra*, MS. p. 44(A).

² *Sādhana-mālā*, p. 448.

Cf. also *lalanā prajñā-svarūpeṇa rāsano-pāya-samsthitā* |
tayor madhye gataṁ devī amkārāni viśva-rūpiṇī ||
—*Heruka-tantra*, MS. p. 74(B).

Idā which corresponds to the *Lalanā* and which is of the nature of the moon is said to be the Śakti and *Piṅgalā*, corresponding to the *Rasanā* and of the nature of the sun, is spoken of as the Puruṣa (the male principle).¹ Again these *Lalanā* and *Rasanā* are said to carry seed and ovum respectively.² We may also notice that Prajñā has been called *vāma* (left) in the *Pañca-krama*³ and Upāya has been named *dakṣiṇa* (right),⁴ and this *Lalanā* is also the nerve in the left side and *Rasanā* in the right side. Again Prajñā is often spoken of as the vowel or the

Cf. also *lalanā prajñā-svabhāvena rasano-pāya-saṁsthitā |
avadhūti madhya-dese tu grāhya-grāhaka-varjitā ||*
—*Vajra-vārāhi-kalpa-mahā-tantra*, MS. p. 27(A).
Hevajra-tantra, MS. p. 3(a). *Heruka-tantra*, MS.
p. 15(A).
lalanā rasanā nāḍi prajñā-pāyas ca melakah ||
—*Dākārṇava* (Ed. MM. H. P. Śāstri), p. 158.

¹ *vāmagā yā idā nāḍi śukla-candra-svarūpiṇī |
śakti-rūpā hi sā devī sāksād amṛta-vigrahā ||*
*dakṣe tu piṅgalā nāma puruṣaḥ sūrya-vigrahaḥ |
raudrātmikā mahādevī dādīmi-keśara-prabhā ||*
—*Sammohana-tantra* quoted in the *Ṣaṭcakra-nirūpaṇam*.
Ed. by A. Avalon.

² Cf. also,—*akṣobhya-vahā lalanā rasanā rakta-pravāhiṇī |
avadhūty amīta-nāthasya ādhāra-bhāvinī sadā ||*
—*Sādhana-mālā*, p. 448 (G.O.S.)
*aksobhya-vahā lalanā rasanā rakta-vāhinī |
tathā prajñā candra-vahā avadhūti sā prakīrtitā ||*
—*Hevajra-tantra*, MS. p. 3(A).
*tesām madhye sthitā nāḍi lalanā śukra-vāhinī |
dakṣiṇe rasanā khyātā nāḍi rakta-(pra)vāhinī ||*
—*Heruka-tantra*, MS. p. 14(B).

Cf. *Vajra-vārāhi-kalpa-mahā-tantra*, MS. (R.A.S.B. No. 11285) p. 26(B). This MS., preserved in the R.A.S.B., seems to be substantially the same as the *Śrī-Dākārṇave Mahāyoginī-tantrarāja* published with the *Apabhraṁśa Dohās* along with the *Cariyāpadas* by MM. H. P. Śāstri; but there are important additions and alterations.

We should note one important confusion here. *Lalanā* is said to be Prajñā but it is said to carry seed, whereas *Rasanā* which is said to be Upāya is said to carry ovum; but the statement ought to have been reversed to be consistent with the analogy of the Tāntric Buddhists.

³ *vāma-saṁjñāṁ punaścaiva*, etc., MS. p. 20(B).

⁴ *divā puruṣa-saṁjñā ca svarā-kāraśca dakṣiṇaḥ*, MS. p. 21(A).

vowel 'a', or the series of letters beginning with 'a' (*āli*); and Upāya, in contrast, is spoken of as representing the consonants, or the letter 'ka' or the series beginning with the letter 'ka' (*kāli*). In the chapter on *Amanasikāra* of the *Advaya-vajra-saṃgraha* we find that the letter 'a' in the beginning of the word 'a-manasikāra' implies the non-organization of all that is imagined to be produced.¹ In justification of this interpretation it is cited from the *Mantra-pāṭala* (second chapter of the first *kalpa*) of the *Hevajra-tantra* that as the letter 'a' is without beginning or origination, so also are all the *dharmas*—so the uncreate nature of the *dharmas* as the *śūnyatā* is represented by 'a'; Prajñā who is *śūnyatā* is, therefore, 'a'. About the nature of 'a' it has been said in the *Nāmasaṅgīti* that 'a' is the first of all the letters, it is full of deep significance, it is absolutely immutable—it is long—uncreate and free from all vocal modulations.² 'A' is said to be the first and the most important of all the letters and all other letters are said to have evolved from this first letter 'a'. In the *Ekalla-vīra-caṇḍa-mahāroṣaṇa-tantra* we find that the vowel 'a' means the non-artificial innate nature, and, therefore, 'a' represents Prajñā and 'va' represents the Upāya.³ In the Hindu texts we often find that 'a' represents Brahmā or the creator of the universe.⁴ In the *Gītā*

¹ P. 61 (G.O.S.).

² *akāraḥ sarva-varṇāgro mahā-rthaḥ paramā-kṣaraḥ |
mahā-prāṇo hy anutpādo vāg-udāhāra-varjitah ||*

—Quoted in the *Advaya-vajra-saṃgraha*, p. 62.

Cf. also,—*akāro mukham sarva-dharmāṇām ādyanutpannatvāt |*

—*Kṛṣṇa-yāmāri-tantra* quoted in the *Catalogue of Sans. Buddhist MSS.*, R.A.S.B., Vol. 1, p. 149.

Again, *ādi-svara-svabhāvā sā hīti buddhaiḥ prakalpītā |
saiva bhagavatī prajñā utpanna-krama-yogataḥ ||*

—*Hevajra-tantra*, MS. p. 49(A).

³ *akāreṇā'kṛtrimāṇi sahaja-svabhāvam uktam
akārenocyate prajñā vakāreṇa hy upāyakam |
prajñā-pāyaka-yogena lakāra-sukha-lakṣaṇā ||*

—MS. (R.A.S.B. No. 9089) p. 28(B).

⁴ *akāre tyate brahmā ukāre tyate hariḥ |
makāre tyate rudraḥ praṇave hi paraḥ smṛtaḥ ||*

Lord Kṛṣṇa says that among the letters he is 'a'. So the fact of the letter 'a' being the representative of *Śūnyatā* is significant. Often it is seen that Prajñā or *Śūnyatā* is represented not merely by 'a' but by the vowels in general,¹ and is indicated by the general name *āli* (i.e., the vowel series beginning with 'a').² In contrast with *āli*, representing Prajñā, *kāli* or the consonantal series represents Upāya. This Prajñā and Upāya or *āli* and *kāli* are then associated with the moon and the sun, or the night and the day respectively.³ In the *Aitareya-Āraṇyaka* it has been said that the night is obtained through the consonants and the day through the vowels.⁴ Here in the Buddhist texts there is an inversion of the notion. But this in general may explain the association of *āli* with the moon or the night and of *kāli* with the sun or the day. *Lalanā* and *Rasanā* have also been associated with the moon and the sun, and they are said to be the two nerves flowing from the left and right of the nasal cavity.⁵

¹ Cf. *Sādhana-mālā*, pp. 476, 557.

² *prajñā'li kāly upāyeti*.—*Hevajra-tantra*, MS., p. 20(A).

Also *kakāra ādir yasyā'sau kādih kāliḥ, akārah ādir yasyā'sau ādir āliḥ* |

—*Marma-kalikā-tantra*, MS. (B.N. Sans. No. 83), pp. 9(A) and 9(B).

āli akārā-di-ṣoḍaśa-svarān tathā tenaiva krameṇa kāli kakārā-di-hakāra-paryantam |—MS., p. 53(A). Again, *akārā-dir āliḥ kakārā-dih kāliḥ*.—*Hevajra-pañjikā*, MS., p. 6(A).

³ *sthitā-liś candra-rūpeṇa kāli-rūpeṇa bhāskararah* |
candra-sūrya-dvayor-mela gauryādyās te prakīrtitāḥ ||
prajñā-li kāly upāye'ti candrā-rkasya prabhedanāt |
—*Hevajra-tantra*, MS., p. 20(A).

candra-sūryo-parāgeṣu prajñā-vajra-prayogataḥ |
vilīne advaye jñāne buddhatvam ih-janmani ||
—Quoted in the *Subhāṣita-saṅgraha*, p. 70.

⁴ *vyañjanairēva rātrir āpnuvanti svarair ahani* |
—*Aitareya-Āraṇyaka* (II 2, 4).

Quoted by Dr. P. C. Bagchi in his *Studies in the Tantras*, p. 73.

⁵ Cf. *lalanā rasanā ravi-sasi tuḍia venṇa vi pāse* |

—*Dohākoṣa* of Kāṇhu-pāda, verse No. 5.

Cf. also the comm. *lalanā-śabdca'nā'liḥ prajñā-candro vidhīyate* |
tasya vāma-nāsāpuṭa-svabhāvas tena prāṇa-pravāhiṇi lalanā sthitā |
rasanā-śabdena kāli-rūpā etc.

—MS., B.N. Sans. No. 47, p. 40(B).

Again Prajñā has been said to be the syllable 'e' and Upāya the syllable 'va'. In the *Sādhana-mālā* we find that Prajñā should be meditated on as the syllable 'e'.¹ It is said in the *Samputīkā*, "Prajñā is said to be 'e' while Upāya is the syllable 'va'; and this 'e' shines only when it is adorned with 'va'." In the *Hevajra-tantra* and in many other Tantras it is said, "That divine 'e' which is adorned in the middle with the *vajra* is the abode of all bliss or happiness—it is the abode of all the gems of the Buddhas; all joy, qualified by the moments, is produced there; when one is established in this *e-vaṃ-kāra* one realizes bliss through the knowledge of the moments."² In the *Dohākoṣa* of Kāṇhu-pāda it has been said, 'He who has understood *e-vaṃ-kāra* has understood everything completely.'³ This 'e' has again been called the mother and the 'va' the father and the *bindu* is produced by their union. Again, 'e' is the Prajñā and 'va' is the Lord in sex-intercourse, and the *bindu* is the immutable knowledge proceeding from their union.⁴ This 'e' and 'vaṃ' have also been associated with the moon and the sun. But in explaining the well-known introductory line of the *Saṅgīti* literature,

¹ *sva-dhātau cintayet dhīmān prajñām ekāra-rūpiṇīm* |—P. 444.

² *ekāreṇa smṛtā prajñā vaṅkāras cā'py upāyakam |*
vaṅkāra-bhūṣitā cā'sāv ekāraḥ śobhate dhruvam |
adho-rddhva-samāpattiyā prajño-pāya-svabhāvataḥ ||
—MS., p. 10(A).

³ *ekārā-kṛti yad divyam madhye vaṅkāra-bhūṣitam |*
ālayam sarva-saukhyānām buddha-ratna-karaṇḍakam ||
ānandās tatra jāyante kṣaṇa-bhedena-bheditaḥ |
kṣaṇa-jñānāt sukha-jñānam evaṅkāre pratiṣṭhitam ||
—*Hevajra-tantra*, MS., pp. 88(B), 89(A).

⁴ *evaṅkāra je bujjhia te bujjhia saala aṣeṣa* |—*Dohā* 21.

Cf. the comm. *evaṅkāra iti śūnyatā-karuṇā-bhinna-rūpiṇī mahā-mudrā ittham evaṅkāram* |

⁵ *ekāras tu bhavet(t) mātā vakāras tu pitā smṛtaḥ |*
bindus tatra bhaved yogaḥ sa yogaḥ paramā-kṣaraḥ ||
ekāras tu bhavet prajñā vakāraḥ suratā-dhipaḥ |
bindus cā'nāhataṃ jñānam taj-jātāny akṣarāṇi ca ||
—*Devendra-paripṛcchā-tantra*, collected in the *Subhāṣita-saṃgraha*. (Bendall's Edition), p. 76.

viz., *evaṃ mayā śrutam*, etc., the *Samputīkā* explains *evaṃ* rather in a different way. It is said there that by 'e' is to be understood the earth which is Karma-mudrā, also known as *Locanā*; she is of the nature of great compassion and great expedience—she is of the form of the whole universe and is known to the whole universe; she resides in the *Nirmāṇa-cakra* in the lotus in the nave. *Vaṃ* implies water representing the *Dharma-mudrā* or the goddess *Māmakī*; she is of the nature of universal love and concentration and resides in the *Dharma-cakra* in the heart in a lotus of eight petals.¹ We find this interpretation also in the *Hevajra-pañjikā* of Kṛṣṇācārya.² But in offering another alternative meaning of the line *evaṃ mayā śrutam*, etc., the *Hevajra-pañjikā* says that by 'e' is meant the female organ while by 'va' is meant the

¹ Again *ma* (of *mayā*) is fire, *Mahā-mudrā*, *Pāṇḍarā* is the *Sambhoga-cakra* in the throat; *yā* means air, *Samaya-mudrā*, goddess *Karmakulā* in the *Mahāsukha-cakra*, etc.

Cf. *ekāraṃ pṛthivī jñeyā karma-mudrā tu locanā |*
mahā-kṛpā mahopāyā viśva-rūpā viśva-gocarā |
sthitā nirmāna-cakre vai nābhau viśva-pankaje ||
vaṃ-kāraṃ tu jalaiṃ jñeyāṃ dharmā-mudrā tu māmakī |
maitrī-praṇidhi-rūpā tu devī vajra-mukhe sthitā |
dharmā-cakre tu hṛdaye aṣṭa-dalāmbuje ||
ma-kāraṃ vahnir uc. liṣṭo mahāmudrā tu pāṇḍarā |
muditū-bala-yogena devī padma-kulodbhavā |
sthitā sambhoga-cakre tu kuṅthe dvya-aṣṭa-dalā-ṃbuje ||
yā-kāraṃ vāyu-rūpastu sarva-kleśa-prabhāṅjakah |
mahā-samaya-mudrā vai devī karma-kulā mukhyā |
upekṣā jñāna-yogena tāra saṃsāra-tāriṇī ||
 —*Samputīkā*, MS., p. 10(A).

² *ekāraṃ pṛthivī jñeyā karma-mudrā tu locanā |*
catuḥṣaṣṭi-dale nābhau sthitā nirmāna-cakrake |
vaṃ-kāraṃ jalaiṃ jñeyāṃ dharmā-mudrā tu māmakī |
saṃsthitā tu dharmā-cakre śuddha-divyā-ṣṭa-dalā-ṃbuje ||
śrutam sahaṃ ityuktaṃ dvidhā-bhedena bheditam |
saṃvṛtaṃ devatā-kāraṃ utpatti-krama-pakṣataḥ |
vivṛti sva-svarūpan tu niṣpanna-krama-pakṣataḥ |
satya-dvayaṃ samāśṛitya buddhānāṃ dharmā-deśanā ||
 —*Hevajra-tantra*; See *Hevajra-pañjikā*, MS., p. 2(B).

But cf. also:—

ekāreṇa locanā devī vaṃkāreṇa māmakī smṛtā |
maḥkāreṇa pāṇḍarā ca yākāreṇa ca turuṇī ||
 —*Hevajra-tantra*, MS., p. 4(A).

male organ ; by *mayā* is meant the sex-activity and by *śrutam* is implied the double nature of the *sādhana* (*viz.*, *saṃvṛta* and *vivṛta*). The commentator further explains that the male is of the nature of the seed, while the pleasure is the female—they represent the *Dharma-kāya* and *Sambhoga-kāya* and both of them are combined in the nature of the Lord (*vajra-dhara*). Thus 'e' and 'va' are the two aspects of the Lord ; they are also called *saṃvṛta* and *vivṛta* which again correspond to the *śūnyatā* and *karuṇā* or *Prajñā* and *Upāya*.¹

It is needless to say that many of these derivations and interpretations are merely arbitrary and they are introduced to demonstrate some purely sectarian view. It is for this reason that in the *Marmakalikā-tantra* and in the *Hevajra-pañjikā* all the alternative interpretations are called 'sectarian interpretations' (*sam-pradāyā-vyākhyā*). Thus the verse—

caṇḍālī jvalitā nābhau dahati pañca-tathāgatān |
*dahati ca locanādīnām dagdhe hum sravate śāśī ||*²

has got as many as five interpretations. In the first interpretation *ālī* has been explained as the wind blowing through the left nasal passage and *kālī* as the wind blowing through the right.³ Again *caṇḍā* means *Prajñā* as she is very terrible (*caṇḍā*) in destroying all sorts of afflictions; and *ālī* here means *Vajra-sattva*.⁴

¹ *ekāraṁ bhagam ityuktam vaṁkāraṁ kulīsaṁ smṛtam |*
mayeti cālānaṁ proktaṁ śrutam yat tad dvidhāmatam ||
tathā ca vakṣyati |
śukrā-kāro bhaved bhagavān tat-sukhamkāminī smṛtam |
dharma-sambhoga-rūpatvaṁ vajra-dharasya lakṣaṇam ||
tathā ca
saṃvṛtaṁ kumkuma-saṅkāśam vivṛtaṁ sukha-rūpiṇam |
ity anena hi vākyena śūnyatā-karuṇā-svabhāvaṁ prajñā-pāya-
svabhāvaṁ dharma-sambhoga-kāya-svabhāvaṁ |

MS., p. 2(A).

² —*Hevajra-tantra*, MS., p. 4(B).

³ *ālīr vāma-nāsā-puta-prabhavo vāyuh | tadaparah kālīh |*
—*Hevajra-pañjikā*, MS., p. 9(B).

⁴ *caṇḍā prajñā kleśo-pakleśa-niṣkarantane (?) caṇḍa-svabhāvatvāt*
ālīr vajra-sattvaḥ |

—*Ibid.*, MS., p. 9(B).

Again *caṇḍā* is Prajñā who is *aṁ*; and *āli* is *Vajra-sattva* who is *kram*.¹ Again *caṇḍā* is Prajñā which is the left nerve, while *āli* is Upāya or the right nerve.² Again *caṇḍā* is Prajñā which is discriminative knowledge about the transcendental nature of origination and the originated; while *āli* is the mind full of universal compassion.³ Here in these interpretations it is very easy to notice that *āli* has been explained as Upāya which contradicts the interpretation of all the Tantras including the *Hevajra-tantra* (of which the *Hevajra-pañjikā* is the commentary); *āli* has also been said to be *kram* (while *caṇḍā* is *aṁ*), which also is absurd and self-contradictory.

(iii) *Advaya (non-duality) and Yuganaddha*
(Principle of Union)

A study of the above speculations on the nature of the Bodhicitta will bring it home to us that the central point of all the *Sādhanā* of the Tāntric Buddhists was a principle of union. The synthesis or rather the unification of all duality in an absolute unity is the real principle of union, which has been termed as *Yuganaddha*. This principle of *Yuganaddha* is clearly explained in the fifth chapter (*Yuganaddha-krama*) of the *Pañca-krama*. There it is said that when a state of unity is reached through the purging of the two notions of the creative process (*saṁsāra*) and absolute cessation (*nirvṛtti*), it is called *Yuganaddha*. When the transcendental nature of both phenomenal (*saṁkleśa*) and the absolutely purified (*vyavadāna*) realities is realized and the two become unified into one, it is called the *Yuganaddha*. Again, when the Yogin is

¹ *caṇḍā prajñā aṁkāraḥ/ āliṛ vajra-sattvaḥ kram-kāraḥ|*

—*Ibid.*, MS., p. 10(A).

² *caṇḍā prajñā vāmā nāḍī/ āli-rūpa upāyo dakṣiṇa-nāḍī|*

—*Ibid.*, MS., p. 10(A).

³ *caṇḍā prajñā utpatty-utpanna-krama-sambandhinām vicāraḥ| āliṛ mahā-karuṇāmaya-cittam|*

—*Ibid.*, MS., p. 10(B).

able to synthesize the thought-constructions of all corporeal existence with the notion of the formlessness, he can be said to have known the principle of *Yuganaddha*. Thus the text goes on explaining that the real principle of *Yuganaddha* is the absence of the notion of duality as the perceivable (*grāhya*) and the perceiver (*grāhaka*) and their perfect synthesis in an unity; it is the absence of the notions of eternity and limitation and is their synthesis in an unity,—the unity of Prajñā and Karuṇā,—the state of all-void (*sarvasūnyatā*) through the union of Prajñā and Upāya. Where there is no notion of extinction with some residual substratum (*sopādhi-śeṣaḥ*) or extinction without any residuum (*anupādhi-śeṣaḥ*), i.e., no notion of the non-essentialness of the *dharmas* (*dharma-nairātmya*) or of the self (*puḍgala-nairātmya*)—that is what is called the *Yuganaddha*;—for, the very nature of *Yuganaddha* involves its freedom from all kinds of thought-constructions. To realize through constant practice the truth of both *svādhiṣṭhāna* (which is the third *sūnyatā* as self-establishment or the universalization of the self) and the resplendent (*prabhāsvara*, which is the fourth or the final stage as *sarvasūnya*) and then to unite them—this is *Yuganaddha*. To enter into the final abode of ‘thatness’ in body, word and mind and thence again to rise up and turn to the world of miseries—that is what is called *Yuganaddha*. To know the nature of *samvṛti* (the provisional truth) and the *paramārtha* (the ultimate truth) and then to unite them together is real *Yuganaddha*. Where the mind does neither lose itself in the absolute ‘thatness’, nor does it rise up in the world (of activity)—that immutable state of the Yogin is called the state of *Yuganaddha*. Here there is neither affirmation nor denial, neither existence nor non-existence, neither non-remembering (*asmṛti* = non-subjectivity through the absence of the *vāsanās*) nor remembering (*smṛti*), neither affection (*rāga*) nor non-affection (*arāga*),

neither the cause nor the effect, neither the production (*utpatti*) nor the produced (*utpanna*), neither purity nor impurity, neither anything with form, nor anything without form; it is but a synthesis of all these dualities—that is what is meant by the principle of *Yuganaddha*. A Yogin thus placed in *Yuganaddha* is called the omniscient, the seer of the truth, the support of the universe;—he has escaped the snare of illusion by attaining perfect enlightenment,—he has crossed the sea of birth and death,—he has attained non-dual knowledge and eternal tranquillity. This in fact is perfect enlightenment (*buddhatva*),—this is what is meant by becoming a *Vajra-sattva*,—this is the way to attain all power and wealth. This stage is called the absorption in the *Vajropama* (or thunder-like) meditation,—the *niṣpanna krama* or the absolute state, or the absorption in the *Māyopama* (illusion-like) meditation, or it is called the non-dual truth (*advaya-tattva*). Words like ‘uncreate’, ‘non-dual’, etc., all refer to this. In this way the Buddhas, whose number is innumerable like the sand on the bank of the Ganges, attained perfection, a stage neither real nor unreal. This principle of *Yuganaddha* should be meditated as well as practised, and in such a stage, the mind being absolutely free from the notion of all sorts of duality, there remains no distinction between the self and the enemy, no distinction of caste or relation; there is no distinction in his mind between cloth and skin, gem and husk,—the scent of camphor or any other bad scent, praise and calumny, day and night, dream and perception, the ruined or the surviving, pleasure and pain, evil and good, hell and heaven, merit and demerit.¹

¹ *samsāra-nirvṛtiśceti kalpanā-dvaya-varjanāt |*
ekī-bhāvo bhavet yatra yuganaddham tad ucyate ||
saṅkleśaṃ vyavadānaṅca jñātvā tu paramārthataḥ |
ekī-bhāvaṃ tu yo vetti sa vetti yuganaddhakam |
sākāra-bhāva-saṅkalpani nirākāratva-kalpanām |
ekī-kṛtya caret yogī sa vetti yuganaddhakam ||

In the *Yuganaddha-prakāśa* of the *Advaya-vajra-saṅgraha* it has been said about the principle of union that the nature of the union of *śūnyatā* and *karuṇā* is incomprehensible; void and manifestation always remain in union by nature. In the *Prema-pañcaka* of the *Advaya-vajra-saṅgraha*, *śūnyatā* has been spoken of as the wife and the manifestation of *śūnyatā* as the husband,—and the relation between them is that of conjugal love which is very natural (*sahajam prema*); and so inseparable are they in their deep love that *śūnyatā* without the husband of manifestation would have been dead and the husband of manifestation without *śūnyatā* would have always suffered bondage. In the *Sādhana-mālā* it is said that the one body of the ultimate nature as the unity of both *śūnyatā* and *karuṇā* is called the neuter or often as the *Yuganaddha*.¹ This *Yuganaddha* is called *Advaya*, it is the *Bodhicitta*², it is the *Dharma-kāya*.³

The conception of *maithuna* (conjugal intercourse) or *kāma-kalā* (as it is called in the *Kāma-kalā-vilāsa*) of the Śaiva or Śākta Tantras refers to the same principle. In the *Kāma-kalā-vilāsa* we find the principle

grāhyaṅ ca grāhakaṅ caiva dvidhā-buddhir na vidyate |
 abhinnatā bhavet yatra tadāha yuganaddhakam ||
 śāśvate cheda-buddhistu yaḥ prahāya pravartate |
 yuganaddha-kramā-khyaṅ vai tattvaṁ vetti sa paṇḍitaḥ ||
 prajñā-karuṇayor aikyaṅ jñā(naṁ) yatra pravartate |
 yuganaddha iti khyātaḥ kramo'yaṁ buddha-gocaraḥ ||
 prajño-pāya-samāpattyaḥ jñātvā sarvaṁ samāsataḥ |
 yatra sthito mahāyogī tad bhavet yuganaddhakam ||
 yatra sthito mahāyogī tad bhavet yuganaddhakam ||
 ity evaṁ kalpanā nā'sti tat taddhi yuganaddhakam ||
 yatra puḍgala-nairātmya(m) dharma-nairātmyam ity api |
 kalpanāyā viviktatvaṁ yuganaddhasya lakṣaṇaṁ ||
 jñātvā karmaṇa tattvajñāḥ svādhiṣṭhāna-prabhāsvaram |
 tayor eva samājam yaḍ yuganaddha-kramo hy ayam || etc.
 Vide Pañca-krama.

¹ ekaḥ svābhāvikaḥ kāyaḥ śūnyatā-karuṇā-dvayaḥ |
 napuṁsakam iti khyāto yuganaddha iti kvacit ||

Sādhana-mālā, Vol. II, pp. 5, 505.

² etad adrayam ity uktam bodhicittam idam param |

Ibid., p. 17.

³ *Sekoddeśa-tikā* (G.O.S.) p. 57.

very clearly explained. Śiva or Maheśa is pure illumination (*prakāśa-mātra-tanu*) or the abstract self-shining thought with all the principles of activity contracted within him (*antarlīna-vimarśaḥ*); Śakti is the principle of activity or the inherent activity of thought (*vimarśa* or *kriyā-śakti*) and she contains the seed of the future worlds (*bhāvi-carā-cara-bījam*). Śiva, however, realizes himself through the Śakti, and therefore it is said that Śiva is the form or beauty which is to be reflected in the clear-looking glass of *vimarśa*.¹ The philosophical implication seems to be that pure abstract thought cannot realize its own nature unless it comes back to itself through its own activity, and when it thus turns back to itself through *vimarśa* it becomes the 'Egohood'. Thus at first there is pure thought-illumination (*prakāśa*), then the activity (*vimarśa*) and by their union is produced *ahamkāra* or the 'Egohood'. This principle of 'Egohood' is called the 'mass produced through the union of Śiva and Śakti' (*śiva-śakti-mithuna-piṇḍa*).² We have already indicated that this Śiva is thought of as the white matter (*sita-bindu*) and the Śakti as the red-matter (*śoṇa-bindu*), and if we are to expand the analogy, it comes to this, that just as all production is through the commingling of the seed and the ovum, through the union of the male and the female, so this 'Egohood' is the son, as it were, produced through the union of the Śiva and Śakti.³ This Śiva is the Kāma (he who is desirable) and the Śakti is the *Kalā*⁴ and their union is the *Kāma-kalā*.

The principle of union is often illustrated in the Buddhist Pantheon by the representation of many of the gods embracing their consorts or female counter-

¹ *śiva-rūpa-vimarśa-nirmalā-darśaḥ* ||

Kāma-kalā-vilāsa, Verse 2.

Kashmira Series of Texts and Studies, No. XII.

² *Ibid.*, Verse 5.

³ *Kāma-kalā-vilāsa*, Comm. on Verse 7.

⁴ *Ibid.*, Comm. on Verse 7.

parts. The Lord Supreme as the *Vajra-sattva* or the *Vajra-dhara* is often represented as embracing his consort variously called as the *Vajra-dhātviśvarī* or *Vajra-vārāhī* or *Prajñā* or *Prajñā-pāramitā* or *Nairātmā*. Lord Heruka in the *Heruka-tantra* is represented as filled with erotic emotion (*śṛṅgāra-rasa-samanvitam*) and deeply embracing his consort *Vajra-vairocanī* in great joy of compassion (*karuṇā-mahotsava*).¹ In the *Śrī-cakra-sambhāra-tantra* the Lord is depicted as embracing *Vajra-vārāhī* who clings to him (in sexual union) and who is red in colour (red colour of love). To illustrate the principle of union they are depicted as in sexual union touching at all points of contact.² In the *Sādhanā-mālā* we find that Heruka, as embraced by his *Prajñā*, represents the knowledge of the non-dual union.³ Lord Ādi-Buddha embraced by his *Prajñā* is said to be the non-dual (*advaya*) truth.⁴ In many of the *Sādhana*s we find that the god to be worshipped is to be meditated on as in union with or deeply embraced by his female consort and as enjoying great bliss.⁵ We have seen that the five Tathāgatas or the Dhyānī Buddhas, viz., *Vairocana*, *Akṣobhya*, *Amitābha*, *Ratna-sambhava* and *Amogha-siddhi*, have their female consorts *Vajradhātviśvarī*, *Locanā*, *Māmakī*, *Pāṇḍarā* and *Āryatārā*, and these Dhyānī Buddhas or Tathāgatas are generally described with their own consorts or Śaktis. It is interesting to note that many of the Buddhist gods of Nepal and Tibet are depicted in *yab-yum* or in union. Often the god holds the *yum* (the female) on his knee in the archaic manner of Śiva holding *Pārvatī* or *Umā*. Thus *Avalokiteśvara* is often depicted as holding his Śakti on the knee.

Most of the Buddhist Tantras are *Saṅgīti* in type

¹ *Heruka-tantra*, MS. (R.A.S.B. No. 11279) p. 81.

² *Śrī-cakra-sambhāra-tantra*, pp. 27, 29.

³ *advaya-yoga-jñānaṁ tu prajñā-līngita-herukam*

Vol. II (G.O.S.), p. 508.

⁴ *Ibid.*, p. 505.

⁵ *Ibid.*, pp. 491, 500, 502, 529, 532, 537, 539, etc.

and the Lord Supreme as the Bhagavān Buddha or the Lord Vajra-sattva or Vajra-dhara is depicted as preaching these principles of *Sādhanā* in an assembly of the Buddhas, Bodhisattvas, Tathāgatas and others; but sometimes we see that the Lord Supreme is not preaching in the assembly, but is depicted as explaining the principles of esoteric practices by way of answering the questions of goddess Nairātmā or Vajra-vārāhī or simply Vārāhī, who, out of compassion for the suffering beings, puts all sorts of questions as to the secrets of the practices through which all beings may attain liberation. In the *Hevajra-tantra* we see that goddess, Nairātmā, deeply moved by the miseries of the beings, is entreating the Lord to explain all the secrets through which the suffering world may be delivered. The Lord is moved by her request; he kisses her and caresses and coaxes her in deep embrace and explains to her all the secrets of Yoga through which all beings may be liberated.¹ In one place, however, goddess Nairātmā asks the Lord to explain what is meant by their Cakra. The Lord replies that it is a place with four corners and four doors decked with *Vajra*-threads; within it remains the Lord with the Lady in deep passion of the nature of Sahaja-bliss; and from their union proceed all the goddesses in all the quarters.² The *Vajra-vārāhī-kalpa-mahā-tantra*,³ the *Ekalla-vīra-caṇḍa-mahā-roṣaṇa-tantra*⁴, etc., are written entirely as dialogues between the Lord and the Lady in exactly the

¹ Cf. *kapāla-mālinam vīram nairātmā-śīṣṭa-kandharam | pañca-mudrā-dharam devaṁ nairātmā pṛcchati svayam || asmac cakram tvayā kathitaṁ pañca-daśa-parivāritam | tad idaṁ maṇḍalam kīḍṛk prāk na jñātaṁ mayā prabho || cumbayitvā tu nairātmāṁ kṣiptvā vajraṁ kakkolake | mardayitvā stanam devo maṇḍalam samprakāśate || Hevajra-tantra, MS. p. 55(B). pṛcchati tatra sā devī vajra-pūjā-prayogataḥ | tatkaṣaṇam kīḍṛso deva kathayasva mahāprabho || Ibid., MS. p. 61(A).*

Cf. also pp. 61(B), 62(A), 69(A), 69(B), etc.

² *Ibid.*, MS. pp. 55(B)-56(A).

³ R.A.S.B., MS. No. 11285.

⁴ R.A.S.B., MS. No. 9089.

same manner as described above. In the *Ekalla-vira-caṇḍa-mahā-roṣaṇa-tantra* the Lord says that in the form of the unity of the four kinds of bliss, free from all notions of existence and non-existence—as the principle of non-phenomenalism, he resides in all men ; while the Lady replies that she also, in the form of the unity of *śūnyatā* and *karuṇā*, as the ‘non-construct’ bliss, resides in all women.¹ It may be noted that the Hindu Tantras are also generally introduced by way of a dialogue between Lord Śiva and his Śakti Pārvatī ; there also, Pārvatī (who is the mother of the world as Prajñā also is), out of deep compassion for the beings, asks the Lord about the path through which the beings may be liberated, and in way of replying to the questions of Pārvatī Lord Śiva explains to her all the secrets of Yoga. It is indeed very hazardous to postulate on the basis of this similarity of form in the Buddhist and the Hindu Tantras any theory concerning the priority of the one to the other ; it is, nevertheless, to be admitted that the resemblance is striking, and in later time with the gradual decay of Buddhism and the revival of Hinduism the Buddhist Lord Supreme with his female counter-part could very easily conceal himself behind the Hindu god Śiva with his Śakti Pārvatī or Gaurī or Durgā. This confusion between the two pairs of the Hindu and Buddhist divinities is palpable in the Hindu-Buddhist vernacular literature of India.

¹ *bhāvā-bhāva-vinirmuktaś catur-ānandaika-tatparaḥ |*
niṣprapañca-svarūpo'haṁ sarva-saṁkalpa-varjitah ||
mām na jānāti ye mūḍhāḥ sarva-puṁ-vapuṣi sthitam |
tesām ahaṁ hitā-rthāya pañcā-kāreṇa saṁsthitah ||
atha bhagavatī dveṣa-vajri samādhim āpadye'dam udājahāra,—
śūnyatā-karuṇā-bhinnā divya-kāma-sukha-sthitā |
sarva-kalpa-vihīnā'haṁ niṣprapañcā nirākulā ||
mām na jānanti ye nāryaḥ sarva-strī-deha-saṁsthitām |
tāsām ahaṁ hitā-rthāya pañcā-kāreṇa saṁsthitām |
atha bhagavān kṛṣṇācano (?) gādhena bhagavatī-dveṣavajrīm
cumbayitvā samāliṅgya cā'mantrayate sma |
devī devī mahā-ramyaṁ rahasyaṁ cā'ti-durlabham | etc.

The Buddhist Tāntric literature of the Saṅgīti class (*i.e.*, where Lord Buddha himself is depicted as the preacher of the truth contained in the body of the book) invariably begins with the sentence—*evaṃ mayā śrutam ekasmin samaye bhagavān sarva-tathāgata-kāya-vāk-citta-hṛdaya-vajra-yoṣit-bhageṣu vija-hāra*. The general meaning of the sentence is—‘It is heard by me that once upon a time, the Lord sported in the heart of super-human knowledge arising out of the body, speech and mind of all the Tathāgatas’. But the line has been explained in various ways in various Tantras and their commentaries. Of course, as pointed out before, in many cases the interpretation is absolutely sectarian and as such purely arbitrary. The word *bhaga* may be (and has often been) explained to mean the six super-human qualities, *viz.*, all sovereignty (*aiśvarya*), energy (*vīrya*), fame (*yaśas*), beauty (*śrī*), knowledge (*jñāna*) and detachment (*vairāgya*); and taking this meaning the above line may be explained in the following way: the lord shone in bliss in the transcendental qualities belonging to the body, speech and mind of the Tathāgatas. But the *Guhya-siddhi* of Padmavajra says—‘There is renowned Prajñā who is divine and who sanctions all fulfilment; that which remains in her is called the syllable *bhaga*,—that is the pure truth; and I have worshipped it from the standpoint of the absolute.’¹ Here it seems that the truth (*tattva*) that remains in union with Prajñā is the Lord himself. Thus the aphorism (*evaṃ mayā*, etc.) means that the Lord remains in union with Prajñā in the form of the *tattva*. Again it is said, that the Lord of mind is the *bhagavān* and Prajñā is the *Vajra-yoṣit* (the adamantine woman), and there (in the *Vajra-yoṣit*) sported the Lord in the form of great

¹ *sthītā siddhi-pradā divyā nāmnā prajñe'ti viśrutā |
tasyām vyavasthitam yat tad bhagam ity akṣara-dvayam |
tan mayā śuddha-tattvā-khyaṃ sevitaṃ paramārthataḥ ||*
Guhya-siddhi. MS. p. 9.

bliss.¹ Again it is said, that all non-constructive and universal knowledge, condensed into a mass, is the void-element in the form of the *bhaga*.² This void-element or *śūnyatā* is the Prajñā; and the Prajñā is depicted as the eternal and infinite object of joy of the Lord of elements (*Bhūta-nātha*); she is pure in the beginning, in the middle and in the end,—and she comes from *Vajra*-knowledge and remains as *Samvṛtti* (provisional or phenomenal); she is known also as *karma-mudrā*; and moved by her kindness towards the beings she condescends to take human forms (as women).³

In spite of the above we may say that whatever might have been the original meaning of the epithet *vajra-yoṣit-bhaga*, it began to be taken in later times in its sense as the female organ; and the Lord (representing Lord Buddha), instead of being conceived as preaching in the assembly of the adept, began to be conceived as dallying with Prajñā or his female counterpart and this will be confirmed by the manner in which the dialogues of the *Ḍākārṇava* (ed. by MM. H. P. Śāstri) are introduced.⁴

¹ *bhagavān iti nirdiṣṭam cittasyā'dhipatiḥ prabhuh |
vajra-yoṣit smṛtā prajñā yū sā savajriṇā-tmakā ||*

vijahāra tataḥ śrīmān śrī-mahāsukha-vajriṇaḥ ||

Ibid., p. 17.

² *nīrvikalpaka-sarvajña-jñānam piṇḍikṛtam tu yat |
sarva(m) yat bhagam ākāra-rūpeṇa tat kha-dhātum iti smṛtam |*

Ibid., p. 17.

³ *yā sā śrī-bhūta-nāthasya mud(r)ā parama-śāśvatī |
nāmnā prajñe'ti vikhyātā ādi-madhyā-nta-nirmalā |
sthitā samvṛtti-rūpeṇa tu vajra-jñāna-sambhavā |
karma-mudre'ti vikhyātā sattvā-nugraha-hetunā |
mānuṣī tanum āśritya sarva-sattva-hitaiṣiṇī |*

Ibid., p. 14.

⁴ The text begins with the line—*evam mayā śrutam ekasmin samaye bhagavān mahā-vīre-śvara(h) sarva-tathāgata-vīra-kāya-vāk-citta-yoginī-bhageṣu kriḍitavān*. Then the Mahāvīreśvara begins to narrate his experiences in the *mahāsukha-samādhi*; but the goddess Vārāhī interferes and puts questions to the Lord, and the Lord goes on replying to her questions and removing all her doubts. *Ḍākārṇava* (Śāstri), p. 185.

(iv) *Rāga* (Affection) and *Mahārāga* (Great Affection).

Another factor to be noticed in esoteric Buddhism is the conception of *rāga* which ordinarily means deep attachment. In the *Samputikā* it has been said,— ‘Neither attachment (*rāga*) nor detachment (*virāga*), nor any intermediate stage is perceived; here the nature of *rāga* is attachment and the nature of *virāga* is arrest (*nirodha*)’.¹ The word *rāga* is also used for *karuṇā*. In the *Prajñopāya-viniścaya-siddhi* it is said that *krpā* or compassion is called *rāga* because it makes happy (*rañjati*) or saves (*rakṣati*) all the beings who are deeply immersed in the sea of sorrow. In the *Jvālā-valī-vajramālā-tantra* we find the word *rāga* used for *Upāya*.² But gradually the word began to acquire the meaning of intense and transcendental bliss arising out of the sexo-yogic practice, which is the means or the expedient (*upāya*) for attaining the Bodhicitta or the *Sahaja* which is of the nature of great bliss (*mahā-sukha*). In the *Kriyā-saṃgraha* it is said that the nectar-like Bodhicitta is to be meditated on as melt-

Again:—

saṃśayaṃ sarvaṃ atraiva kiṃ rahasyā-di-vākyakam |
kathayantu mama svāmī sattvānām upakārikam ||
tuṣṭāmy ahaṃ mahādevī sattvo-pakāra-hetukam |
kathayāmi samāsena śṛṇvekāgrata-cetasā ||

Ibid., p. 137.

¹ *na rāgo na virāgaś ca madhyamā no’palabhyate |*
tatra rāga āsakti-lakṣaṇo virāgo nirodham matam |

Samputikā, MS. p. 10(B).

See also *Hevajra-tantra*, MS. p. 22(B).

Cf. also,—*Vajra-dāka-tantra* quoted in the *Catalogue of Sans. Buddhist MSS.*, R.A.S.B., Vol. I, p. 100.

Cf. also,—*na rāgo na virāgaś ca madhyamā no’palabhyate |*

trayānāṃ varjanād eva sahaḥ sambodhir ucyate ||

Buddha-kapāla-tantra-ṭikā of Abhayakara Gupta,

MS. (R.A.S.B., No. 3827) p. 38.

² *yūṅganaddha-mahārāgaḥ sthito yatra saprajñakakḥ |*

MS. p. 19(B).

ing through *mahārāga*.¹ In the *Hevajra-tantra* also we find that the Lord Supreme and his Śakti are in deep union in the nature of Sahaja-bliss through the affection of intense emotion.² In justification of the sexo-yogic practice in esoteric Buddhism it has often been said that man is bound through emotion or attachment and he is again liberated through that very emotion.³ It is further discussed, if perfect knowledge is attainable through the emotion of intense attachment, then all beings attached to worldly objects and sex-emotions might have been delivered; but it is warned that only by enjoying objects the incessant bliss arising out of great emotion (*mahārāga-sukha*) cannot be realized; *mahārāga-sukha* can only be realized after one has attained perfect knowledge about the immutable nature of the objects which transcends the threefold principles of defilement (*bhāsatraya*). It

¹ *mahā-rāgeṇa dravībhūta(ni) bodhicitta-rūpā-mṛti-bhutaṅ cintayet* | MS. B. N. Sans. No. 81, p. 12(B).

In the commentary of the *Marmakalikā-tantra* *rāga* has been explained as the nine emotions (*rasa*) beginning with *Śrīngāra*; *sanuragam iti śrīngārū-di-nava-rasa-sahitam* | MS. B. N. Sans. No. 88, p. 86(A). In the commentary of the fifth Dohā of the *Dohakoṣa* of Kānha-pāda the word *suraa-vīra* has been explained in the following manner,—“The union of Prajñā and Upāya is the union (*surata*); there, he (the Yogin) is the hero, because he controls all discharge by the strength of his incessant and intense emotion (*mahārāga*). *suraa-vīra iti/prajño-pāyayor dvandva-yogaḥ suratam/tatrā'nava-cchīmna-mahārāga-rūpena virāga-dalanād vīraḥ* | [MS. B. N. Sans. No. 47, p. 41(B)]. Again *jīṅghai maaramāda* (in the same Dohā) is explained as,—“Experiences undischarged bliss of the nature of intense emotion (*mahārāga*) through his strength in union. *surata-vīratayā'cyuta-mahārāga-sukham anubhavatī'ty arthaḥ* | [*Ibid.*, MS. p. 41(B)].

² *mahā-rāgā-nurāgeṇa sahajā-nanda-svarūpataḥ* | MS. p. 55(B).

³ *rāgena vadhyate loko rāgeṇaiva hi mucyate* | *viparīta-bhāvanā hy eṣā na jñātā buddha-tīrthikair* ||
Quoted in the Comm. of the *Dohās* of Kānha-pāda.
MS. B. N. Sans. No. 47., p. 48(B).

In the *Subhāṣita-saṅgraha* this verse is ascribed to the *Prajā-tantra*.

Cf. also,—*rāgeṇa hanyate rāgo vahnidāham ca vahninā* | *Ekalla-vīra-caṇḍa-mahā-roṣaṇa-tantra*, MS. (R.A.S.B., No. 9089) p. 22(B).

is further confirmed by the authority of the *Jñāna-vajra-samuccaya-mahā-yoga-tantra* where it is said that those, who are attached only to sex-intercourse, never attain perfect knowledge of the nature of intense emotion (*mahārāga*); on the other hand, he who, through the intense joy arising out of the sexo-yogic practice, perfectly knows the nature of the principles of defilement (*prakṛty-ābhāsa*) can enter into the bliss of intense emotion (*mahārāga-sukha*).¹ Thus it is clear that the intensity of bliss through which the mind attains a transcendental stage where all the principles of phenomenalisation and defilement vanish away, is the *mahārāga*. In the *Ḍākinī-vajra-pañjara* it has been said that the Yogin of the nature of great emotion and absorbed in the *Samādhi* of intense emotion should adorn and adore the *Mahāmudrā* through deep attachment to great emotion.² The world is produced through emotion and is again destroyed through the abandonment of it (*i.e.*, by mere indulgence in sex-passions and discharge of the matter without knowing the *tattva*); by the knowledge of its transcendental nature mind becomes the Vajra-sattva.³ Again it is said in the *Citta-viśuddhi-prakaraṇa* that man is affected by his emotional and passionate mind, but is also liberated through the enjoyment of passion.⁴ The wise should save himself from passions with the help of the passion.⁵

So, it is clear from the above, that the word *rāga* was used to signify intense bliss of emotion which is produced through the methodical and well-controlled

¹ Com. MS. B.N. Sans. No. 47, p. 44(A). See also pp. 43(B).

² *mahā-rāgā-nurāgeṇa mahā-rāga-svabhāvataḥ |*
mahā-rāga-samādhistho mahā-mudrāṃ prasādhayet ||

³ *rāgeṇo'ṭpadyate loko rāga-kṣepāt ksayam gataḥ |*
vajra-rāga-parijñānāt vajra-sattvo bhaven manaḥ ||

Quoted in the *Subhāṣita-saṅgraha*.

⁴ *rajyate rāga-cittena rāga-bhogenā mucyate ||*

Verse 85.

⁵ *rāgeṇaiva tathā rāgam uddharanti manīṣiṇaḥ ||*

Verse 87.

union of the Prajñā and the Upāya ; it is this emotion, which, because of its highest intensity, would absorb all the other constructive functions of the mind within it and thus would bring about the liberation of the Yogin.

(v) *Samarasa*

Closely associated with the idea of *rāga* or transcendental emotion is the idea of *samarasa* or the sameness or oneness of emotion. In a deeper sense *samarasa* is the realisation of the oneness of the universe amidst all its diversities,—it is the realisation of the one truth as the one emotion or the all-pervading bliss. The meaning of *samarasa* is well explained in the *Hevajra-tantra*, where it is said that, in the Sahaja or the ultimate stage, there is the cognition of neither the Prajñā nor the Upāya, there is no sense of difference anywhere. In such a stage every thing whether the lowest or the middle or the best—all should be realised as the same.¹ The self should be realised as neither something static nor something dynamic;—through the transcendental meditation on the underlying oneness of the cosmic principle every thing should be viewed as of the same character and function.² The word *samarasa* has been explained here thus,—*sama* means the ‘sameness’ and *rasa* belongs to its cycle (*cakra*); thus *samarasa* means the oneness of the nature of all that is there in the cycle of existence.³ *Samarasa* actually means the realisation of self in the whole universe or the realisation of the universe as the self. The universe is said to have come out of the self, it is pervaded by the self—nothing else is found anywhere.

¹ *hīna-madhyo-krṣṭāny eva anyāni yāni tāni ca |*
sarve tāni samāni'ti draṣṭavyaṃ tattva-bhāvataḥ ||
Hevajra-tantra. MS. p. 22(B).

² *sthīra-calaṃ yāni tāni'ti sarve tāni'ti naivā'ham |*
samāni tulya-ceṣṭāni samarasais tattva-bhāvanaiḥ ||
Ibid., MS. p. 22(B)-28(A).

³ *samaṃ tulyam ity uktam tasya cakre rasaḥ smṛtaḥ |*
samarasan tv ekabhāvatvam etenā'rthena bhāṇyate || Ibid.

This realisation of the universe as the self is called the *svātantryam*¹ which is explained in the commentary as the state of absorption in the bliss of self-realisation. All existence, static and dynamic including grass, plants and creepers, etc., are to be realised as the highest truth of the nature of the self; amongst them there is nothing which is other than the self,—for the ultimate nature of all things is ‘great bliss’ (*mahat sukham*) which is to be first realised within the self.² At that time the five elements earth, water, air, fire and ether shake off the distinctive features of diversity and in no way do they disturb the oneness of the transcendental emotion; heaven, earth and hell become one within a moment and they can no more be recognised as the self or the not-self.³ In the *Abhiṣeka-pāṭala* of the same text we see that the Guru (or the Preceptor) should explain to the disciple (and also make him realise) the *samarasa*,⁴ which is nothing but a knowledge to be realised within, which is free from the notions of the self and the not-self, which is sky-like, stainless, void, which is of the nature of both existence and non-existence and which is the ultimate truth; it is a combination of both Prajñā and Upāya—bereft

¹ *mad-bhāvaṃ hi jagat-sarvaṃ mad-bhāvaṃ bhuvana-trayam |
mayā vyāptam idaṃ sarvaṃ nā'nyamayaṃ dṛśyate jagat ||
evaṃ matvā tu vai yogī yogā-bhyāse samāhitah |
sa sidhyati na sandeho manda-puṇyo'pi mānavaḥ ||
khāne pāne tathā snāne jāgrat-supte'pi cintayet |
svātantryaṃ tu tato yāti mahā-mudrā-dhikāṅkṣakah (?) ||*
Ibid., MS. p. 23(A).

² *sthira-calās ca ye bhāvās tṛṇa-gulma-latā-dayaḥ |
bhāvvyante vai paraṃ tattvam ātma-bhāva-svarūpakam ||
teṣāṃ ekaṃ paraṃ nā'sti'eva-saṃvedyaṃ mahat-sukham |
sva-saṃvedyāt bhavet siddhiḥ sva-saṃvedyā hi bhāvanā ||*
Ibid., MS. p. 23(B).

³ *pṛthivī āpaś ca vāyus teja ākāśam eva ca |
kṣaṇāt sarve ca vadhyante sva-para-saṃvitti-vedanam ||
svarga-martyais ca pātālair eka-mūrtir bhavet kṣaṇāt |
sva-para-bhāva-vikalpena bādhitum naiva śakyate ||*
Ibid., MS. p. 24(A).

⁴ *kāritavyaṃ ca tatraiva samarasaṃ śiṣya-gocaram ||*
Ibid., MS. p. 27(A).

of both *rāga* and *virāga*; that is the life of the creatures, the ultimate and immutable, all-pervading and belonging to all the bodies—that is the great life identified with the universe.¹ Thus *samarasa* in its philosophical bearing means the same thing as the *advaya* or the *yuganaddha*.² In the *Vyakta-bhāvā-nugata-tattva-siddhi* it has been said that when one enters into the state of *Mahāsukha*, produced through the union of *Prajñā* and *Upāya*, a state free from all thought constructions, the whole world becomes of the nature of a unique emotion in the form of *Mahāsukha*. Through this unique emotion of bliss the whole world as static and dynamic takes the form of *Mahāsukha* and all become one.³

In the *Caryā-padas* and the *Dohās* of Saraha and Kāṇha the word *samarasa* is used freely in the sense of *advaya*. It is said in a song of Bhusuka-pāda that as

¹ *sva-saṁvedyāt bhavet jñānaṁ svā-para-saṁvitti-varjitaṁ |*
kha-samaṁ virāgaṁ śūnyāṁ bhāvā-bhāvā-tmakaṁ paramaṁ ||
prajñā-pāya-vyatimīśraṁ rāga-rāga-vivarjitaṁ |
sa eva prāṇināṁ prāṇaḥ sa eva paramā-kṣaraḥ ||
sarva-vyāpī sa evāsau sarva-dehe vyavasthitaḥ |
sa evā'sau mahā-prāṇaḥ sa evā'sau jaganmayāḥ ||

Ibid., MS. p. 27(A).

All these lines with slight deviations in readings are also found in the *Samputikā*. Cf. MS. (R.A.S.B., No. 4854) pp. 18(A)-18(B).

Cf. further the *Samputikā* where it is said that *samasara* is the pure mixture of both *rāga* and *virāga*,—and that *samarasa* is the unique knowledge about all existence.

rāgaṁ caiva virāgaṁ ca miśrikṛtaṁ anāvilaṁ |
tathā rāga-virāgābhyāṁ ekaḥ samarasah kṣaṇaḥ |
samarasaḥ sarva-bhāvanām samayas tu eka ucyate |

Samputikā, MS. p. 10(B).

² In the *Acintyā-dvaya-kramo-padeśa* of Kuddāla-pāda it is said that as all the entities come from transcendental knowledge of the form of *samarasa*, they are non-dual in nature.

advayā-kāra-sarvaṁ tu dvayam etat na vidyate |
samaṁ samarasā-kāram acintya-jñāna-sambhūtaṁ ||

MS. (C.L.B.), p. 108(B).

³ *asmiṁ prajñā-pāyo-tpanna-mahāsukhā-loka-sthāne parityakta-*
sakala-kalpanā-kalpa atisāya-bhogatām upagata-
raṇa-grāmeṇa praviṣṭe sati jagad idam eva mahāsukhā-kāra-rasai-
katām prayāti/ samarasa-sukha-sampad-anutpāda-
śriyā sakalam eva calā-calaṁ viśvaṁ mahāsukhā-kāram eyāt/.

Vyakta-bhāvā-nugata-tattva-siddhi, MS. (C.L.B.) p. 89(A).

water mixed with water leaves no difference of any kind, so also the jewel of mind enters the sky of *samarasa*.¹ In *samarasa* both the positive and negative aspects of the mind vanish; it is pure and free from all existence as well as non-existence.² Where the mind sets in, all bondage is torn off,—there in a non-dual state every thing becomes same, there is neither the Śūdra nor the Brāhmaṇa.³ *Samarasa* is that state where the mind enters into the vacuity as water enters into water; it is the receptacle of all merits and demerits.⁴ It is said by Kāṇha-pāda,—‘He who has made his mind steady in *samarasa* which is the Sahaja, becomes at once perfect, no more will he suffer from disease and death.’⁵ If the mind is absorbed in his (mind’s) wife (*i.e.*, *śūṇyatā*) as salt is absorbed in water, there follows *samarasa* which is an unique state of mind with a never-failing flow of oneness.⁶ This *samarasa* or the *sāmarasya* is the union of the Prajñā and the Upāya.⁷ In the Hindu Tantras also the word *sāmarasya* is extensively used in the sense of the union, or rather the oneness of emotion proceeding from the union of Śiva and Śakti.

¹ *jīma jāle pāṇiā ṭaliā bheda na jāa |*
tīma maṇa (naraṇa, Sic.) raṇa (aana, Sic.) re samarase
gaṇa samāa ||
Caryā-pada. Song No. 43. (Śāstri’s Edition).

² *jahi jāi citta tahi sunahu acitta |*
samarasa [ṇimma bhāvābhāva-rahia] ||
Dohā of Tillopāda, No. 11. (Dr. Bagchi’s Edition).

³ *javve maṇa atthamaṇa jāi taṇu tuṭṭai vandhana |*
tavve samarasa sahaje vajjai naū sudda ṇa vamaṇa ||
Dohā of Saraha No. 46.

⁴ *jatta vi paisai jalahi jalu tattai samarasa hoi |*
dosaguṇāra cūttatāhā vaḍha parivakkha ṇu koi ||
Dohā No. 74.

⁵ *sahaje ṇiccala jeṇa kia samarase ṇiamaṇa rāa |*
siddho so puṇa takkhane naū jarāmaraṇaha sa bhāa ||
Dohā No. 19.

⁶ *jīma loṇa vilijjai pāṇiehi tīma gharīṇi lai citta |*
samarasa jāi takkhane jai puṇu te sama ṇitta ||
Dohā No. 32.

⁷ *prajñā-pāya-mahā-guhyarī samarasā-[dhyā]m (?) ucyaṭe ||*
Subhāṣita-saṅgraha, p. 69.

(vi) *Mahāsukha (Supreme Bliss) As The Final Goal: Nirvāṇa And Mahāsukha*

The next important thing to be considered is the conception of *nirvāṇa* as Mahāsukha. The problem of making out the exact implication of the conception of *nirvāṇa* is rather a stumbling block with the Buddhist scholars ; the reason for it is that, though it is the most highly spoken of in many of the canonical and philosophical texts, it is, and by nature could be, the least explained. Not that its nature has been least discussed, but that out of all the controversial discussions it is not possible to form any definite notion about it, and in general it is the unspeakable transcendental nature of *nirvāṇa* that has variously been emphasised. Buddha himself observed the principle of significant silence with regard to the problem of *nirvāṇa* and it is in consonance with his general agnostic attitude towards all the metaphysical problems. According to some scholars, however, the problem of *nirvāṇa* was no vital question with primitive Buddhism which was concerned more with the four noble truths (*ārya-satya*) than with the problem of the after world.¹

(A) NIRVĀṆA AS A POSITIVE STATE OF BLISS

Apart from the controversial views of the scholars as to whether the conception of *nirvāṇa* in early Buddhism was positive or negative, we may say for ourselves that though the Buddhist conception of *nirvāṇa* from the early time may admit of negative interpretations, the description of its positive character is not also wanting. The etymological meaning of the word² is an eternal stoppage to a flow; and in this sense we may take the word to imply the complete cessation

¹ Vide, *The Principles of Mahāyāna Buddhism* by Suzuki, Ch. XIII.

² The word *nirvāṇa* is derived as *nir* + $\sqrt{vā}$ (fused with the \sqrt{vr}) + *ta*. The prefix *nir* implies negation, the root $\sqrt{vā}$ means to blow and the suffix *ta* is added in the impersonal voice (i.e., *bhāva-vācya*).

of the cycle of birth and death. The derivation of the word also gives the meaning of the blowing out as of a lamp, or, the eternal tranquillity resulting from the cessation of all the *vāsanās* and *saṃskāras* and the consequent escape from the life of birth and death. From this idea of tranquillisation the word developed the meaning of perfect peace. From the discourse of Reverend Nāgasena with king Milinda in the *Milinda-pañho*, (which is a Pāli work of antiquity) it appears that whatever might have been the philosophical concept of *nirvāṇa*, it was something positive so far as the popular belief was concerned.¹ The element of *nirvāṇa* (*nibhāṇa-dhātu*) is said to be quiescent (*santa*), bliss (*sukha*), excellent (*panita*), it is to be attained through perfect knowledge (*paññā*) by the removal of the deep-rooted impressions (*sankhāra*). Just as a man burn-

¹ Nāgasena explains to the King that it is not possible to speak of any definite form (*rūpa*) or situation (*santhānam*), or time (*vaya*) or evidence (*pamāṇa*) of *nirvāṇa* either through any example (*opamma*) or cause (*kāraṇa*) or reason (*hetu*) or system (*naya*) of *nirvāṇa*; but as we cannot say anything about the denizens of heaven, yet we do believe in their existence, so also the existence of *nirvāṇa* should be believed in, though we cannot say anything about it. Nevertheless, *nirvāṇa* has got its qualities (*guṇas*). It contains one *guṇa* of the lotus, two *guṇas* of water, three *guṇas* of medicine, four *guṇas* of the ocean, five *guṇas* of eating, ten *guṇas* of the sky, three *guṇas* of the precious jewel, three *guṇas* of red sandal, three *guṇas* of the *sappi-maṇḍa* (preparations from butter) and five *guṇas* of the peak of the mountain. As the lotus is never wet in water, so also *nirvāṇa* is never affected by the afflictions (*kilesa*). As water is cold and quenches thirst, so also *nirvāṇa* is cool and calm through the extinction of the *kilesas* and it also quenches our thirst (*tanhā*) for all the worldly desires. As medicine (*agada*) is the cure of a man affected with poison, so, *nirvāṇa* is the cure of all the poison of afflictions (*kilesa-viṣu*). Like medicine *nirvāṇa* removes all sorrows and is itself nectar (*amata*). Like food *nirvāṇa* gives us strength and energy and it sustains us throughout. Again like the sky *nirvāṇa* is not produced, it does not produce, it is incomprehensible, uncreate, unveiled, infinite like the sky. Like a precious jewel *nirvāṇa* fulfils our desires; like red sandal it is rare and scented; like the preparation from butter (*sappi-maṇḍa*) it has colour and qualities (*guṇa-vanṇa-sampannam*), scent of good conduct (*śīla-gandha-sampannam*), and is tasteful (*rasa-sampannam*); like the mountain peak it is lofty, immutable, difficult to be attained, and destroyer of the seeds of afflictions.

ing in a great fire escapes the fire through his own effort and enters a fireless place and enjoys supreme bliss there, so also, a man, who through deep reflection on the nature of things gets rid of the threefold fire of *rāga* (passion), *dveṣa* (hatred) and *moha* (attachment), obtains *nirvāṇa* which is supreme bliss (*paramasukha*).¹ Though in Pāli literature we often find *nirvāṇa* described as something unspeakable, yet in course of poetic description we find it described as the supreme (*param*), tranquil (*santa*), pure (*visuddha*), excellent (*pañita*), calm (*santi*), immutable (*akkhara*), eternal (*dhruva*), true (*sacca*), infinite (*ananta*), unchanging (*accuta*), permanent (*sassata*), immortal (*amata*), unborn (*ajāta*), uncreate (*asamkhata*, *akata*), eternal (*kevala*), all good (*siva*) and the safety of Yoga (*yogakkhema*), etc. It is, as Rhys Davids puts it,² “the harbour of refuge, the cool cave, the island amidst the floods, the place of bliss, emancipation, liberation, safety, tranquil, the home of ease, the calm, the end of suffering, the medicine for all evil, the unshaken, the ambrosia, the immaterial, the imperishable, the abiding, the further shore, the unending, the bliss of effort, the supreme joy, the ineffable, the detachment, the holy city,” etc. In the *Sutta-nipāta* *nirvāṇa* is spoken of as the quiescent.³ In the *Majjhima-nikāya* *nirvāṇa* has been described as a higher bliss than the acquisition of perfect health; the eightfold path alone leads to perfect peace—to ambrosia.⁴ In the *Aṅguttara* it has been said that a man by removing all his impurities attains *nirvāṇa* and thus is relieved from all kinds of

¹ *Milinda-pañho*—Ed. by V. Trenckner, pp. 323-24.

For general discussion on *nirvāṇa* in the *Milinda-pañho* see pp. 315-326.

² *A Dictionary of Pāli Language*. See the word *nibbāṇa*.

³ *santi'ti nibbāṇam natvā*, etc. *Ibid.*, p. 988.

⁴ *āroga-paramālābhā nibbāṇam paramam sukham |
atthāṅgiko ca maggānam khemam amata-gāminam ||
Majjhima, 1.508, cf. Dhammapada. Verse. 204.*

sorrows.¹ In the *Vimāna-vatthu nirvāṇa* is spoken of as an immutable state where there is no suffering any more.² In the *Therī-gāthā* it is said that eternal bliss can be attained through the attainment of *nirvāṇa*.³ *Āṅuttara* describes it as the supreme safety attained through Yoga. *Sañjutta-nikāya* describes it as nectar-like (*amataṃ* = immortal?), quiescent and immutable (*amataṃ santiṃ nibbāṇa-padam accutaṃ*). Similarly the *Dhammapada* speaks of it as the path to peace,⁴ as the supreme bliss.⁵ In the *Kathā-vatthu* it has been said that the self or the soul (*puggala*) is not permanent (*sassata*) like *nirvāṇa*.⁶ By a study of the views of Buddhaghosa as expressed in the *Visuddhi-maggo* against the Sautrāntika view of the negative conception of *nirvāṇa* we may come to the conclusion that according to Buddhaghosa *nirvāṇa* is some positive state of mind reached through the four kinds of *jhānas*. It is of the nature of peace (*santi lakkhaṇaṃ*) and is a never-failing intuitive flow (*accuti-rasaṃ*). The well-known lines of the *Itivuttaka*, viz., *atthi bhikkhave ajātam abhūtam akatam asankhatam* (there is that, 0 Bhikṣus, which is unborn, unoriginated, uncreate and unproduced) also suggest a positive conception of *nirvāṇa*.

Without multiplying instances we may conclude that in early Buddhism we do not find any consistent and clear-cut conception of *nirvāṇa*,—it is sometimes described negatively (particularly by the Sautrāntikas), but sometimes positively, and on the whole it

¹ *odhunitvā malaṃ sabbaṃ patvā nibbāṇa-sampadaṃ |
muccati sabba-dukkhehi sā hoti sabba-sampadā ||*
Āṅuttara, IV, 239 (Quoted by Rhys Davids).

² *pattā te acala-tthānaṃ yathā gatvā na socare |
Vimāna-vatthu*, 51.

³ *nibbāṇa-tthāne vimuttā te pattā te acalaṃ sukhaṃ |
Therī-gāthā*, 350.

⁴ *santi-maggam eva brūhaya nibbāṇaṃ sugatena desitaṃ |
Dhammapada*, 285.

⁵ *etaṃ ātvaṃ yathā-bhūtaṃ nibbāṇaṃ paramaṃ sukhaṃ |
Dhammapada*, 203, 204.

⁶ *Kathā-vatthu*, 170 (p. 84); (Quoted by Dr. N. Dutta).

seems that a conscious or unconscious positive tendency predominates over the negative one.

Coming to the Mahāyāna we find that the Mādhyamikas spoke of *nirvāṇa* not as something which is to be attained through the destruction or arrest of anything whatsoever, it is but the complete cessation of all mental constructions. It is the destruction of nothing, the attainment of nothing,—it is neither annihilation nor external existence, it is neither the suppressed nor the produced—this is what is meant by *nirvāṇa*. It is extremely difficult to conceive what this *nirvāṇa* may be; but with this transcendentalism of Nāgārjuna we may compare the transcendentalism in the realisation of the Brahman as described in the Upaniṣads; but the great difference between the Upaniṣadic conception of the realisation of the highest truth with its conception as found in Nāgārjuna is that, whereas, in spite of all the negative descriptions, the Upaniṣads are definite on the point that in the realisation of the Brahman, or, the complete merging of the self in the absolute, there is infinite positive bliss,—Nāgārjuna will not allow *nirvāṇa* to be determined by any categorical description whatsoever.

Candrakīrti in his commentary on the *Mādhyamika-vṛtti*, however, quotes the view of a school of thinkers according to whom there are two distinct types of *nirvāṇa*,—viz., *nirvāṇa* with some residual substratum (*sopādhi-śeṣa*) and *nirvāṇa* without any residual substratum at all (*nirupādhi-śeṣa*).¹ In the *Visuddhi-magga* also Buddhaghosa mentioned these two types of *nirvāṇa*. In Pāli literature we often find mention of *savupādhi-sesa-nibbāna* and *anupādhi-sesa-nibbāna* corresponding to the above division. In the *Advaya-vajra-saṅgraha* also we find these divisions maintained. Prof. La Vallée Poussin, however, is inclined to connect these two divisions of *nirvāṇa* with the Sautrāntika division of *prati-saṅkhyā-nirodha* and

¹ *Mādhyamika-vṛtti*, Ch. XXV, Lévi's Edition.

apratīsamkhyā-nirodha. We may, however, refer here to the conceptions of *Samprajñāta* and the *Asamprajñāta Samādhi* of the Pātañjala-yoga system.

We have seen that in the other school of Mahāyāna Buddhism, viz., the school of Vijñāna-vāda, the ultimate reality began to be more and more positively described, and consequently the conception of *nirvāṇa* also became positive. In the Tathatā-doctrine of Aśvaghōṣa it has been said that when through the eradication of the root-instincts or the complexes the disturbance in the subjective realm is destroyed, we become free from all processes of false idealisation and can realise the truth as the 'thatness' or the 'oneness' underlying all phenomena, and the complete eradication of individuation and the realisation of the 'thatness' as the oneness or the totality of the universe is what is meant by *nirvāṇa*. In such a state, there is no activity of the consciousness, and through the cessation of all conscious processes there remain only eternal calmness and quiescence. In the *Saundarānanda Kāvya* of Aśvaghōṣa it has been said of *nirvāṇa*,—"As the light, when blown out, goes neither towards the earth nor towards the space above—neither towards any quarter, nor towards what is not a quarter, but attains perfect calmness due to the complete exhaustion of oil ; so also, when one attains extinction, one goes neither towards the earth nor towards the space above, neither towards a quarter nor towards what is not a quarter, but due to the annihilation of the afflictions attains eternal quiescence." ¹

In the Vijñāna-vāda doctrine of Asaṅga and Vasubandhu *nirvāṇa* means the realisation of the void-nature of both the self and of the external objects. But *śūnyatā* with them is no nihil, it is not the absolute denial of any reality ; it is but the negation of subjectivity and objectivity, pure consciousness (*vijñapti-*

¹ *Saundarānandam Kāvya*m, edited by MM. H. P. Śāstri and published by the R.A.S.B., Ch. XVI, Verses 28-29.

mātratā) is the one ultimate reality. In *nirvāṇa* these *grāhya* and *grāhaka* are annihilated, but the pure consciousness remains. This pure consciousness is the *dharmakāya*,—it is the undifferentiated absolute oneness. But can it be said that this *dharmakāya* is a positive state of absolute bliss? The *Vijñāna-vādins* do not make any definite reply to this question; but in the *Vijñapti-mātratā-siddhi* it has been said about the nature of the pure consciousness,—“It is the immutable element which is beyond the reach of all mentation; it is all good, permanent, perfect bliss,—it is liberation—the substance itself”.¹ According to the *Vijñāna-vādins* there is no heterogeneity between *samsāra* and *nirvāṇa*; but the perfect knowledge of the *samsāra* as the *śūnyatā* or as the pure consciousness (according to the *Vijñāna-vādins*) is itself *nirvāṇa*.

(B) NIRVĀṆA AS MAHĀSUKHA IN THE BUDDHIST
TANTRAS

From the above we may conclude that from the early period down to the period of *Vijñāna-vāda* the conception of *nirvāṇa* admits of positive interpretations, at least in a popular way, if not in the strictly philosophical sense, and it is described in some places not only as positive but as intense bliss. This conception of *nirvāṇa* as intense bliss was elaborated to a great length by the *Vajra-yānists*, particularly by the *Sahaja-yānists* where *nirvāṇa* is identified with intense bliss or *Mahāsukha*.² With them *nirvāṇa* is the ultimate reality,—it is the *Dharmakāya*,—and that is the Lord Buddha—that is the *Vajra-dhara* or the *Vajra-sattva*; ³—it is the *Mahāsukha*,—it is the *Bodhicitta*,⁴

¹ *Trīṃśikā*, Verse 80.

² *Vide*, *Sarva-devatā-gama-tantra*, quoted in the *Subhāṣita-saṃgraha*.

³ *Vide*, *Advaya-samatā-vijaya* quoted in the *Jñāna-siddhi* (G.O.S.), p. 88.

⁴ *Jñāna-siddhi*, Ch. XV. See also Comm. on *Marma-kalikā-tantra*,—*jina-śrī-herukaḥ/tasya hṛdayam akṣara-bodhicittam/tasya hṛdaya-mahāsukham* etc. MS. B.N. Sans. No. 88, p. 29(B).

—it is the Sahaja,—it is pure consciousness, and the nature of pure consciousness is bliss.¹

In the Buddhist Tantras the element of *nirvāṇa* (*nirvāṇa-dhātu*) is described as incessant bliss (*satata-sukhamaya*),² it is the abode of both enjoyment as well as liberation;³ it is called *Mahāsukha*, where there is no change or decrease.⁴ It is the seed of all substance, it is the ultimate stage of those who have attained perfection; it is the highest place of the Buddhas and is called the *Sukhāvātī* (the abode of bliss).⁵

But this bliss (*sukha*) has often been repudiated as a mere thought-construction (*vikalpa*), whereas *nirvāṇa* is the annihilation of all sorts of thought-construction; it is therefore said by Nāgārjuna-pāda in his *Apratiṣṭhāna-prakāśa* that as long as there flows in

¹ *Caturābharāṇa*—MS. (R.A.S.B., No. 4801) p. 7(B)..

² *Pañca-krama*, Ch. IV.

³ *bhukti-mukti-padam divyaṃ nirvāṇa-khyaṃ param padam |
Guhya-siddhi*, MS. p. 13(A).

⁴ *kṣaya-vyaya-vinirmuktaṃ śrī-mahāsukha-samjñitam |
Ibid.*, MS. p. 13(A).

⁵ *tad-bijaṃ sarva-vastūnām siddhā(ī, sic.)nām ca param padam |
buddhānā(ni) tat param sthānaṃ sukhāvatyabhīdhānakam ||
Ibid.*, MS. p. 13(B).

In this *Guhya-siddhi* the transcendental arrest (*divya-samādhi*) is also characterised as of the nature of great bliss. (Cf. *divya-samādhimāsthāya mahāsukha-sukhāmakam*—MS. p. 5A, 6A). In another quotation in the *Subhāṣita-saṃgraha* it has been said that those wise men who have been able to realise the nature of the whole universe as Sahaja—as free from all imagination—as the supportless (*nirālambam*), have attained the state of the Sugata which is of the nature of pure and intense bliss. In the *Ḍākārṇava* the Vajrayāna yoga has been described as the union of Prajñā and Upāya and as of the nature of immutable bliss. (P. 158, Sāstri's Edition). In this ocean of existence, ruffled by huge waves and with poisonous water and full of ferocious animals of passions etc., bliss is the only shore. (*Sādhana-mālā*, Vol. II, p. 449). In the *Kriyā-saṃgraha* the path of esoteric Buddhism has been characterised as the 'vehicle of great bliss' (*mahāsukha-yāna*). (MS. p. 74A). In the *Ādi-buddha-tantra* it has been said that there is no greater vice than detachment (*virāga*) and no greater merit than bliss. So the *citta* should always be kept absorbed in the changeless bliss.—*virāgāt (na) param pāpam na puṇyam sukhataḥ param/ato'ksara-sukhe cittam niveśyantu sadā nrpa//* Quoted in the Comm. of the *Dohakoṣa* of Kānhupāda, *Dohā* No. 10,

the mind any sort of thought-construction (*vikalpa*)—every thing is to be left off ; for, even that which is of the nature of bliss and makes the heart happy is itself a mere mentation ; even the feeling of detachment,—nay, both of attachment and detachment, should be cast off ; for, all these are main causes of existence ;—there is *nirvāna* nowhere except in the transcendental existence of the self.¹

In a *Dohā* *Saraha-pāda* warns not to confuse the truth that is only to be realised within ; both positive and negative thought-constructions bind the man. In the final stage the individual consciousness or egohood should merge in the all-pervading universal consciousness like water merging into water.² In the commentary it has been said that to identify the final state with *Sukha* is a mere confusion. No positive conception of this final stage nor any negative conception or any construction of this type can conduce to perfect enlightenment. There is no difference between a golden chain and an iron chain, for both will bind a man and therefore both are to be avoided.³ It has further been said that the wise never enter into any thought-construction about the ultimate state ; for there is no fundamental difference between a thought-construction that is bad and the one which is good ; for both will bind a man in the world of suffering ; no

¹ *yāvat kaścid vikalpaḥ prabhavati manasi tyajya-rūpaḥ sa sarvaḥ
yo'sāvā'nanda-rūpaḥ hṛdaya-sukha-karaḥ so'pi saṅkalpa-
mātraḥ |
yad vā vairāgya-hetoḥ tad api yad ubhayan tad bhavasyā'
grahetuḥ
nirvāṇam nā'nyad asti kvacid api viṣaye nirvikalpā-tma-
bhāvāt ||*

Quoted in the Comm. on the *Caryā* Song No. 18. Also
Cf. Comm. on the *Dohā* No. 58 of *Saraha-pāda*.

² *saasamvitti ma kṛāhu re dhandhā |
bhāvā-bhāva sugati re bandhā ||
nīa maṇa muṇahu re niune joi |
jīma jala jalahi milante soi ||*

Saraha-pāda, Dohā No. 52.

³ See Comm. on *Dohā* No. 52 of *Saraha-pāda*,

difference is produced in the burning capacity of fire through the change of fuel ; if fire be made even with the logs of a sandal tree it will burn whenever touched.¹ But though here it has been strongly warned not to confuse *nirvāṇa* with *sukha*, yet in a general way *nirvāṇa* in esoteric Buddhism has been described all along as *Mahāsukha* and it is identified with *Mahāsukha*. The advocates of *Mahāsukha* will justify their own position by describing *Mahāsukha* as transcending all kinds of thought-constructions, it is an absolutely pure emotion of bliss in which all the activities of the mind are absolutely lost.²

(C) THE COSMOLOGICAL AND ONTOLOGICAL
SIGNIFICANCE OF MAHĀSUKHA

As Mahāyāna Buddhism often speaks of *nirvāṇa* as the ultimate reality—as the *Dharma-kāya*—so also the nature of this *Mahāsukha* has variously been described in the Buddhist Tantras as the ultimate reality transcending, or rather absorbing within it, both existence (*bhāva*) and extinction (*nirvāṇa*). *Mahāsukha* is something which has neither the beginning nor any middle, nor any end: it is neither existence nor annihilation ; this transcendental supreme bliss is neither the not-self nor the self.³ In the *Mahāsukha-prakāśa* (collected in the *Advaya-*

¹ *paramā-rtha-vikalpe'pi nā'valiyeta paṇḍitah |
ko hi bhedo vikalpasya śubhe vā'py aśubhe'pi vā ||
nā'dhāra-bhedāt bhedo'sti vahni-dāhakatām prati |
sprśyamāno dahaty eva caudanair jvālito'py asau ||*
Quoted in the Comm. on *Dohā* No. 82.

² Cf. "All thought-construction is destroyed in *sat-sukha*".—*Subhāsita-saṃgraha*.

Again,—“That supreme transcendental bliss is void and perfectly pure, where there is neither any vice nor any merit”. *suṅṅa nirañ-jana parama-mahāsuha tahi puṅṅa na pāva ||*—*Dohā* quoted in the *Hevajra-tantra*.

³ *āi ṅa anta ṅa majhu ṅa ṅau bhava ṅau nibhāna |
ehuso parama mahāsuha ṅau para ṅau appāna ||*
Quoted in the *Hevajra-tantra*, MS. p. 61(A).

vajra-saṃgraha) *Mahāsukha* is described as the Lord Vajra-sattva of the nature of the unity of Prajñā and Upāya; it is the non-dual quintessence of all the entities.¹ It is further said that perfect wisdom is never possible without bliss; perfect wisdom itself is of the nature of bliss.² All objects are *Mahāsukha* by nature; but due to our ignorance they appear as poison to us.³ It is said by Bhadra-pāda that every thing proceeds from supreme bliss; the highly luminous Prajñā, which enables a man to attain perfect Buddhahood, also proceeds from this supreme bliss.⁴ The body of knowledge, which is undisturbed and unchanging supreme bliss, itself takes the form of the whole universe.⁵ In the *Samputīkā* it has been said that perfectly pure knowledge, which in essence is the goddess herself (*Prajñā*=goddess), is called the Vajra-sattva—it is also called the supreme bliss; it is self-originated—of the nature of *Dharma-kāya* and to it belongs Prajñā, absorbed in the nature of Sahaja.⁶ The *Hevajra-tantra* describes elaborately the nature of *sukha* as the ultimate reality. It is the *Dharma-kāya*, it is the Lord Buddha himself. *Sukha* is black, it is yellow, it is red, it is white, it is green, it is blue, it is the whole universe: it is Prajñā, it is Upāya, it results from the ultimate union; it is existence, it is non-

¹ *vajra-sattvaṃ namaskṛtya prajño-pāya-[sva]rūpiṇam |*
mahāsukhā-dvayaṃ vakṣye vastu-tattvaṃ samāsataḥ ||
Advaya-vajra-saṃgraha, p. 50 (G.O.S.).

² *sukhā-bhāve na bodhiḥ syātmatā yā sukha-rūpiṇi |*
Ibid., p. 50.

³ *ete'pi viṣayā(h) svarūpataḥ mahāsukha-rūpiṇaḥ |*
kintu avidyā-vaśāt viṣavat niṣpadyante |
Comm. on the Marma-kalikā-tantra.

⁴ *Subhāṣita-saṃgraha.*

⁵ *sarvā-kāraṇaṃ prayāty akṣara-sukhā-nāhataṃ jñānu-kāyam |*
Śrī-kāla-cakra, MS. (Cambridge, Add. No. 1864).

⁶ *suviśuddha-mahā-jñānaṃ sarva-devī-svarūpakam |*
vajra-sattva iti khyātāṃ param sukham udārhr̥tam ||
svayambhu-rūpam etat tu dharmā-kāya-svarūpakam |
tasyaiva sahaajā prajñā sthitā tad-gata-rūpiṇi ||
Samputīkā, MS. p. 48(B).

existence—it is the Vajra-sattva.¹ But the question is, if everything be by nature nothing but *Mahāsukha*, what is the necessity of any origination (*utpāda*) at all? The reply is that *sukha* is not possible at all without the body, for without body none would even be able to speak of *saukhya*. The implication seems to be that *sukha* as the highest reality can only be realised through the medium of the body and hence is the necessity of the world of objects though every thing is by nature nothing but *sukha*. *Sukha* itself pervades the whole world as the pervader (*vyāpaka*) as well as the pervaded (*vyāpya*); but as the smell of a flower cannot be perceived without the flower, so also *sukha* as the quintessence of all that is originated can never be realised without the world of originated objects.² In the *Guhya-siddhi* it is said that through the union of the void-element (*kha-dhātu*) and the thunder (*vajra*)³ the great element is produced in the form of bliss which yields *paramā-nanda*; after the cessation of *paramā-nanda* is produced *viramā-nanda*⁴ and the supreme bliss that follows *viramā-nanda* is of indescribable nature; it is bereft of all the senses (i.e., inaccessible to all the senses), undisturbed non-dual and good; it is all-pervading non-essential, it is perfect wisdom—it is the ultimate state; it is divine, all-good, it is the ultimate stage of liberation to the *Sādhakas*,—this is what is the pure transcendental non-substantial

¹ *sukham kṛṣṇam sukham pītam sukham raktam sukham sitam |
sukham śyāmam sukham nilam sukham kṛṣṇam carā-caram ||
sukham prajñā sukho-pāyah sukham kundurujam tathā |
sukham bhāvaḥ sukhā-bhāvo vajra-sattvaḥ sukhaḥ smṛtaḥ ||
Hevajra-tantra, MS. p. 35(B).*

² *dehā-bhāve kutaḥ saukhyam saukhyam vaktum na śakyate |
vyāpya-vyāpaka-rūpeṇa sukheṇa vyāpitam jagat ||
yathā puṣpā-śritam gandham puṣpā-bhāve na gamyate |
tathā utpannā-ḍy-abhāvena saukhyam naivo'palabhyate ||
Ibid., MS. p. 86(A).*

³ *kha-dhātu* is *sūnyatā*, it is the Prajñā—the female and *vajra* is the *Upāya*, the male. *Vide Supra*.

⁴ *Paramā-nanda*, *Viramā-nanda*, etc. will be explained later on.

Bodhicitta.¹ The bliss that is produced through some cause itself destroys the cause of *Samsāra*; supreme bliss is of the nature of self-produced knowledge without involving any mental construction.² The nature of *Mahāsukha* cannot be realised through the theories of the void etc. and all other false constructions; through the purification of Prajñā and Upāya it is revealed that *Mahāsukha* is the one non-dual knowledge.³ All the entities, static or dynamic, remain ultimately in a state of non-duality,—they are by nature pure from the beginning and clear like the calm sky above; the epithet non-dual is also a mere name of this ultimate nature, this name also does not remain there, and this non-duality, in which there is neither the knowable nor the knower, is what is called the *Mahāsukha*.⁴ When Prajñā and Upāya are combined together in oneness,—the non-dual knowledge is produced which is *Mahāsukha*. That *Mahāsukha* of the

¹ *kha-dhātu-vajra-samyogāt saṃsparśac ca mahā-bhūtam |
sukham utpadyate yat tat paramā-nanda-kārikam ||
taṅ-kṣayāc ca viramas tu viramāt tu (mastu, Sic) yat param |
anirdeśya-svarūpaṃ tu kim apy utpadyate tu yat ||
sarve-ndriya-vinirmuktaṃ nirdvandvaṃ paramaṃ śivam |
vyāpakam niḥsvabhāvaṃ tad bodhiḥ paramaṃ padam ||
divyaṃ samanta-bhadrā-khyaṃ viśamā-nte vyavasthitam |
sādhakānāṃ param hy etat mukti-sthānam prakīrtitam ||*

* * * * *
*niḥsvabhāvaṃ param suddhaṃ bodhicittam anuttaram ||
Guhya-siddhi, MS. p. 10(B).*

² *yaḍ idaṃ sannimitta-sukham tad-eva jagatān nimitta-pari-
hīnam |
jñāna-svayambhū-rūpaṃ mahāsukhaṃ kalpanā-sūnyam ||
Vyakta-bhāvānugatu-tattva-siddhi, MS. (C.L.B. No.
1812) p. 86(A).*

³ *sūnyo-palambha-vādena mithyā-vādena vāhitāḥ |
kalpanā-jāla-vandhena naiva budhyanti śrī-sukham ||
duḥkalpa-kalpanā bhāvyā prajño-pāya-viśuddhitāḥ |
mahā-yogā-dvaya-jñānam ekam eva mahāsukham ||
Acintyā-dvaya-kramo-padeśa, MS. (C.L.B. 18124)
p. 109(A).*

⁴ *sarva-sthira-calā bhāvā advayena vyavasthitāḥ |
svabhāvena viśuddhāḥ te śāntā-kāśa-sunirmalāḥ ||
advayaṃ nāma-mātram tu tac ca nāmaṃ na vidyate |
vedya-vedaka-nirakṣam advayaṃ tu mahāsukham ||
Ibid., MS. p. 109(A).*

nature of non-dual knowledge transcends all colour, sound and taste, it is free from smell and touch, it is of the nature of pure and supreme knowledge made up of the ultimate element behind the *dharmas* (*dharma-dhātu*); it is bereft of all mentation, free from the knower and the known; it is the naturally pure abode as ultimate non-duality.¹ Through perfect knowledge the transcendental bliss of the Buddhas is infinite, it is all-pervading—spontaneous flow of intense bliss—it is the non-dual truth.²

We have already seen that in Vajra-yāna the ultimate reality is identified with the conception of a Lord Supreme (*Bhagavān*) as the Vajra-dhara or the Vajra-sattva or simply the Lord. Here in the conception of *Mahāsukha* also we see that when *Mahāsukha* was identified with the ultimate reality it was conceived variously as the Lord Supreme. We sometimes find goddess Vārāhī (the goddess supreme, the *Sūnyatā* or the *Nairātmā*) deeply embracing the Lord *Mahā-saukhya*,³ sometimes we hear of the Lord *Śrī-mahā-sukha* as of the form of infinite bliss,⁴ sometimes again the lord is saluted as the *Śrīman-mahāsukha*.⁵ The

¹ *prajñō-pāya-mahā-karuṇā-samarasā-kāram ekataḥ |*
tasmīn niṣpadūyate jñānam advayam tu mahāsukham ||
rūpa-śabda-rasā-tītam gandha-sparśā-di-varjitam |
dharma-dhātumayam śuddham jñānam uttamam ||
sarva-saṅka(lpa)-nirmuktam grāhya-grāhaka-varjitam |
svabhāva-śuddham nilayam advayam pāramārthikam ||
Ibid., MS. p. 109(B).

² *anantaṁ vyāpakam sarva-jñāna-prabhāvataḥ |*
sva-cchanda-paramā-nandam advayam buddha-sat-sukham ||
Ibid., MS. p. 112(A).

³ *Cf. vārāhy-ālingita-mahā-saukhyam.*
Sādhana-mālā. Vol. II, p. 491.

⁴ *ananta-sukha-rūpatvāt śrī-mahāsukha-samjñitam |*
Prajñō-pāya-viniścaya-siddhi, p. 6.

⁵ *sarva-buddhā-tmakam nātham natvā śrī-man-mahāsukham |*
Pañca-krama. MS. p. 15(A).

Also:—

jayati sukha-rāja ekaḥ kāraṇa-rahitaḥ sado-dito jagatām |
yasya ea nigadana-samaye vacana-daridra babhūva sarvajñāḥ ||
Vyakta-bhāvā-nugata-tattva-siddhi. MS. (C.L.B.) No.
18124, p. 85(A).

secret of the ultimate truth is said to be explained by the Lord *Mahāsukha*¹ as all the doctrines of Buddhism are said to have been explained by Lord Buddha himself. Sometimes it is said that Lord *Śrī-mahāsukha* has created this world of differences from his non-dual nature.² He, as the Lord *Caṇḍaroṣaṇa* of the nature of incessant bliss, remains in the lotus,³ which is often called the abode of *Sukhāvati*.⁴

(D) MAHĀSUKHA IN RELATION TO THE ESOTERIC PRACTICE

The advocates of *Vajra-yāna* and *Sahaja-yāna* hold that the pleasure that is realised through the discharge of matter is much lower, in respect of degree as well as in quality, than the bliss that can be realised through the control of this matter, i.e., by checking its downward flow through subtle yogic processes and by giving it an upward flow so as to make it reach the lotus situated in the cerebrum region (*uṣṇīṣa-kamala*, corresponding to the *sahasrāra-padma* of the Hindu Tantras) and to make it steady there: the bliss resulting from the steadiness of the matter is the *Mahāsukha*. But in a popular way we often find semen-

*mahāsukhaṃ praṇamya'dau vāk-pathā-tīta-gocaram |
vaksyate padma-vajrena guhya-siddhir anuttaram ||*

Guhya-siddhi. MS. (C.L.B.) No. 18124, p. 1(B).

¹ *yan mahāsukha-nāthena guhya-tattvam udāhṛtam |*

Ibid., MS. p. 14.

Cf. also,—śrī-mahāsukha-nāthena yathā śrī-dharma-vajrine |

darsitam śuddha-tattvā-khyaṃ tathā nātha prasīda me ||

Ibid., MS. p. 40.

Also,—*śrī-mahāsukha-nāthasya pāda-padmo-paṭivā |*

racitaḥ padma-vajreṇa sarva-sattvā-nukampayā ||

Also,—*vijāhāra tataḥ śrī-mān śrī-mahāsukha-vajriṇaḥ ||*

Guhya-siddhi. MS. p. 17.

² *eka-lolī svabhāvena jagad-ākāra-nirmitam |*

śrī-mahāsukha-nāthena advayā-kāra-bhedataḥ ||

Acintyā-dvaya-kramo-padeśa. MS. (C.L.B.) p. 109(A).

³ *kuru padma yathā kāryaṃ dhairya-dhairyaṃ (?) prayogataḥ |*

svayam caṇḍa-mahāroṣaḥ sthito hy atra sadāsukhaḥ ||

Ekalla-vīra-caṇḍa-mahā-roṣaṇa-tantra. MS. (R.A.S.B.

9089) pp. 5(A)-5(B).

⁴ *Cf. aho sukhāvati kṣetra etc.*

Ibid., MS. p. 9(A).

virile described as *Mahāsukha*, and it is the Lord Buddha himself. Lord Buddha (*Amitābha Buddha*) dwells in the elysium of *Sukhāvati* (the abode of bliss). Here the female organ is described as the *Sukhāvati* where the lord *Mahāsukha* dwells in his own nature as supreme bliss. In the *Hevajra-tantra* we find that the Lord (*Bhagavān*) is explaining his own nature to the Bodhisattva Vajragarbha where he says,—“I am existence—I am not existence,—I am Buddha (perfectly enlightened one) as I have perfect knowledge about things: fools can never know anything of me. I dwell in the *Sukhāvati* of the vagina of the good thunder-woman (*sad-vajra-yoṣit*) which is of the form of the letter ‘e’¹ and which is the abode of the jewels of the Buddhas. I am the preacher, I am the religion—I myself am the audience; I am the worshipped,—the sovereign of the world,—I am the world and every thing that belongs to the world. I am of the nature of the Sahaja-bliss,—I am *Paramā-nanda* as well as *Viramā-nanda* etc.; I am the faith, like a light in darkness. I possess the thirty-two marks (of greatness)—I am the lord with the eighty consonants; I dwell in the *Sukhāvati* of the vagina of the female in the name of semen.”² It is further said,—“Without him (*i.e.* semen) there is no bliss, and again without bliss he cannot be. As he can never be related, there is no other source of

¹ Cf. *ekārākṛti yad divyaṃ etc. Supra.*

² *bhāvo'ham naiva bhāvo'ham buddho'ham vastu-bodhanāt |
mām na jñanti ye mūḍhāḥ kauṣidyo-pahataś ca ye || (?)
vihare'ham sukhāvatyām sad-vajra-yoṣito bhage |
ekārā-dī-rūpe tu buddha-ratna-karaṇḍake ||
vyākhyātā' ham aham dharmah śrotā'ham sva-ganair yutaḥ |
sādhyo' ham jagataḥ śāstā loko' ham laukiko'ham ||
sahajā-nanda-svabhāvo'ham parama-viramā-dīkam |
tathā ca pratyayaṃ putra andhakāre pradīpavat ||
dvātrīṃśat-lakṣaṇo śāstā'ṣṭy-anuvyañjano prabhuḥ |
yoṣit-bhage sukhāvatyām śukra-nāmnā vyavasthitāḥ ||*

Hevajra-tantra, MS. pp. 86(A)-86(B).

These lines occur also in the *Samputīkā*, MS. (R.A.S.B. No. 4854) p. 47(A).

realising him excepting the divine esoteric practice.”¹ It is therefore said that Buddha (in the form of semen) is neither existence nor non-existence; in spite of having a face and form—he is formless in the nature of supreme bliss.²

(E) THE TRANSFORMED IDEA OF BODHICITTA IN RELATION TO THE ESOTERIC YOGIC PRACTICE.

The identification of *Mahāsukha* with *nirvāṇa* or the ultimate reality modified the Māhayāna conception of Bodhicitta in Vajra-yāna, particularly in the Sahaja-yāna. The Māhayāna conception of the production of Bodhicitta (*bodhi-citto-tpāda*) is transformed in Sahaja-yāna into the production of a state of intense bliss through the sexo-yogic practice; and as after the production, the Bodhicitta rises upwards through ten stages (technically known as the *Bodhisattva-bhūmis*) so also Sahaja-yāna practice involves the yogic process of breath-control and other psycho-physical practices through which the disturbed semen should be checked in the *Maṇipura* (situated near the navel) known generally as the *Nirmāṇa-cakra*, and then it must march upwards through the *Dharma-cakra* situated in the heart and the *Sambhoga-cakra* situated in the throat and then reach the *Uṣṇīṣa-kamala* (i.e., the lotus

¹ *vīnā tena na saukhyaṃ syāt sukhaṃ hitvā bhaven na saḥ |*
sāpekṣam asamarthatvāt devatā-yogatoḥ sukham ||

Ibid., p. 86(B). *Samputikā*. MS. p. 47(A).

Cf. also the Comm:—*śukrā-bhāve kāraṇā-ntarāṇām tathāvidha-sukho-tpādane sāmartyā-bhāvāt | Hevajra-pañjikā.*

² *asmāt buddho na bhāvaḥ syāt, abhāva-rūpo’pi naiva saḥ |*
bhuja-mukhā-kāra-rūpi ca arūpā parama-saukhyataḥ ||

Ibid., MS. p. 86(B).

Cf. also the Comm:—*na bhāvo nā’bhāvo śukra-rūpatvāt | tatra phalamayi-buddho (?) na bhāvo nā’bhāvaḥ | Hevajra-pañjikā.*

In the *Srī-kāla-cakra* we find that the downward flow of semen should be checked in the *Maṇipura* (the lotus in the navel) and then, by making it steady, bliss is to be realised; this steady bliss, says the *Kāla-cakra*, at once gives Sahaja, which is the ultimate element of all the things (*dharma*).

tasmāt niṣpanda-saukhyam kṣaṇam iha sahajam dharmadhātur dadāti |

Srī-kāla-cakra-tantra. MS. p. 105(A).

in the head) where it will produce the *Mahāsukha* of the nature of *Nirvāṇa*. The word Bodhicitta sometimes in *Vajra-yāna* and almost always in *Sahaja-yāna* is synonymous with the word semen.

This Bodhicitta of the nature of the *Mahāsukha*, produced through yogic practice, is the ultimate substance of the nature of the five elements (*viz.*, earth, water, fire, air and ether). In a *Dohā* of Kāṇhu-pāda this Bodhicitta has been spoken of as the seed of the five elements.¹ In the *Hevajra-tantra* as well as in the *Samputikā* (the same lines occurring in both the texts) we find an explanation of how in the process of being produced through yogic practice the Bodhicitta acquires the nature of the five elements, and we have already seen that the presiding deities over these five elements (confused with the five *skandhas*) are, *Vairocana*, *Akṣobhya*, *Amitābha*, *Amoghasiddhi* and *Ratnasambhava*, and these five *Tathāgatas* or the *Dhyānī Buddhas* again represent the five *Kulas* or the families of the esoteric Buddhists. Thus *Mahāsukha* in the form of the Bodhicitta is the one ultimate reality comprising within it the five families of *vajra-yāna*.²

¹ *pañca mahābhūā via lai sūmaggie jaiā |*
pūhabi avā tea gaṁdha-vaha gaṇa sañjaiā ||

Dohā No. 7.

² *kundureṣu bhavet pañca pañca-bhūta-svarūpataḥ |*
eka eva mahā-nandaṁ pañcatāṁ yāti bhedanaiḥ ||
bola-kakkola-yogena sparśāt kāṭhinya-vāsanā |
kāṭhinyasya moha-dharmatvāt moho vairocana mataḥ ||
bodhicittaṁ dravaṁ yasmāt dravaṁ ap-dhātukaṁ matam |
āpam akṣobhya-rūpatvāt dveṣaḥ akṣobhya-nāyakaḥ ||
dvayor gharṣaṇa-saṁnyogāt tejaḥ (saṁ)jāyate sadā |
rāgaḥ amita-vajrasyāt rāgas tejasi sambhavet ||
kakkolakeṣu yac cittaṁ tat samīraṇa-rūpakam |
īrṣā'moghasiddhiḥ syāt amoghāt vāyu-sambhavaḥ ||
sukhaṁ rāgaṁ bhavet raktaṁ raktir ākāśa-lakṣaṇam |
[sukhaṁ raktaṁ bhavet cittaṁ ratnaṁ tu rakti-lakṣaṇam

Samputikā]

ākāśaṁ piśunam vajraṁ piśunam ākāśa-sambhaḥ ||
ekam eva mahac cittaṁ pañca-rūpeṇa lakṣitam |
pañcaṣu kuleṣu' tpannā statrā' nekā sahasrasaḥ ||
tasmād eka-svabhāvo'sau mahāsukhaḥ parama-sāsvataḥ |
pañcatāṁ yāti bhedenā rāgādi pañca cetasā ||

Hevajra-tantra, MS. pp. 87(B)-88(A).

Samputikā, MS. pp. 47(B)-48(A).

CHAPTER V

THE ELEMENT OF ESOTERIC YOGA

In the previous chapter we have made an attempt at studying, on textual basis, the theological ideas that are associated with the yogic practice of the Tāntric Buddhists. If we sum up the above we shall see that the fundamental standpoint of the Tāntric Buddhists is more or less the same as that of the Hindu Tāntrikas. We hear from the time of the Āranyakas and the Upaniṣads that bliss is the quintessence of *Brahman*, the ultimate Being. From bliss proceeds the universe, it is sustained in bliss and it again dissolves in bliss.

According to all schools of Tantra, bliss is the nature of the Absolute, which is conceived both positively and negatively. The Absolute is realised by us when we realise our self as perfect bliss. The ultimate aim is, therefore, to attain a state of perfect bliss. In all our ordinary experiences of pleasure we have but a momentary glimpse of the same bliss which constitutes the ultimate nature of our self. But these experiences of pleasure, because of their extremely limited and defiled nature, bind us to a lower plane of life, instead of contributing to our advancement towards self-realisation. Herein comes the question of Sādhanā which may transform even gross sense-pleasure into the boundless serenity of perfect bliss.

In our ordinary life we have the experience of the most intense pleasure in our sex-experiences. Wide is the difference between this sex-pleasure and perfect bliss which is the ultimate nature of the self and the not-self; yet the distinction can be wholly removed by a total change of perspective and process. The sexoyogic Sādhanā of the Tāntrikas is a Sādhanā for transforming this sex-pleasure into a realisation of infinite bliss in which the self and the world around are lost in

an all-pervading oneness. This immersion of the self and the not-self in the all-pervading oneness of bliss is what is conceived as Nirvāṇa by the Tāntric Buddhists. Let us now see what practical processes of Yoga were enjoined by the Tāntric Buddhists for the realisation of this end.

(i) *Body—The Medium for Realising the Truth*

(A) THE THEORY OF THE PLEXUS

On the practical side, which obviously is the fundamental side of the Tantras, the most important thing is the stress laid on the body as the medium in and through which truth can be realised. The Buddhist Tāntrikas, in unison with the other schools of Tantra, hold that the body is the abode of all truth; it is the epitome of the universe or, in other words, it is the microcosm, and as such embodies the truth of the whole universe. Attempt has actually been made in many Tantras to identify the universe completely with the body even by locating the seas, rivers, mountains, etc. in the different parts of the body. Whether we are ready to accept them in toto or not, the fact remains that the importance of the Tantras, as a science of religious methodology, consists in its analysis of the body and the discovery of all *tattva* in the nervous system and in the plexus and thus making the body, with the whole physiological and biological process, a perfect medium (*yantra*) for realising the ultimate truth.

Let us now see how the Tāntric Buddhists discovered the different *tattvas* within this corporal structure. This question itself will naturally lead us to the physiological analysis of the nerves and the 'lotuses' and this will also help us a good deal in understanding the yogic process of the Tāntric Buddhists.

This analysis of the physical system starts with the spinal cord, widely known as the *Merudaṇḍa*, which is taken to be one bone from the bottom of the back up

to the medulla oblongata. The name *Merudaṇḍa* is significant, and the analogy involved in the name is clearly brought out in the *Śrī-samputīkā*, where it has been said that in the form of the skeleton-bone the great mountain Sumeru remains in the body.¹ This spinal column, compared to the mountain, is said to be very profound. It is the cave of the highest truth where all the world vanishes away.² The next thing is the theory of the *cakras* (plexus) or the lotuses as they are also called. According to the Buddhist Tāntrikas there are four such plexuses. The first is the lumbar plexus situated in the navel region. The next is the cardiac plexus in the heart. Next is the laryngeal and pharyngeal plexus at the junction of the spinal cord and the medulla oblongata; the last and the most important is the cerebral plexus called the *uṣṇīṣa-kamala* (the lotus of the head). In the Hindu Tantras, however, there are six *cakras* or plexuses in addition to the *Sahasrāra* or the highest cerebral plexus.³

¹ *sthitaḥ pāda-tale vāyuh bhairavo dhanurā-kṛtīh |*
sthito'sti kaṭi-deśe tu tri-kono-ddharaṇan tathā ||
vartulā-kāra-rūpo hi varuṇas tridale sthitaḥ |
hṛdaye pṛthivī caiva caturasrā samantataḥ ||
kaṅkāla-daṇḍa-rūpo hi sumerur girirāt tathā |

² *vara-giri-kandara guhira jagu tahi saala vi tuṭṭai |*
Dohākoṣa of Kāṅhu-pāda, Dohā No. 14.

³ The first is the *Mūlādhāra-cakra*, or the sacro-coccygeal plexus, situated between the penis and the anus and facing down; it has four petals of red colour with the four letters "v, ś, ṣ and s". Next is the *Svādhiṣṭhāna-cakra* or the sacral plexus, near the root of the penis with six petals of the colour of vermilion with the letters "b, bh, m, y, r and l". Next is the *Maṇipūra-cakra* or the lumbar plexus in the region of the navel; there are ten petals of the colour of the cloud, with the letters "ḍ, ḍh, ṇ, t, th, d, dh, n, p and ph" on them. After that is the cardiac plexus or the *Anāhata-cakra* in the heart, with twelve petals of the colour of the *Bandhuka*-flower (red) with the letters "k, kh, g, gh, ṅ, c, ch, j, jh, ñ, ṭ and ṭh" on them. Next is the laryngeal and pharyngeal plexus (*Viśuddha-cakra*) at the junction of the spinal cord and the medulla oblongata with sixteen petals of smoky colour with the sixteen vowels on them. Above it is the *Ājñā-cakra* between the eye-brows; it is the seat of the mind or the centre of all sense knowledge and dream knowledge. There are only two petals of white colour with the letters "h and kṣ" on them. Above all is the *Sahasrāra-cakra* in the highest cerebral regions. It is of thousand petals of

Of the six *Cakras* described in the Hindu Tantras only three are found in the Buddhist Tantras, viz., the plexuses in the navel region, in the heart and in the region just below the neck (i.e., *Maṇipura-cakra*, *Anāhata* and *Viśuddha-cakra*). The *Sahasrāra* of the Hindu Tantras corresponds to the *Uṣṇīṣa-kamala* of the Buddhists. But the most remarkable thing in the Buddhists' conception of the *Cakras* is the location of the three *kāyas*, viz., *Nirmāṇa-kāya*, *Sambhoga-kāya* and *Dharma-kāya* in these *Cakras*. Thus the lowest *Cakra* in the navel region represents the lowest *kāya*, i.e., *Nirmāṇa-kāya*; the *Cakra* in the heart is identified with the *Dharma-kāya* and the *Cakra* just below the neck is said to be the *Sambhoga-kāya*. In the natural order the *Cakra* in the heart being next to the *Cakra* of *Nirmāṇa-kāya* ought to have been the *Sambhoga-kāya* and the *Cakra* below the neck ought to have been the *Dharma-kāya*; but we do not know why the order has slightly been changed. The *Sahaja-kāya* is located in the *Uṣṇīṣa-kamala* or the *Sahasrāra* of the Hindus. It is also called the *Mahāsukha-cakra* or the *Mahāsukha-kamala* being the seat of great bliss.

In this theory of the *Cakras* there are some anomalies as regards the number, location and the other descriptions. Let us therefore discuss some of the descriptions of the *Cakras* found in the different texts. In the *Heruka-tantra* (thirty-first *paṭala*) we find that in the *Mahāsukha-cakra*, situated in the head, there is a lotus of four petals representing the four noble truths or the four categories (*catuṣkoṭi*); it is pure, of the nature of a circle of enlightenment (*bodhi-maṇḍala*) as the re-

white colour and is facing downwards. On the petals the fifty letters including the vowels and the consonants are placed in twenty rounds. In the six *cakras* (excluding the *Sahasrāra*) there are six presiding goddesses, viz., *Dākinī*, *Rākinī*, *Lākinī*, *Kākinī*, *Sākinī* and *Hākinī* respectively. In the *Sahasrāra* there is the union of the *Siva* and *Śakti*. Vide *Ṣaṭ-cakra-nirūpaṇa* of Pūrṇānanda (with the commentaries of Kalicaraṇa, Saṅkara and Viśvanātha), edited by Arther Avalon. (Tantric Text. Vol. II). See also,—*Śiva-saṁhitā*, Ch. V.

ceptacle (*ādhāra*) and the seed (*bīja*) of all ; outside is a lotus of thirty-two petals, and inside it is the letter “ha” in the downward way which is of the nature of the Bodhicitta and the fifteen digits of the moon. Inside is the Yoginī of sixteen *kalās* or digits of the moon, carrying intense bliss with her. By the two sides are *lalanā* and *rasanā* of the nature of *āli* and *kāli* ; and the supreme goddess herself is of the nature of Sahaja-bliss and non-duality.¹ The description seems to be rather significant. The sixteen *kalās* of the Bodhicitta, doubled in day and night seem to be represented by the thirty-two petals of the lotus in the head ; and *lalanā* and *rasanā* by the two sides of the *Parameśvarī* (referring to *Avadhūtikā*) of the nature of *āli* and *kāli* are but the two nerves (corresponding to the *Idā* and *Pīngalā* of the Hindu texts) by the two sides of *Avadhūtikā*, who is of the nature of Sahaja-bliss and non-duality. Next in the neck is the *Sambhoga-cakra* with sixteen petals of red colour, within it is the syllable “hum” ; above it nectar flows down incessantly through a tunnel.² In the heart is the *Dharma-cakra* with eight petals ; it is a *viśva-padma* which is the double lotus, one facing upwards and the other facing downwards ; within it is the syllable “hum” downwards ; a little above there is a white lotus, representing the universe (*brahmāṇḍa-saḍṛśā-kāram*) ; within that is pure consciousness (*viññānam*) which is ever manifest, all-pervading ; it is the receptacle of all, and the source of all

¹ *śirasi mahāsukha-cakre catur-ddala-padmaṁ śuddhaṁ mada-
sthāne sarvasyādhāra-rūpatvāt / bodhi-maṇḍala-svabhāvam / bīja-
bhūtam / bāhye dvā-triṁśad-dala-padmaṁ / tan-madhye ha-kāro'
dho-mukhaḥ bhavati / bodhicittā-tmakam ce'ndu-kalā-pañca-daśā-
tmakam / mahāsukhaṁ vahan nityam yoginī ṣoḍaśi kalā || lalanā-
rasanā dvayoḥ pārśve āli-kūli-svarūpiṇī / sahajā-nanda-svabhāvaṁ ca
advayaṁ parameśvarī ||*

Heruka-tantra, MS. (R.A.S.B. No. 11279) pp. 78(B)-
74(A).

² Cf. *kaṅthe sambhoga-cakran tu ṣoḍaśa-dala-raktakam / tan-
madhye hum-kāram / tasyo'rddhve ghaṅṭikā-randhra-mārgeṇa amṛtam
śravaṇī niranantaram /*

Heruka-tantra, MS. p. 74(A).

self-produced knowledge (*svayambhū-jñānā-dhāram*), it is the great Lord (*parameśvara*).¹ In the navel region is a lotus of sixty-four petals of blue colour ; within that is the syllable “am” like a dazzling pearl. Slightly below is the *kanda* which is the receptacle of all the nerves numbering seventy-two thousand in all. From this *kanda* rise the nerve *lalanā* of the nature of *Prajñā* and *rasanā* of the nature of *Upāya* ; and in the middle is the Goddess in the form of the universe, represented by the syllable “am”, she is of the nature of the four ‘bodies’ and grants all perfection and supreme bliss.²

According to the *Sekoddeśa-tīkā*, the *Uṣṇīṣa-padma* possesses four petals, the lotus in the forehead possesses sixteen petals, the lotus in the heart possesses thirty-two petals and the lotus in the navel region possesses sixty-four petals.³

In the *Śrī-samputa* we find that the four *Cakras* are associated with the four *Mudrās*, viz., *Karma-mudrā*, *Dharma-mudrā*, *Mahā-mudrā* and *Samaya-mudrā*, which are again associated with the goddesses *Locanā*, *Māmakī*, *Pāṇḍarā* and *Tārā* respectively who again in their turn are the presiding goddesses over the elements (confused with the *skandhas*, as we have already seen) of earth, water, fire and air ; these are again represented by the syllables “e, vaṁ, ma and yā” (*Cf. evam mayā śrutam etc.*). Thus the *Nirmāṇa-cakra* in the navel region stands for the element of earth represented by the syllable “e” and presided over by the goddess *Locanā*, who is associated with the *Karma-mudrā*. In this way the elements with their syllabic symbols and presiding deities and the associated *Mudrās* are located in the other three *Cakras*.⁴

¹ *Heruka-tantra*, MS. p. 74(A).

² *Ibid.*, pp. 74(A)-74(B).

³ G.O.S. p. 27.

⁴ *ekāraṁ pṛthivī jñeyā karma-mudrā tu locanā |
mahā-kṛpā mahō-pāyā viśva-rūpā viśva-gocarā ||*

It may be noted in this connection that according to the Hindu Tantras also we find that the *Cakras* or the *Padmas* are associated with different goddesses, viz., *Dākinī*, *Rākinī*, *Lākinī*, *Kākinī*, *Sākinī*, and *Hākinī*, and we also find that the *Mūlādhāra-cakra* represents earth, *Svādhiṣṭhāna* water, *Maṇipura* fire, *Anāhata* air and *Viśuddha* ether.¹ Again goddess *Locanā* in the *Nirmāṇa-cakra* represents universal compassion (*karuṇā*), *Māmakī* in the *Sambhogā-cakra* represents universal brotherhood (*maitrī*) and concentration (*praṇidhi*), *Pāṇḍarā* represents self-contentment (*muditā*) and *Tārā* represents absolute indifference (*upekṣā*).² These descriptions of the *Cakras* are also confirmed by the *Hevajra-tantra*,³ and the *Hevajra-tantra* reminds us in this connection that as the *Cakras* are four in number, all the *tattvas* are four. Thus four are the moments,⁴ viz., *vicitra*, *vipāka*, *vimarda* and

sthītā nirmāṇa-cakre vai nābhau viśva-pankaje ||
 vañ-kāraṇ tu jalāṇ jñeyāṇ dharmamudrā tu māmakī |
 maitrī praṇidhi-rūpā tu devī vajra-mukhe sthitā ||
 dharmacakre tu hṛdaye aṣṭa-dalā-mbuje ||
 ma-kāraṇ vahnir uddiṣṭo mahā-mudrā tu pāṇḍarā |
 muditā-bala-yogena devī padma-kulo-dbhavā ||
 sthitā sambhoga-cakre tu kaṇthe dvya-ṣṭa-dalā-mbuje ||
 yā-kāro vāyu-rūpo'stu sarva-kleśa-prabhāṅjakah |
 mahā-samaya-mudrā vai devī karma-kulā mukhyā ||
 upekṣū jñāna-yogena tāra samsāra-tāriṇī |
 mahāsukha-cakre tu dvā-trimśad-dala-pankaje ||
 Śrī-samputikā, MS. p. 10(A).

Cf. tatra nābhyābje bhuvah pañca-guṇā gandha-rūpa-rasa-sparśa-śabda-lakṣaṇāḥ/ hṛdayābje toyasya catvāro guṇā rūpa-rasa-sparśa-śabda-lakṣaṇāḥ/ kaṇthābje agnes trayo guṇā rasa-sparśa-śabda-lakṣaṇāḥ/ lalātābje maruto dvau guṇau sparśa-śabda-lakṣaṇau/ usnīśābje śūnyasya śabda-mātram eko guṇah/ Sekoddeśa-ṭīkā (G.O.S.) p. 51.

¹ Vide *Ṣaṭ-cakra-nirūpaṇam*, ed. by A. Avalon.

Cf. Also,—*Anandalahari* quoted in the commentary of the verse No. 8 of the *Ṣaṭcakra-vivṛti* of Viśvanātha, ed. by A. Avalon.

² See f.n. 4 of the previous page.

³ e-kāreṇa locanā devī vañ-kāreṇa māmakī smṛtā |
 ma-kāreṇa pāṇḍarā ca yā-kāreṇa ca taruṇī ||
 karmamudrā-dharmamudrā-mahāmudrā-samayamudrāḥ/ nir-
 māṇa-cakre padmaṇ catuḥ-ṣaṣṭi-dalam/ dharmacakre aṣṭa-dalam/
 sambhoga-cakre ṣoḍaśa-dalam/ mahāsukhe dvātrimśaddalam |

⁴ For the interpretation of the 'moments' see *Infra*.

vilakṣaṇa; four are the *aṅgas* (stages in the methods of the *Sādhana*), viz., *sevā*, *upa-sevā*, *sādhana* and *mahā-sādhana*, four are the noble truths (*ārya-satya*), viz., sorrow, its cause, its suppression and the way of suppressing it; four are the *tattvas*, viz., the *tattva* of the self (*ātma-tattva*), the *tattva* of the Mantras (*mantra-tattva*), the *tattva* of the gods (*devatā-tattva*) and the *tattva* of knowledge (*jñāna-tattva*); four are the *ānandas* (states of bliss), viz., *ānanda*, *paramā-nanda*, *viramā-nanda*, and *sahajā-nanda*; four are the *Nikāyas*, viz., *Sthavira-vāda*, *Sarvāsti-vāda*, *Sarvīdī-vāda* and *Mahāsāṅghika*; sixteen (which is a multiple of four) are the *Saṅkrāntis*,¹ sixty-four (multiple of four) are the *daṇḍas*, thirty-two nerves, four *praharas* and thus all are four (or multiples of four).² About the number of the petals of these *Cakras* or lotuses the *Hevajra-tantra* holds the same view. As in the Hindu-tantras, here also there is the scheme of arranging the letters on the petals of the lotuses.³ But in some texts the *Nirmāṇa-cakra*, instead of being located in the navel region, is located in the region near about the sex-organ. Thus it is said in the *Hevajra-tantra* (the verses being found also in the *Samputīkā*) that four are the *kāyas*, viz., *Dharma*, *Sambhoga*, *Nirmāṇa* and *Mahāsukha*, of which the first three are situated near the sex-organ, the heart and the neck respectively. *Nirmāṇa-kāya* is the region whence all the animate and the inanimate originate and therefore it is located near the sex-organ. The *Dharma-cakra* is of the nature of the consciousness of all the *dharmas* and is located in the heart. *Sambhoga* means enjoyment of the six *rasas*; the *Sambhoga-kāya* is of the nature of all-existence (as pure delight) and is situated near the neck; the *Mahāsukha-kāya* is in the

¹ See *Infra*.

² *Hevajra-tantra*, MS. pp. 4(A)-4(B).

³ Vide *Hevajra-pañjikā* or *Yoga-ratnamālā*.

MS. (Cambridge Add. No. 1699) p. 6(B).

head.¹ Then it has been said that *Sthāvarī* is in the *Nirmāṇa-cakra* wherefrom all the static (*sthāvara*) originate. What is exactly meant by this *Sthāvarī* we are not sure; but it seems from the context that it may refer to the old *Sthavira-vāda* or *Thera-vāda* (the school of the elders). The *Sarvāsti-vāda* or the Realistic School which originated from a scrutiny into the nature of the *dharmas* (things) is said to be in the *Dharma-cakra*; *Samvidi-vāda* is in the neck, whence are all our perceptions (*saṃvedana*); *Mahāsaṃghī* is in the *Sukha-cakra*, and *Mahāsukha* is in the head.² The *Samvidi-vāda* generally refers to the school of *Vijñāna-vāda*, but in the commentary of the *Hevajra-tantra* *Samvidī* is explained as referring to those who realise high emotions; *Mahāsukha* being the ultimate unity of all the *dharmas*, *Mahāsukha-cakra* is the *Mahāsāṅghī*.³ Again it is explained that the body (*kāya*) is called the *Nikāya* which is explained in the commentary as the assemblage of the *Bhikṣus* (*Bhikṣu-saṅgha*), and the

¹ *tri-kāyaṃ deha-madhye tu cakra-rūpeṇa kathyate |*
tri-kāyasya pañca-jñānaṃ cakraṃ mahāsukhaṃ matam ||
dharmā-sambhoga-nirmāṇaṃ mahāsukhaṃ tathāiva ca |
yoni-hṛt-kaṅṭha-madhye tu trayāḥ kāyāḥ vyavasthitāḥ ||
aśeṣāṇām tu sattvānām yatro'ṭpattiḥ pramīyate |
tatra nirmāṇa-kāyāḥ syāt nirmāṇaṃ sthāvaraṃ yataḥ ||
utpadyate nimīyate anena nirmāṇikaṃ matam (not found in
the Samputikā)

dharmā-citta-svarūpaṃ tu dharmā-cakraṃ tu hṛd bhavet ||
sambhogaṃ bhūjanam proktaṃ saṅghāṃ vai rasa-rūpinam |
sarva-dharmeṣu dṛḍhatvāt sarvam asti-svarūpakaḥ (not found
in the Hevajra-tantra)

kaṅṭhe sambhoga-cakraṃ ca mahā-sukhaṃ śīrasi sthitam ||
Hevajra-tantra, MS. p. 50(A); Samputikā, MS. p. 46(B). For
 the location of the *Nirmāṇa-cakra* in the region of the sex-organ
 see also *Śrī-kāla-cakra-tantra, MS. Cambridge, Add. 1864* p. 24(A).

² *sthāvarī nirmāṇa-cakre tu nirmāṇaṃ sthāvaraṃ yataḥ |*
sarvāsti-vādo dharmā-cakre ca dharmā-vāda-samudbhavaḥ ||
saṃvidī sambhoga-cakre ca kaṅṭhe saṃvedanaṃ yataḥ |
mahāsāṅghī sukha-cakre ca mahāsukhaṃ mastake sthitam ||
Hevajra-tantra, MS. p. 50(B); Samputikā, MS. p. 46(B).

³ *mahattvāt sarva-dharmāṇām saṅghatvāt mahāsukha-cakram*
mahāsāṅghī/ ata evam āha/ (masta)ke śīrasi sarveṣāṃ upari sthitam/
Hevajra-pañjikā, MS. (Cambridge Add. No. 1699)
 p. 59(A).

udara (literally the belly, but explained in the commentary as the womb of the mother, *mātuḥ kukṣiḥ*) is called the monastery (*vihāra*).¹

(B) THE NERVE SYSTEM

After the discussion on the *Cakras* the next important thing is the analysis of the nerves. In general the nerves are said to be seventy-two thousand in number (excluding the smaller nerves, *i.e.*, the *upa-nāḍīs*, which are innumerable). The Hindu Tantras and the Yogo-paniṣads in general agree with the Buddhist Tantras as to the total number of the nerves (though controversies are also found). Of these, again, thirty-two are said to be more important,² and of these thirty-two, again, three are the most important,—these are *Lalanā*, *Rasanā* and *Avadhūti* corresponding to *Idā*, *Piṅgalā* and *Suṣumnā* of the Hindu system. *Lalanā* is the nerve in the left side and *Rasanā* in the right side. There are pairs of names for them, of which some of the more important are:—*Āli* and *Kāli*, *e* and *vaṁ*, *Gaṅgā* and *Yamunā*, *Candra* and *Sūrya*, *Dhamana* and *Camana*, *Grāhya* and *Grāhaka*, *Prajñā* and *Upāya*, *etc.*³ About the position of these nerves we find in the Hindu Tantras that inside the passage of the spinal cord (according to some outside the spinal

¹ *nikāyaṁ kāyaṁ ityuktam udaraṁ vihāraṁ ucyate |*

Hevajra-tantra, MS. p. 50(B); *Samputikā*, MS. p. 46(B).

² For a list of these thirty-two principal nerves, see *Śrī-samputikā*, p. 8(B).

³ If all the names given to these two nerves in the left and the right are classified into two groups, the two groups will stand thus:—

i *Dakṣina* (right)—*rasanā*, *piṅgalā*, *sūrya*, *ravi*, *agni*, *prāṇa*, *camana*, *kāli*, *bindu*, *upāya*, *yamunā*, *rakta*, *palita*, *sūkṣma*, *retas*, *dharma*, *sthira*, *para*, *dyau*, *bheda*, *citta*, *vidyā*, *rajas*, *bhāva*, *puruṣa*, *śiva*, *nirmāna-kāya* and *grāhya*.

ii *Vāma* (left)—*lalanā*, *idā*, *candra*, *śaśin*, *soma*, *apāna*, *dhamana*, *āli*, *nāda*, *prajñā*, *gaṅgā*, *śukra*, *balī*, *sthūla*, *rajas*, *adhama*, *asthira*, *apara*, *prthivī*, *abheda*, *acitta*, *avidyā*, *tamas*, *abhāva*, *prakṛti*, *śakti*, *sambhoga-kāya* and *grāhaka*.

Vide Studies in the Tantras—by Dr. P. C. Bagchi, p. 69.

cord) flows the nerve *Suṣumnā*, which in reality is made up of three nerves, viz., *citriṇī* of the nature of *Sattva-guṇa* (intelligence stuff), *vajrā* of the nature of *Rajas* (energy), and *Suṣumnā* of the nature of *Tamas* (inertia). All the nerves start from a seat called the *Kanda* which is situated about one inch above the anus and one inch below the penis. The *Suṣumnā* seems to be a sort of duct inside the spinal cord and encases within it the nerve *vajrā*, which again encases within it the *citriṇī*, which again has an aperture called the *Brahma-randhra* running to the *Sahasrāra*. The *Idā* and the *Piṅgalā* are outside the spinal cord and proceed from the left and the right sides respectively towards the nasal region in a symmetrical course encircling all the *Cakras*. According to other views, the *Idā* and the *Piṅgalā* proceed from the right and left testicles respectively and pass on to the left and right of the *Suṣumnā* in the bent form of a bow. The *Idā* is also called the moon, of white colour, and the *Śakti*; the *piṅgalā* the sun, of red colour and the *puruṣa*; *Suṣumnā* is of the nature and of the colour of fire. The three are again said to be the rivers, *Yamunā*, *Sarasvatī* and *Gaṅgā* respectively and the three meet at a point at the root of the penis, which is regarded as the *triveṇī* or the juncture of the three rivers. Through these *Idā* and *Piṅgalā* blow the vital winds *prāṇa* and *apāna*.

In the Buddhist Tantras we find that the nerve *Lalanā* or the *Āli* starts from the neck and enters the navel region from the left side, and from the navel again starts the *Rasanā* or the *Kāli* and enters the neck from the right. Within these two and passing through the lotus in the heart (*hṛt-saroruha-madhya-gā*) is the *Avadhūtī*, through which flows the Bodhi-citta, and this *Avadhūtīkā* gives the Sahaja-bliss, and it itself is oftēn described as the Sahaja-bliss. The most important thing is that the nerves in the left and the right have been identified with *Śūnyatā* and *Karuṇā*

or Prajñā and Upāya, the two cardinal principles of Mahāyāna Buddhism; and the *Avadhūti*, as their commingling, is the Bodhicitta or the goddess Nairāt-mā or the Sahaja-damsel.¹ We have discussed at length the question how these nerves *Lalanā* and *Rasanā* are identified or associated with *Śūnyatā* and *Karuṇā*, *Prajñā* and *Upāya*, *Āli* and *Kāli*, etc. In the *Ekalla-vīra-caṇḍa-mahā-roṣaṇa-tantra* we find that the Lady (the female counter-part of Caṇḍaroṣaṇa) asks the Lord (Caṇḍaroṣaṇa) how the bliss produced through *Prajñā* and *Upāya* can be enjoyed in the body. In reply the Lord says that there is the nerve in the left, named *Lalanā* which is of the nature of *Prajñā* and in the right is the nerve *Rasanā* of the nature of *Upāya*.² Through the commingling of these two nerves in the middle nerve the bliss of *Prajñō-pāya* is to be realised. We should also notice that these three nerves *Lalanā*, *Rasanā* and *Avadhūti* have also been identified with the three *Kāyas*, viz., *Sambhoga*, *Nirmāṇa* and *Dharma*. Again, we have seen that the *Lalanā* is said to carry the seed and *Rasanā* the ovum and *Avadhūti* to carry Bodhicitta (which is a mixture of the seed and the ovum). Again *Prajñā* and *Upāya* mean subjectivity and objectivity or the *grāhaka* and the *grāhya*, and so these two nerves are also called *grāhya-grāhaka*.³ About the names *Gaṅgā* and *Yamunā* we may also compare the Hindu Tantras where *Iḍā* is said to be the river *Yumnā*, *Piṅgalā* the

¹ *Heruka-tantra*, MS. (R.A.S.B., No. 11279) p. 8(A), pp. 18(B)-15(A).

Cf. *Vajra-vārāhi-kalpa-mahā-tantra*, MS. (R.A.S.B., No. 11285) p. 26(B).

² *lalanā prajñā-svabhāvena vāma-nāḍī prakīrtitā | rasanā co'pāya-rūpeṇa daksīṇe samavasthitā ||*

Ekalla-vīra-caṇḍa-mahā-roṣaṇa-tantra, MS. (R.A.S.B., No. 9089) p. 15(A).

Cf. Also,—*Akulāgama-tantra*, quoted in the *Studies in the Tantras* of Dr. P. C. Bagchi, p. 69.

³ *gaṅgā-yamune'ti sandhyayā candrā-bhāsa-sūryā-bhāsau grāhya-grāhakau |* Comm. on Song. No. 14, *Caryā-pada* (Śāstri's edition).

river *Sarasvatī* and *Suṣumnā* the Ganges.¹ The names *Lalanā* and *Rasanā* and *Dhamana-camana* seem to be rather technical.²

The most common names for the pair of the nerves in the right and the left are the sun and the moon. These names are found in the Buddhist and the Hindu tantras alike. The nerves in the left and the right are called the *Āli* and the *Kāli* which represent the vowels and the consonants, which again in their turn are associated with the night and the day; the night and the day are again associated with the moon and the sun,—and thus the nerves in the left and the right are also called the moon and the sun. The *Haṭha-yoga-pradīpikā* speaks of these two nerves as day and night.³ The *Sammohana-tantra* says that the left nerve is the moon because of its mild nature, whereas the nerve in the right is called the sun because of its fierce nature (*raudrā-tmikā*). We have also seen that the left nerve is said to carry the seed and the right the ovum; the seed is said to be the Śiva—it is the moon,—and the blood is the Śakti,—it is the sun.⁴ In the commentary on the *Dohākoṣa* of Kāṇhu-pāda we find that the moon originates from the seed and the sun from the ovum.⁵ These two nerves

¹ *idāyāṃ yamunā-devī piṅgalīyāṃ sarasvatī |*
ruṣumnāyāṃ vased gaṅgā tāsāṃ yogas tridhā bhavet ||
Ṣaṭ-cakra-nirūpaṇam, Comm. on the first verse.

Saraha said in a *Dohā*,—‘here (within the body) are the Ganges and the Jumna’.

Saraha’s *Dohākoṣa*, *Dohā* No. 47.

² Dr. P. C. Bagchi, however, suggests that *dhamana* may be derived from √*dhmā* which indicates a forward or outward movement, whereas *camana* may be derived from √*cam* which means ‘to eat’, i.e., taking in.

Vide Studies in the Tantras, p. 65.

³ *divā na pūjayet līgaṃ rātrau caiva na pūjayet |*
sarvadā pūjayet līgaṃ divā-rātri-nirodhataḥ ||

⁴ *binduḥ śivo rajaḥ śaktir bindur indū rajo raviḥ |*

Gorakṣa-siddhānta-saṃgraha, ed. by Gopinātha Kavirāja, p. 41.

⁵ *śukrād utpadyate candro raktāt sūrya-samudbhavaḥ |*

Comm. on Dohākoṣa of Kāṇhu-pāda, MS. p. 88(B).

are also said to carry the vital winds *prāṇa* and *apāna* and the *prāṇa* has again been said to be the *Rāhu* and the *apāna* to be the 'fire of time' (*kālāgni*).¹ About the names *Āli* and *Kāli* we find in the commentary of the *Marmakalikā-tantra* that *Āli* and *Kāli* represent the vowels and the consonants which are forty-nine in number ('kṣ' being a mere combination of the letters 'k' and 'ṣ' is excluded), and forty-nine are the *Vāyus* (winds) and thus *Āli* and *Kāli* denote the winds and are thus associated with the two nerves in the right and the left.² In the Vaiṣṇava Sahajiyā cult of Bengal we find *Iḍā*, *Piṅgalā* and *Suṣumnā* identified with *Vāyu*, *Pitta* and *Kapha*.³

In the *Sekoddeśa-ṭikā* of Nāḍa-pāda we find mention of five important nerves, presided over by the five Tathāgatas. According to it, the left nerve in the upper region, which is the moon, the *Lalanā* or the *Iḍā*, which carries water, is of the nature of the Tathāgata Amitābha; the right nerve, which is the sun, the *Rasanā*, which carries fire, is of the nature of Ratna-sambhava; in the middle of the lower region is the nerve (passage?) for stool, carrying earth, and it is of the nature of Vairocana; the left nerve (in the lower region) is the nerve for urine and carries air and is of the nature of Amogha-siddhi; the middle nerve of the upper region is the nerve *Rāhu* carrying *Śūnyatā* and is of the nature of Akṣobhya; the left nerve below it is the channel for semen; it carries knowledge (*jñāna-vāhinī*) and is of the nature of the Vajrasattva.⁴

¹ *prāṇato rāhu-nispattiḥ kālāgnir apy apānataḥ* ||
Ibid., MS. p. 88(B).

² MS. B.N. Sans. No. 88, p. 9(B).

³ *iḍā, piṅgalā, suṣumnā tina nāḍi haya* |
vāi pitta śleṣā balī loka yāre kaya ||

Nāyikā-sādhana-ṭikā, quoted in the *Post-Chaitanya Sahajiyā Cult*, by M. M. Bose, M.A., p. 71.

⁴ G.O.S., p. 51.

The middle nerve is generally called the *Avadhūti*. The word has been explained in the following way,—*Avadhūti* is that which destroys through its-effulgent nature all the sins.¹ In the commentary of the *Caryā-pada* also *Avadhūti* is described as that which washes away all the beginningless thought-construction of existence.² It has also been said to be *Avadhūti* as it removes all the evils of afflictions very easily.³ It is also called, as we have already said, the goddess Prajñā, or the Nairātmā, the Yoginī or the Sahaja-damsel.

(ii) Selection of the Preceptor

Yogic practice is always indispensable for the attainment of the Bodhicitta. It is said in the *Pañca-krama* that the vows and practices (*vrata-caryā-dikam*) are indeed useless without the *tattva*; but perfect enlightenment again can never be attained without practice. As the fire in the log of wood never manifests itself without friction, so the *Bodhi* (which is already within) will never be produced without proper practice.⁴ In this practice great importance has been attached to the selection of the preceptor,—for it is neither by much reading nor by penances, nor by any amount of labour undertaken in innumerable practices that a *Sādhaka* can get at the truth; it has been repeated over and over again that truth can never be attained without the blessings of the preceptor. Common sense will allow us to understand that where

¹ *avam pāpam dhuvam (?) dhvastam prabhāsvara-rūpatvāt anaye'ty avadhūti/*

Comm. on the *Dohākoṣa* of Kaṅhu-pāda (*Dohā* No. 4), MS., No. B. N. Sans. 47, p. 89(B).

² *avadhūti śabda-sandhyayā anādi-bhāva-vikalpaṅ ca dhūtva prakṛti-pariśuddhā-vadhūti-rūpeṇa, etc.*

Comm. on Song No. 2.

³ *avahelayā anābhogena kleśā-di-pāpam dhūnoti ity avadhūti/*

Comm. on the *Dohākoṣa* (*Dohā* No. 4) of Kaṅhu-pāda (ed. by MM. H. P. Sāstrī).

⁴ *yathā'gnir dāru-garbhastho no'ttiṣṭhen manthanād vinā | tathā'bhyāsād vinā bodhi(r) jāyate ne'ha janmani ||*

MS. p. 24(B).

there is anything practical to be performed, there naturally comes the question of adopting a proper guide,—and Indian religions, from the very beginning in the Vedic time down to the modern era, invariably involve some kind of practice either ceremonial and ritualistic or yogic; hence is the importance of the *Guru*. The *Guru* is very often regarded higher than God himself, for God is something absolutely fictitious to a man unless he has been blessed by the practical instruction of the *Guru* which enables a man to realise what God or the ultimate reality is. The Tantras, as we have seen, lay the greatest emphasis on the practical aspect of religion and the practices enjoined in the Tantras are very secret and complex,—there is the chance of physical or mental aberration at every step. As on the one hand, these practices, when properly and systematically carried out, will lead a man to the highest spiritual elevation through the realisation of the truth; on the other hand, they may lead a man to the darkest abyss of hell, if these are not very cautiously and methodically carried out with the directions of the experienced *Guru*. Because of this difficulty in carrying out these practices and because of the great dangers they may very easily lead to, these practices have always been kept most secret and there is no other way to learn them than the blessings of the well-experienced *Guru*. It is for this reason that we find in most of the Tantras chapters devoted to the selection of a good preceptor and a good disciple. Even the *Caryā*-songs and the *Dohās* of the *Siddhā-cāryas* refer to this importance of the *Guru* every now and then. This *Guru-vāda* in India, current from a very old time and emphasised in the Tāntric ages, has also flowed on in all the minor religious sects of India.

After securing a suitable preceptor and also a suitable *Prajñā* (woman) the Yogin should approach the reverend *Guru* and propitiate him by worship, hymns, etc. Then follows the ceremony of *Abhiṣeka* or initia-

tion into the cult. This *Abhiṣeka* is a very old custom of initiation with religious rites and ceremonies and it is also found in early Buddhism in the initiation to the vow of *pabbajjā* (*pravrajyā*, literally, going out, *i.e.*, the vow of renunciation) and the initiation to *upasampadā* (literally, arrival, *i.e.*, the entry into the circle of the fully accredited members of the Saṅgha or monastery). Even now this ceremony of *Abhiṣeka* is current in all schools of Buddhism in all the countries. This *Abhiṣeka* is held to be indispensable for the *Sādhana* in esoteric Buddhism. It is said that the Yogin who wishes to attain the Yogihood without proper initiation only darts a blow to the sky with fists and drinks the water of mirage.¹ The *Abhiṣeka* in the secret cult is generally known as the *Vajrā-bhiṣeka*.² In some texts we find Mantras for four kinds of *Abhiṣeka*, viz., *Kalasā-bhiṣeka* (*i.e.*, initiation by outward purification by the water of the jar, etc.), *Guhyā-bhiṣeka* (initiation into the secret cult), *Prajñā-bhiṣeka* (initiation to perfect wisdom) and *Vajrā-bhiṣeka* (*i.e.*, initiation to the adamantine truth).³ In the *Sekatā-nvaya-saṅgraha* we find that this *Kalasā-bhiṣeka* comprises within it six *Abhiṣekas*, viz., initiation with *Udaka* (water), *Mukuta* (a crown), *Vajra* (the thunder), *Ghaṇṭā* (the bell), *Nāma* (name) and *Ācārya* (the preceptor), and these six *Abhiṣekas* are of the nature of the six *Tathāgatas*.⁴ Thus the initiation with water is of the nature of ideal knowledge, and so of Akṣobhya⁵; the initiation with the crown is of the nature of equalising know-

¹ *nā'bhiṣikto hi yo yogī yogitvam abhivāñchati |
hanyate muṣṭinā'kāsaṁ pivet ca mṛga-tṛṣṇikām ||*

Quoted in the *Kriyā-saṅgraha-panjikā*, MS. p. 5(A).

² For this *Abhiṣeka*, see *Prajñā-pāya-viniścaya-siddhi*, Ch. V, *Jñāna-siddhi*, Ch. XVII; *Samputikā*, first paṭala of the second *Kalpa*, etc.

³ Vide the Ch. on *Abhiṣeka* in the *Kriyā-saṅgraha-panjikā*, MS. pp. 4(A)-6(B); *Sekatā-nvaya-saṅgraha*, collected in the *Advaya-vajra-saṅgraha* (G.O.S.), *Hevajra-tantra*, *Maṇḍala-krama*; *Sekoddeśa-ṭikā* (G.O.S.).

⁴ Vide *Śrī-cakra-sambhāra-tantra*.

⁵ *Advaya-vajra-saṅgraha*, pp. 86-87.

ledge (*samatā jñāna*), and so is of the nature of *Ratna-sambhava*; initiation with the thunder is of the nature of discriminative knowledge and represents *Amitābha*; the initiation with the bell is of the nature of the performance of duties and so represents *Amogha-siddhi*: the initiation with a name is of the nature of the knowledge of the perfectly pure *dharma-dhātu* and represents *Vairocana* and the initiation by the *Ācārya* is of the nature of the adamantine knowledge (*vajra-jñāna*).¹ But in general *Kalasā-bhīṣeka* seems to be the rite of initiation by pouring the water of the jar. Next is the *Guhyā-bhīṣeka* or the initiation into the secret cult. Here the preceptor explains all the secret processes of the yogic *sādhana* by which *Bodhicitta* should be produced through the union of the *Upāya* and the *Prajñā*, how its downward tendency should be checked, how it should be sent upward to the *Uṣṇīṣa-kamala* and how *Mahāsukha* of the nature of *nirvāṇa* is to be attained. After the *Guhyā-bhīṣeka* the preceptor explains to the disciples the void nature of the self (*puḍgala*) as well as of all the objects (*dharma*), and also how this yogic process leads a man to the realisation of that perfect knowledge (*prajñā*). This part is, therefore, called the *Prajñā-bhīṣeka* or the *Prajñā-jñānā-bhīṣeka*. But as *Vajra* is the ultimate adamantine reality and the ultimate principle of purity, the initiation ends with an initiation into the *Vajra-jñāna* called the *Vajra-jñānā-bhīṣeka*.

After initiation the Yogin with his *Mudrā* is led to the *Maṇḍala* or the mystic circle and is then permitted to perform the *Yoga* in company of the *Mudrā* or the *Prajñā*.² In some texts, however, the initiation is performed within the *Maṇḍala*.

¹ For the *Abhīṣekas* also see *Vajra-vali-nāma-maṇḍala-pañjikā*, MS. R.A.S.B., No. 13855, pp. 80(A)—81(A) and 98(A)—100(A).

² For details see *Hevajra-tantra*; also *Sekoddeśa-ṭikā* (G.O.S.), p. 25. The *Prajñā* here is called *Mudrā* as she is instrumental to the attainment of bliss (*mudraṁ sukha-viśeṣaṁ ratim dadāti'ti mudrā*—*Sekoddeśa-ṭikā*, p. 56).

In some texts again we find other preparatory rites of killing or driving away the disturbing ghosts and spirits and all other evils of the ten quarters through the help of Mantras and other practices, and of placing the ten Buddhas in the ten quarters for safety in Yoga. We have already seen that in this *yoga-sādhana* the Yogin has to be a god,—he must realise himself as the god or the Supreme Lord Buddha, and the woman to be united with, must be realised to be nothing but the embodiment of Prajñā or *Śūnyatā*-knowledge. For this realisation the Yogin places the five *Tathāgatas* and their female counter-parts with their respective *bīja-mantras* in the different parts of the body (both of himself and of the *Mudrā*), and during all these processes he should meditate and recite that he is of the nature of adamantine knowledge.¹

(iii) *The Production of Bodhicitta and Its Regulation*

After all these preparatory rites follows the esoteric practice, which is strictly prohibited to be taken in the ordinary sense; it should be performed only as a process of Yoga for the attainment of the Bodhicitta or for the realisation of the ultimate Sahaja-nature of the self and the *dharma*s.² Through the yogic union of the Prajñā and the Upāya, the Bodhicitta is produced within, and after it is produced its flow must be checked in the navel region which is the *Maṇipura-cakra* or the *Nirmāṇa-kāya-cakra*.³ It is

¹ Cf. The *Pinḍi-krama* of *Pañca-krama*; *Jvālā-vali-vajra-mālā-tantra*, MS. pp. 14(A)—14(B); *Citta-viśuddhi-prakarana*, Verses 76-78.

² Cf.—*manthayet kamalā-mbhodhīm saha-jā-mṛta-kāṅkṣayā / Jvālā-vali-vajra-mālā-tantra*, MS. p. 18(B).

This line is quoted in the *Marmakalikā-tantra* as belonging to the *Guhya-vajra-virāsini-sādhana*, MS. (B.N. Sans. No. 88) p. 26(A).

³ *Vide Śrī-kāla-cakra-tantra*, MS. (Cambridge, Add. No. 1864), p. 104(B).

Cf. Comm. on *Caryā-pada* No.2, *piṭhake vajra-maṇau patat dharaṇam na yāti*.

held that as long as the Bodhicitta remains restless, it binds us to the world of existence and non-existence; but when its movement is stopped once for ever in the lotus in the head, it produces the state of supreme bliss which is of the nature of liberation. Thus this Bodhicitta has got two aspects; in the ordinary restless aspect it is called the *saṃvṛta* and in the motionless aspect of intense bliss it is called the *vivṛta* or the *pāramārthika*. It is said in the *Hevajra-tantra*,—“One should produce Bodhicitta in the form of both *vivṛta* and *saṃvṛta*; the *saṃvṛta* is like a *Kunda* flower (*i.e.*, white in its physical form), and the *vivṛta* is of the form of bliss.”¹ We have seen that the Mādhyamikas believe in two aspects of the reality, the *saṃvṛti-satya*, *i.e.*, the phenomenal or the provisional truth and the *pāramārthika-satya* which is the ultimate truth (corresponding to the *vyāvahārika* and *pāramārthika* of the Vedantists). These twofold aspects of the Bodhicitta, the physical or the phenomenal aspect (in the form of semen virile) being the *saṃvṛta* and the ultimate aspect (in the form of incorporeal all-pervading *Mahāsukha* as the ultimate nature of the *dharmas*) being the *pāramārthika* or the *vivṛta* seems to be drawn in analogy with the twofold conception of the

vajra-maṇi-śikhara-suśire bodhicittam vandhayati/

Comm. on *Caryā-pada*, No. 3.

maṇi-kule bahia oḍiāne sagāa || Song No. 4.

*maṇi-mūlād ūrddhvam gatvā gatvā mahāsukha-cakre antar-
bhavati | Comm. on Song No. 4.*

maṇi-mūla-dvāra-nirodham kartavyam | Ibid.

*kacchika-maṇi-mūlam gatam, tad eva bodhicittam saha-jā-
nandena vidhṛtam sad vaimalyam cakro-ddeśena pravāham kuru/*

Comm. on Song No. 13.

See also *Pañca-krama* of Ghaṇṭāpāda quoted in the *Subhāṣita-saṅgraha* of Bendall, p. 72; *Kriyā-saṅgraha-pañjikā*, MS. p. 75(A); *Marma-kalikā-tantra*, MS. p. 4(B).

¹ *bodhicittam utpādayet vaivṛtti-saṃvṛtti-rūpakam |
saṃvṛtam kunda-saṅkūśam vivṛtam sukha-rūpiṇam ||*

Hevajra-tantra, MS. pp. 47(B)—48(A).

Cf. Also *Heruka-tantra*, MS. (R.A.S.B., No. 11279) p. 74(A).

truth of the *Mādhyamikas*.¹ For the attainment of the *Mahāsukha* the flow of Bodhicitta must be arrested. It is said that as long as the Yogin does not discharge Bodhicitta, he enjoys intense and unruffled bliss arising from the joyous sensations; but if Bodhicitta, which is the cause of all perfection, flows down, it cannot be made to rise through the middle nerve and no perfection is attained.²

How then to check the downward flow of the Bodhicitta? For this purpose Haṭha-yoga is resorted

¹ In the *Hevajra-tantra* it is clearly explained how to produce the gross Bodhicitta through the physical process and how to turn it to the *Vivṛta* form through the yogic process. Pleasure may also be realised through the discharge of the Bodhicitta, but that has unreservedly been condemned by all the Buddhist Tāntrikas; and it has been said that instead of delivering a man it binds him to the realm of gross sense-pleasure. It is, therefore, that we find in all the texts repeated warnings not to discharge the Bodhicitta; if it be discharged, the *Mahāsukha* is never realised and if the *Mahāsukha* be not realised, the ultimate Sahaja-nature can never be realised, and if the ultimate nature be not realised, a man is not liberated from the world of illusion. MS. pp. 48(A)—49(A).

² "There is no greater sin than discharge and no greater merit than bliss (arising from the motionless Bodhicitta)." Again, "Discharge is the progenitor of detachment (*virāga*) and from detachment arises sorrow, and from sorrow is the loss of the vital element and from the loss of the element comes death".

*na virāgā(t) parāṇi pāpāṇi puṇyāṇi na sukhataḥ param |
tathā ca,—cyutir virāga-sambhūtir virāgād duḥkha-sambhavaḥ |
duḥkhād dhātu-kṣayāṇi puṁsāṇi kṣayāt mṛtyuḥ praḥjāyate ||*

Quoted in the Comm. on the *Marma-kalikā-tantra*, MS. p. 5(A).

Also quoted in the Comm. on the *Dohakoṣa* of Kāṇhu-pāda is belonging to the *Ādi-buddha*, MS. (B.N. Sans. No. 47) p. 49(B).

In the *Sekoddeśa* we find that the Sahaja-form of the Lord is nothing but the motionless state of the fluid.

*yāvan na(o, sic.) patati prabhāsvaramayāḥ śitā-nīśu-dhārā-dravo
devi-padma-dalo-dare samarasī-bhūto jinānām gaṇaiḥ |
sphurad (sic. sphurjād) vajra-sikhā-grataḥ karuṇayā
bhinnam jagat-kāraṇam*

garjjadd hi karuṇā-balasya sahajam janīhi rūpam vibhoḥ ||

Quoted in the Comm. of the *Caryā-pada*, Song No. 3.

Śrī-kāla-cakra definitely declares that salvation is impossible through the discharge,—so the Yogins should always shun worldly pleasure.

*bindor mokṣe kva mokṣo gata-parama-sukhe yoginām janma-biḥe
tasmāt saṁsāra-saukhyam kṣaṇamiha yatibhiḥ sarvadā varjanīyam ||*

MS. Ch. V. (*jñāna-ṣaṭala*), Verse 126.

to. In the commentary of the *Marma-kalikā-tantra*, we find that the flow of the Bodhicitta is to be checked by the help of *Ṣaḍaṅga-yoga* or the Yoga with six parts; these are: abstraction (*pratyāhāra*), meditation (*dhyāna*), restraint of the breath (*prāṇāyāma*), attention (*dhāraṇā*), remembrance (*anusmṛti*) and final absorption (*samādhi*).¹

In the *Śrī-guhya-samāja* (Ch. XVIII) we find detailed description of these six parts of Yoga, and these descriptions are again fully commented on in the *Sekoddeśa-ṭikā* of Naḍo-pāda. The first part of Yoga, viz., *pratyāhāra* means the restraint of the ten senses from their respective objects through a process of abstraction. Through *pratyāhāra* the *Sādhaka* develops an aversion to all physical forms and perception, and he further develops an insight whereby he can view all objects of the world from the *Sūnyatā* perspective. The second part, viz., *dhyāna* means viewing the *pañca-kāmas* (five objects of desire) as of the nature of the five Buddhas. The five objects of desire stand here for all the objects of the world (*sarve bhāvāḥ sthira-calā-tmakāḥ*) and the five Buddhas stand for Buddha in general. *Dhyāna* here then means meditating on all existence as of the nature of Buddha in the ultimate essence. This *dhyāna* is again of five kinds, viz., *vitarka*, *vicāra*, *prīti*, *sukha* and *ekāgratā*. *Vitarka* means *guhya-trayo-daya*, i.e., the sudden flash in the *citta* of a general ideal reflection (*caitya-sthūlā-kāreṇa*) of the three elements of three times. *Vicāra* means meditating on the specific nature of objects as of the nature of perfect enlightenment. *Prīti* means an agreeable state of mind resulting from the taking in of the objects; *sukha* means the delight resulting

¹ *pratyāhāras tathā dhyānam prāṇāyāmo'tha dhāraṇā |*

anusmṛtiḥ samādhiś ca ṣaḍ-aṅgo yoga ucyate ||

Śrī-guhya-samāja-tantra (G.O.S.), Ch. XVIII, p. 168.

Cf. *Maitrāyaṇīya Upaniṣad* (Cowell's Edition, Ch. VI, p. 129) where the six *Āṅgas* are described as *Pratyāhāra*, *Dhyāna*, *Prāṇāyāma*, *Dhāraṇā*, *Tarka* (self-examination) and *Samādhi*.

from such meditation; *ekāgratā* means the fixing of the mind in the Śūnyatā, *i.e.*, the one-pointed state of mind which remains steady in the realisation of the Śūnyatā-nature of all objects.

The third part of Yoga is *prāṇāyāma*, which means the control and arrest of the vital wind (*prāṇa-vāyu*). This *prāṇa-vāyu* is of the nature of the five elements (*pañca-bhūta*) as well as the five Buddhas. The wind that flows through the left nostril (which is *lalanā*) represents the principle of the five *skandhas* (which are identified with the *pañca-bhūtas*) and the wind flowing through the right nostril (which is *rasanā*) represents the principle of the five Buddhas. These two courses of the vital wind must be united and made into a subtle body, as it were, and made flow through the middle nerve passing through the lotuses in the navel, heart, neck and the forehead. Then this vital wind must be made steady in the lotus between the two eye-brows. This is what is meant by *prāṇāyāma*.

This process of *prāṇāyāma* is regarded as very important in the esoteric Yoga of the Buddhists and is variously described in different texts. We have seen before that in the *Pañca-krama* the vital wind has been described as the vehicle (*vāhana*) for our defiled nature (*prakṛti*) and this *vāyu* is described as the root of all the principles of defilement and as such the root-cause of the *saṃsāra* or the cycle of birth and death. In the *Vajra-jāpa-krama* of the *Pañca-krama* we find that *mantra-tattva* is nothing but the *vāya-tattva* (the science of the vital wind). This *vāyu-tattva* comprises within it the five *tattvas* (which when combined together constitutes the ultimate truth); these are the *tattvas* of the five Dhyāni-Buddhas and, therefore, of the five *skandhas*. It is said in the text *Vajra-mālā* that from the air of the right nostril issues forth a lustre of red colour; it is the circle of fire and *Padma-*

nātha is the presiding deity here; from the left issues forth the lustre of deep green colour in an aerial circle and *Karma-nātha* is the deity here; the lustre issuing forth from both the nostrils is of yellow colour and it is said to be the circle of Indra and presided over by *Ratna-nātha*. The steady wind within, of the colour of the white *Kunda* flower, or the moon, is the circle of *Varuṇa*, prescribed over by *Vajra-nātha*, and the wind pervading the whole physical system and prompting all the active tendencies is of the nature of *Vairocana*.¹ These five kinds of lustre of the nature of the five Buddhas are to be thought of in the region between the two brows, *i.e.*, at the starting point of the nose (*nāsāgra*) and they are to be thought of united into the form of a mustard seed (*sarṣapa*); in this mustard seed, however, the whole universe of the static and the dynamic should be meditated on,—it is also to be thought of as the place of all wisdom—of all the mysteries of knowledge.² This mustard seed, however, represents the Lord himself of the nature of the five wisdoms.³ This small figure of the form of the mustard seed representing the five kinds of winds of the nature of the five elements and the five kinds of wisdom, is

¹ *dakṣiṇād vinīrgato raśmir hutabhūṅ-maṇḍalaṅ ca tat |*
rakta-varṇam idaṁ vyaktaṁ padma-nātho'tra devatā ||
vāmād vinīrgato raśmir vāyu-maṇḍala-saṁjñitah |
harit-śyāma-saṅkāśah karma-nātho'tra devatā ||
dvābhyāṁ vinīrgato raśmiḥ pīta-varṇo mahādyutiḥ |
mahendra-maṇḍalaṅ caitad ratna-(nā)tho'tra devatā ||
stabdhō manda-pracaras tu sita-kunde-ndu-sannibhaḥ |
maṇḍalam varuṇaṁ caitad vajra-nātho'tra devatā ||
sarva-dehā-nugo vāyuh sarva-ceṣṭā-pravartakah |
vairocana-svabhāvo'sau mṛtakāyād viniścaret ||

Quoted in the *Pañca-krama*, MS. p. 16(B).

² *nāsā-gre sarṣapaṁ cintet sarṣape sa-carā-caram |*
bhāvayet jñāna-padaṁ ramyaṁ rahuṣyaṁ jñāna-kalpitam ||
pañca-varṇaṁ mahā-ratnaṁ sarṣapa-sthūla-mātrakam |
nāsikā-gre prayatnena bhāvayed yogataḥ sadā ||

Ibid., MS. p. 16(A).

³ *pañca-jñānamayaṁ tattvaṁ sarṣapa-sthūla-mātrakam |*
tasya madhye sthito devo hy-avyakto vyakta-rūpavān ||
Caturdevī-pariprechā-vyākhyā-tantra, quoted in the
Pañca-krama, MS. p. 16(A).

meditated on in the region of *nāsāgra* and itself is called *prāṇāyāma*.¹ In many places of the *Śrī-Samāja* we find mention of this theory of the five kinds of winds of the nature of the five elements and the five *jñānas*, which are to be meditated on in the region between the brows in the form of the mustard seed.² It may be noted in this connection that in the Hindu texts also we find five kinds of vital wind, viz., *prāṇa*, *apāna*, *samāna*, *vyāna* and *udāna* and in the *Maitrāyaṇī Upaniṣad* we find that before the world of the animate was created Prajāpati (the creator of all beings) looked on his creation and found all around that his objects of creation were stones, mindless, lifeless, stark as stocks; he then reflected,—“I will enter within”, but as one, he could not animate them and, therefore, divided himself in five and hence he is named by five names, viz., *prāṇa*, *apāna*, *samāna*, *vyāna* and *udāna*.³ We also find in this connection that the five senses are but the five lustres from within.⁴

The *japa* of the Mantras, according to the *Pañca-krama*, does not mean the mere chanting or the muttering of the Mantra; it is, as it is with the school of Mantra-yoga, a yogic process for controlling the vital wind with the help of the Mantras. In Mantra-yoga some Mantras (as for example ‘om’ containing within it the parts ‘a’, ‘u’ and ‘m’) are divided conveniently into three parts, and these three parts are associated with the three parts of the process of *prāṇāyāma*, viz., *Pūraka*, *Kumbhaka* and *Recaka*. Thus here in the *Pañca-krama* the *japa-mantra* is *om āḥ hum* and to mutter them in the yogic process according to

¹ *pañca-jñānamayaṁ śvāsam pañca-bhūta-svabhāvakaṁ |
nīścarya padma-nāsā-gre piṇḍa-rūpeṇa kalpayet ||
pañca-varṇaṁ mahā-ratnaṁ prāṇāyāmam iti smṛtam |
sva-mantraṁ hṛdaye dhyātvā cittam bindu-gutaṁ nyāsed ||*

Samājo-ttara quoted in the *Pañca-krama*, MS. p. 16(A).

² *Śrī-guhyā-samāja*, (G.O.S.) pp. 15, 25.

³ Ch. II, Ed. by E. B. Cowell, pp. 26-28.

⁴ *Ibid.*, pp. 84-85.

their meaning is called *vajra-jāpa*.¹ What is then the meaning of this Mantra *om āḥ hum*? It is said, the 'om' creates all beings, 'āḥ' preserves them and 'hum' destructs them; in Yoga, therefore, 'om' will mean the inhalation, 'āḥ' the suspension and 'hum' the exhalation.² Thus the *vajra-jāpa* seems to be nothing but *prāṇāyāma* associated with the Mantra for the control of the vital wind and the *Pañca-krama-ṭippanī* makes it very clear that the *vajra-jāpa* is nothing but the process for controlling the vital wind and to control the vital wind is to control the mind, and to control the mind and to destroy it is to realise the essencelessness of the *dharmas*.³ In the commentary of the *Caryā-padas* we find occasional mention of this *vajra-jāpa* and it seems from the references that *vajra-jāpa* was regarded as indispensable for the Yoga for the attainment of the *Anuttara-samādhi* or the ultimate state of extinction or rather absorption in the *Mahā-sukha*. Some other well-known Mantras of Buddhism were also thus given some kind of yogic interpretation. Of these an important Mantra is, *om maṇipadme hum*. *Padma* is well-known from the Upaniṣadic period as the symbol of the generative energy and as such it often means female or the female organ; *maṇi* or diamond suggests its analogy with the masculine element,—and thus the Mantra *om maṇipadme hum* may be interpreted as symbolising the sexo-yogic practice of Tāntric Buddhism.⁴

In a song of Bhusuka-pāda this vital wind, compared to a mouse, has itself been spoken of as *citta* and

¹ *om āḥ hum arthā-nugama-jāpena niḥsvabhāvena cāruṇā | vicāraṇāt pañca-buddhebhya vajra-jāpaḥ sa ucyate ||*

Pañca-krama, MS. p. 17(A).

² *om-kāraḥ saṁsrjet sattvān buddha-rūpā-gra-kalpitaṁ | hum-kāraḥ saṁharet sattvān āḥ-kāraḥ sthāpako bhavet || praveśaś ca sthitiś caiva vyutthānaś ca kramaṇa ca | jāpet mantraṁ abhinnā-ṅgaṁ prajño-pāya-pade sthitaḥ ||*

Ibid., MS. p. 18(A).

³ MS. (BN. Sans. Nos. 65, 66) p. 88(A).

⁴ See J.R.A.S., 1906. See also Hasting's *Encyclopaedia of Religion and Ethics*, p. 555.

it has emphatically been declared that this mouse is the cause of all our existence,—the duty of the Yogin is to kill this mouse with instructions from the preceptor.¹ In another song of Kāṇhu-pāda we find it put in an enigmatic metaphor, 'Kāṇhu has killed the mother-in-law and the sister-in-laws of the house and killing the mother also has become the Kāpāli'.² The mother-in-law (*śāsu*) here represents the vital wind of the nature of the mind and the sister-in-law (*nananda*) represents the wind that is responsible for the sense-perceptions of sight, etc. (*cakṣur-indriy-ādi-vijñāna-vātam*, Comm.) and the mother (*māa*) represents the world illusion (*māyā*), and in the *Pañca-krama* the vital wind has been spoken of as the *māyā* which is responsible for the world-illusion.³

In the *Dohākoṣas* we find frequent references to this arrest of the vital wind and of the control of the mind therewith. Thus it is said, 'He who holds fast his vital wind and does not allow the mind to move a bit, casts away the fire of time very easily. Saraha says,—rub the two nerves, the sun and the moon, into one.'⁴ Again it is said,—'Leave off this horse of the mind and the vital wind; he who does it is firmly established in the *Sahaja*-nature.'⁵ With the instruction of the preceptor arrest the vital wind through the constant arrest of mind. When this vital wind is made steady what can time or death do of the Yogin?⁶ Kāṇhu-pāda also says in a *Dohā*,—"If the door for the going in of the vital wind be firmly locked up and if in the abyss of darkness the Bodhi-mind be kept as the light, then the jewel of Jina touches the void above and attains *nirvāṇa* through the enjoyment of life in the world."⁷

¹ Song No. 21.

² Song No. 11.

³ *Pañca-krama*, *Svādhiṣṭhāna-krama*, p. 27(A).

⁴ Collection of *Dohās* of Saraha (Dr. P. C. Bagchi's Edition).

⁵ *Dohākoṣa* of Saraha, *Dohā* No. 45.

⁶ *Ibid.*, No. 66.

⁷ *Dohākoṣa* of Kāṇhu-pāda, *Dohā* No. 22.

The fifth part of the Yoga is *dhāraṇā*; in this stage all the functions of the senses are stopped and the jewel of vital wind is held fast in the lotus between the eyebrows. This *dhāraṇā* leads to the realisation of five omens or signs. The first sign is like a mirage, the second is like smoke, the third is like the fire-fly, the fourth like a bright light and the fifth is effulgent like the cloudless sky.¹

The next part of Yoga, *anusmṛti*, is the remembrance of the realisation of the previous stages. The next part, *Samādhi*, is described as the accumulation of and the meditation on all the existence in the form of a circular mass (*bimba*) produced through *Prajñā* and *Upāya* and the quick attainment of knowledge through that meditation. Through *pratyāhāra* the Yogin is established in all the Mantras, through *dhyāna* he attains the fivefold transcendental or supernatural knowledge or faculty (*pañcā-bhijñatva*)²; through *prāṇāyāma* is attained Bodhisattvahood, through the strength of *dhāraṇā* Vajrasattvahood; and through *anusmṛti* the *Sādhaka* enters the circle of effulgence (*prabhā-maṇḍala*) and through *samādhi* he becomes free from all the veils (*āvaraṇa*).

The flow of Bodhicitta is to be arrested through some process of Haṭha-yoga called *mudrā*, *bandha* and *āsana* and the *prāṇāyāma* (the process of breath-control); and it is held that through the proper practice of these processes the flow of Bodhicitta can be controlled by the Yogin under all circumstances.³

¹ For a detailed study of these signs see *Obscure Religious Cults* of the present writer.

² The five *Abhijñās* are:—(i) *Iddhi-vidhā* or *Iddhi-ppabheda* (the different magical powers), (ii) *Dibba-sotaṃ* (divine ear), (iii) *Parassa Cetopariyāñānaṃ*, or *Paracitta-vijānaṃ* (knowledge of the thoughts of others), (iv) *Pubbenivāsā-nussatiñānaṃ* (knowledge of the former existences), (v) *Dibba-cakkhu* (the divine eye). A sixth is also often added, viz., *Āsava-kkhaya-kara-ñānaṃ* (the knowledge which causes the destruction of human passions).

³ In these *mudrās* and *bandhas* the main thing seems to be the acquisition of the capacity for the contraction and expansion of some of the muscles and nerves associated with the root of the

Now after the Bodhicitta is produced and its downward flow arrested, the Bodhicitta must march upward to reach the final stage in the *Uṣṇīṣa-kamala*. With this raising of the Bodhicitta from the navel to the lotus in the cerebrum through the different *Cakras* we may compare the Mahāyāna conception of the

penis as well as the anus and with this control of the muscles and the nerves is often associated the question of breath-control. Among these *mudrās* the most important seem to be the *Vajrolī*, *Sahajolī* and *Amorolī* described in details in the *Haṭhayoga-pradīpikā*. Among the other *mudrās* the *Khecari-mudrā*, the *Mahā-mudrā*, the *Āsvini-mudrā*, the *Vajronī-mudrā* (*Gheraṇḍa-saṁhitā*, 8/45-47), the *Yoni-mudrā*, etc., are very important. In the description of these *mudrās* and *bandhas* we find it repeatedly declared that if these *mudrās* are rightly practised, the flow of semen can be checked at any stage under any condition. The *bandhas* are also the contraction and tying up, as it were, of many of the muscles and nerves. Among them the *Uddiyāna-bandha*, the *Jālandhara-bandha*, the *Mūla-bandha*, the *Mahā-bandha*, the *Mahā-vedha*, etc. are described to be very effective for the purpose.

But in this yogic practice of the arrest of the downward flow of semen and of giving it an upward flow to make it reach the *Uṣṇīṣa-kamala* and make it motionless there the control of the different kinds of the vital wind is absolutely necessary. There is a very close relation between the motion of semen, the vital wind and the *citta* and the relation is so intimate that the arrest of any one of them will stop the course of the other two. It has been said that the *bindu* will attain exactly the same state as the vital wind, and both of them move and stop in perfect correspondence (*Amṛta-siddhī*). So, for the purpose of arresting the flow of the Bodhicitta the vital wind must also be checked. For all these purposes the two nerves in the right and the left require to be purified. For this purification of the *Nādis* the yogin should first take in the vital breath (*prāṇa*) through the moon (*i.e.*, the *Iḍā* or the nerve in the left) and after the suspension of the breath within for some time (*i.e.*, after *Kumbhaka*) should exhale the breath through the sun (*i.e.*, *Piṅgalā* or the nerve in the right); then again he should inhale the breath through the sun and after *Kumbhaka* exhale it through the moon; the nerves are sure to be purified through this process. After this the yogin should practise to suspend the vital breath within and instead of regulating it either in the left or in the right nerve should try to regulate it along the middle nerve. In the *Heruka-tantra* we find the same process described for the purification of the nerves as well as for the attainment of full control over breath (5th *paṭala*). The most important of the processes for the arrest of the flow of Bodhicitta is the *Kumbhaka* or the suspension of the vital wind, both *Prāṇa* and *Apāna*. *Prāṇa* is the wind that moves upward, and *Apāna* is the wind that moves downward, and generally the disturbed semen within the body is discharged through the downward motion of *Apāna*; but if this

march of the Bodhicitta through the ten stages after its production. Again we find in the Hindu Tantras that the world-force (*kula-kunḍalinī śakti*), residing in the lowest *Cakra*, coiled like a serpent, is to be raised from its latency and taken to the *Sahasrāra* through the various *Cakras* with the help of yogic processes. In the Tāntric Buddhist school also there is the question of raising the Śakti in connection with raising the Bodhicitta. It is said that when the Bodhicitta is produced in the navel region the goddess Caṇḍālī is also awakened, as it were, in the *Nirmāṇa-cakra*. When she is awakened the moon situated in the forehead begins to pour nectar and this nectar rejuvenates

Apāna is arrested, the Bodhicitta cannot go downward, and if *Prāṇa* is arrested, it cannot go upward, and, therefore, when both are arrested or made passive, it can neither go upward nor go downward, but becomes motionless like the motionless air within.

Cf. aha na gamai ūha na jāi |

beṇi-rahia tasu niccala thāi ||

bhaṇai kīṃha maṇa kahavi na phuṭṭai |

niccala pavāṇa gharīṇi ghare vaṭṭai ||

Dohakoṣa of Kāṇhu-pāda, Dohā No. 18.

Cf. Also the Comm. :—adha na gacchaty apāna-vāyora nirodhāt, ūrdhvan na gacchati prāṇa-vāyora nirodhāt | dvābhyām ūrdhva-dhaḥ prāṇa-pānābhyām rahitam parityaktam tasya tathā-rūpeṇa bodhicittam nirasya tiṣṭhati'ti ||

In the commentary of the *Marma-kalikā-tantra* we find that the flow of the Bodhicitta must be checked by *Aṅga-nyāsa* and *Kara-nyāsa*. The *aṅga* is explained as the *Apāna* wind (MS. p. 23A) and the *kara* is explained as the *Prāṇa* wind (*kaṃ mahāsukha-cakra-rati gṛhṇāti'ti karaḥ prāṇa-vāyuh*, p. 24A) and thus *Aṅga-nyāsa* and *Kara-nyāsa* mean the regulation of the *Prāṇa* and the *Apāna* winds. In a song of *Kukkuri-pāda* we find,—“The milk of the two teats cannot be (*i.e.*, is very difficult to be) held in the milk-pot; the tamarind of the tree is eaten up by the crocodile” (Song No. 2). The implication seems to be that the novice yogins cannot arrest the flow of Bodhicitta in the navel region by a full control over the two nerves (which are compared to the teats of the cow, and the *Maṇipūra* in the navel being compared to the milk-pot). But expert yogins control Bodhicitta (which is compared to the tamarind, the body being the tree) with the help of the suspension of the vital breath or *Kumbhaka* (which is said to be the *Kumbhīra*). Again it is said, “The mother-in-law has fallen asleep and the daughter-in-law awakes.” (Song No. 2). Here also the mother-in-law (*sasurā*) represents the vital wind *śvāsa* and when she is asleep, *i.e.*, when the vital wind is completely suspended, the daughter-in-law (*vahuḍī*), representing the *Avadhūtikā*, awakes.

and transubstantiates the body of the Yogin. This goddess Caṇḍālī, variously known as *Ḍombī*, *Yoginī*, *Sahaja-sundarī*, *Nairātmā* (or *Nairāmaṇī*) is variously described in various Tantras, Dohās and songs. In the process of rising from the lowest *Cakra* to the highest she transforms herself variously, causing new realisations to the *Sādhaka*. From all that is said about her nature it seems that she is of the nature of a strong internal perception—something like a force of the nature of intense bliss produced through the esoteric yogic practice. As the nature of this Śakti, as conceived in the Buddhist Tantras, Dohās and songs has been studied in detail by the present writer in another work,¹ he does not propose to repeat the point here.

Another important point, noticeable in this connection, is the regulation of the Bodhicitta through the middle path. We have seen that the philosophical schools within Mahāyāna were all, or at least professed to be, followers of the middle path inasmuch as all of them declared that the final state is neither a state of *Bhava* nor a state of *nirvāṇa*,—but it transcends or synthesises both. This Mahāyānic principle has been adopted by the Tāntric Buddhists in their practical Yoga in regulating the Bodhicitta through the middle path (*i.e.*, the middle nerve *Avadhūtikā*) avoiding the two extremes which are represented by the two nerves in the right and the left. This principle of adopting the middle path in the *Sādhana* has variously (and often very poetically) been explained in the Tantras, Dohās and songs ; but as this point has also been dealt elaborately elsewhere,² it need not be repeated here.

(iv) *The Four Mudrās, Four Moments And The Four Kinds of Bliss.*

In this production of the Bodhicitta four stages, associated with the four *Cakras* or lotuses, are dis-

¹ *Obscure Religious Cults*, Chapter IV.

² *Op. cit.*

tinctly marked, and on the basis of these four stages we find mention of four *Mudrās*, four moments (*kṣaṇa*) and four kinds of bliss. The four *Mudrās* are : *Karma-mudrā*, *Dharma-mudrā*, *Mahā-mudrā* and *Samaya-mudrā*; the four moments are : *Vicitra*, *Vipāka*, *Vimarda* and *Vilakṣaṇa* ; and the four kinds of bliss are : *Ānanda*, *Paramā-nanda*, *Viramā-nanda* and *Sahajā-nanda*. The *Mudrās* here are practically the different stages of the *Sādhanā*. In the small text entitled *Catur-mudrā* we find that these four stages in the *Sādhanā* and the resulting realisations are metaphorically described as the four *Mudrās* (or great women) of the *Sādhaka*. Here *Karma-mudrā* is explained as the physical yogic process of the *Sādhanā* (including the production of the Bodhicitta, arrest of the flow of Bodhicitta, giving it the upward motion, etc.) ; the realisation here is of sensual pleasure (*ānanda*) and, therefore, it only resembles the *Sahaja*-bliss very faintly. The knowledge produced here is also not the pure knowledge, it only resembles perfect knowledge very faintly by analogy. Tillo-pāda says in a *Dohā* that the *Karma-mudrā* should never be depreciated, for this is the yogic practice for the realisation of the four moments and pleasure.¹ *Dharma-mudrā* is said to be of the nature of the *dharmadhātu* (i.e., the ultimate element of the *dharmas*), non-phenomenal (*niṣ-prapañca*), free from thought-constructions (*nirvikalpa*), natural (*a-kṛtrima*), uncreate, of the nature of compassion and it produces *Paramā-nanda* (great bliss). It seems that when the Bodhicitta is made to flow upwards through the middle nerve *Avadhūtī*, a knowledge, associated with a realisation of bliss, of the ultimate element underlying all the elements of earth, water, fire, air and ether is obtained and the *Sādhanā* of this stage is called the *Dharma-mudrā*.² When the Bodhicitta moves still more upwards, a transcendental-

¹ *Dohā* No. 25.

² *Vide Advaya-vajra-saṅgraha* (G.O.S.), pp. 83-84.

knowledge and realisation is produced and it is called the *Mahā-mudrā*. It is described as essenceless, free from the veils of subjectivity (*jñeyā-varaṇa*) and the passions (*kleśā-varaṇa*); it is like the clear mid-day sky of the autumn, the origin of all wealth, the unity of *bhava* and *nirvāṇa*, a supportless body of compassion,—the embodiment of *Mahāsukha*. The *Samaya-mudrā* (the *Mudrā* of knowledge) is the *Mudrā* per excellence—it is perfect bliss, perfect knowledge. We have seen that in the *Samputikā* as well as in the *Hevajra-pañjikā* *Karma-mudrā* has been placed in the *Nirmāṇa-cakra*, *Dharma-mudrā* in the *Dharma-cakra*, *Mahā-mudrā* in the *Sambhoga-cakra* and *Samaya-mudrā* in the *Mahāsukha-cakra*.¹ From this it appears that *Karma-mudrā* represents the totality of the process and the resulting bliss and knowledge when the Bodhicitta remains in the *Nirmāṇa-cakra*, *Dharma-mudrā* is similarly the process, resulting bliss and knowledge when the Bodhicitta is raised to the *Dharma-cakra*, *Mahā-mudrā* similarly refers to the Bodhicitta in the *Sambhoga-cakra* and *Samaya-mudrā* to the Bodhicitta in the *Mahāsukha-cakra*.²

Let us now discuss the nature of the four kinds of bliss, viz., (1) *Ānanda*, (2) *Paramā-nanda*, (3) *Viramā-nanda* and (4) *Sahajā-nanda*. *Ānanda* is the bliss when the Bodhicitta is in the *Nirmāṇa-kāya*, *Paramā-nanda* in the *Dharma-cakra*, *Viramā-nanda* in the *Sambhoga-cakra* and *Sahajā-nanda* in the *Mahāsukha-kāya*. About the nature of these four kinds of bliss the *Hevajra-tantra* says that *Ānanda* means ordinary transient pleasure, *Paramā-nanda* is more intense, *Viramā-nanda* means the detachment from the worldly pleasure and *Sahajā-nanda* is the final bliss. The first involves an element of tactual perception, the second is a step towards pure bliss, the third is for the destruc-

¹ Vide *Samputikā*, MS. (R.A.S.B., No. 4854) p. 10(A);
Hevajra-pañjikā, MS. p. 2(B).

² Cf. also *Sekoddeśa-ṭīkā* (G.O.Ś.), p. 62 et seq.

tion of all attachment and the fourth is the final. Again *Paramā-nanda* is called *bhava* or existence in the world of birth and death, and as *Viramā-nanda* is said to be of the nature of detachment, it represents *nirvāṇa*; and *Sahajā-nanda* is neither *bhava* nor *nirvāṇa*, it transcends both.¹ Again it is said that *Ananda* is like a resemblance of the world, i.e., here the *Sādhaka* gets only a glimpse of the world; *Paramā-nanda* is the provisional world, *Viramā-nanda* represents the world, but *Sahaja* remains in neither of these three.²

In the *Sekoddeśa-ṭīkā* we find that *Ananda* is the pleasure of passion which disturbs the mind; *Paramā-nanda* is the realisation of full bliss when the Bodhi-citta reaches the forehead; the next stage is the stage of *Viramā-nanda* when nectar oozes from the moon in the forehead. Even in this stage of *Viramā-nanda* the *Sādhaka* retains his consciousness of the egohood, i.e., the realisation of the bliss in this stage is associated with some sort of self-consciousness; but this self-consciousness is totally lost in the state of *Sahajā-nanda* where the knower and the knowable are lost in the oneness of perfect bliss. Of the sixteen digits of the moon the first five represent *Ananda*, up to the tenth is *Paramā-nanda*, up to the fifteenth is *Viramā-nanda* and the sixteenth represents *Sahajā-nanda*. Again,

¹ ānandena sukhaṁ kiñcit paramā-nandaṁ tato'dhikam |
viramā-nando virāgaḥ syāt sahajā-nandaṁ tu śeṣataḥ ||
prathamam sparśa-krāntaṁca dvitīyaṁ sukha-vāñchayā |
tṛtīyaṁ rāga-nāśatvāc caturthaṁ tena bhāvuyate ||
paramā-nandaḥ bhavaṁ proktaṁ nirvāṇaṁ ca virāgataḥ |
madhyamā-nanda-mātran tu sahajam ebhir vivarjitam ||

Hevajra-tantra.

Cf. also *Sekoddeśa-ṭīkā* (G.O.S.), pp. 54-55.

² prathamā-nanda-mātran tu paramā-nandaṁ dvisamkhyataḥ |
tṛtīyaṁ viramā-khyaṁ ca caturthaṁ sahajam smṛtam ||
* * * * *

prathamā-nandaṁ jagad-rūpaṁ paramā-nandaṁ jagat tathā |
viramā-nandaṁ jagac caiva na vidyeta sahajam triṣu ||

Ibid., p. 27(B).

Cf. also the commentary.

O.P. 105—25

corresponding to the four stages, *viz.*, the state of wakefulness (*jāgrat*), dream (*svapna*), deep sleep (*susupta*) and the fourth or the final state (*turīya*), we have the four elements, *viz.*, body (*kāya*), speech (*vāk*), mind (*citta*) and knowledge (*jñāna*); we have four kinds of bliss in each of these states and thus the actual number of the kinds of bliss becomes sixteen in all.¹

Closely associated with these four kinds of *Ānanda* is the theory of the four moments or four distinct stages in the realisation of the *Sahaja*. These are, as we have seen, *Vicitra*, *Vipāka*, *Vimarda* and *Vilakṣaṇa*. *Vicitra* is the moment when the realisation of pleasure is attended with various kinds of emotions resulting from physical union. *Vipāka* is the moment when the bliss is matured to knowledge; *Vimarda* is a higher moment when the realisation of the bliss is attended with the consciousness of the ego as the realiser of the bliss; *Vilakṣaṇa* is the moment when the realisation transcends all these three and the realisation of the bliss is free from any emotion of attachment or detachment. In the *Vicitra* moment there is the realisation of *Ānanda*, in the *Vipāka* *Paramā-nanda*, in the *Vimarda* *Viramā-nanda* and in the *Vilakṣaṇa* *Sahajā-nanda*.²

This theory of moments and the four kinds of bliss is very important from the standpoint of Yoga; for the lay Yogin who will not be able to distinguish between the one moment from the other and one kind of bliss from the other, may take even gross sensuality to be *Sahaja*-bliss or *Mahāsukha*; but that is practi-

¹ G.O.S., pp. 26-27.

² *vicitraṃ ca vipākaṃ ca vimardo vilakṣaṇas tathā |
catuḥ kṣaṇaṃ samāgamyā evaṃ jānanti yogīnaḥ ||
vicitraṃ vividhaṃ khyātam ālīngana-cumbanā-dikam |
vipākaṃ tad-viparyāsaṃ sukha-jñānasya bhūñjanam ||
vimardam ālocanaṃ proktaṃ sukhaṃ bhuktaṃ mayeti ca |
vilakṣaṇaṃ tribhyo'nyatra rāgā-rāga-vivarjitam ||
vicitre prathamā-nandaḥ paramā-nando vipākake (?) |
viramā-nando vimardaḥ ca sahajā-nando vilakṣaṇe ||*

Hevajra-tantra, MS. p. 89(A).

But cf. *Seka-nirṇaya* of *Advaya-vajra-saṃgraha* (G.O.S.), p. 28.

cally adopting the path to hell. It is for this reason that the four moments and the four kinds of bliss must be very clearly distinguished. The *Hevajra-tantra* says that a perfect knowledge of the bliss follows only from a perfect knowledge of the moments.¹ Tillo-pāda says in one of his Dohās, “He who knows the distinction of the different moments and the different kinds of bliss becomes a real Yogin in this very life”.²

To understand the esoteric Yoga of the Tāntric Buddhists let us now sum up the whole discussion. We said in the beginning of this chapter that Mahāsukha or great bliss is the Absolute of the Tāntrikas. This Mahāsukha in its state of Absolute is motionless and changeless; it materialises itself in the gradual process of change. The lowest *Cakra* of the body (whether the *Nirmāṇa-cakra* of the Buddhists or the *Mūlādhāra-cakra* of the Hindus) is the material plane and Mahāsukha remains here in its grossly physical form—it is the sex-pleasure; and in this plane Bodhicitta is the physical *bindu* (seed); through further downward motion the *bindu* becomes transformed into the physical body. But as the *bindu* marches up from the physical plane to higher and higher planes it casts off its physical nature and approximates its original nature as the motionless and changeless; this motionless and changeless Mahāsukha in the highest plexus is the Absolute in which the self and the not-self merge. The *bindu* in the *Nirmāṇa-cakra* is composed of earth, water, fire, air and ether; but when the *bindu* in its upward march leaves the *Nirmāṇa-cakra*, the element of earth merges itself in water, and in this way, with further march of the Bodhicitta, the element of water merges itself in fire, fire in air and air in *citta*, and thus in the highest plane, *i.e.*, *Uṣṇīṣa-kāmala* it becomes pure effulgence. When this

¹ ānandās tatra jāyante kṣaṇa-bhedena bheditaḥ |
kṣaṇa-jñānāt sukha-jñānam evamkāre pratiṣṭhitam ||
Hevajra-tantra, MS. p. 89(A).

² kṣaṇa-ānanda-bheu jo jānai |
so iha jammahi joi bhañijjai || (No. 28).

bindu as pure effulgence becomes perfectly motionless in the highest plexus the *Sādhaka* attains a transubstantiated divine body with divine (immaterial) eyes and ears; he thus becomes omniscient and all-pervading and thus becomes the Buddha Himself.¹

¹ *Skhoddeśa-ṅkā* (G.O.S.), p. 7, also, p. 45.

CHAPTER VI

THE ARGUMENT OF THE TĀNTRIC BUDDHISTS IN DEFENCE OF THEIR YOGA

In the previous chapters we have tried to give an exposition of the theories and practices of the Tāntric Buddhists. The *rationale* of the sexo-yogic practice of the Tāntric Buddhists is to be found in what has been described and discussed above. We do not think that any further argument is necessary in defence. But it seems that, because of the unconventional nature of their practices, these Tāntric Buddhists were sometimes over-conscious about the justifiability of their practices and advanced various arguments in defence of these practices. It will, therefore, not be without interest to state and examine the main arguments of these Tāntrikas as we find them in the various Tantras. These arguments, as is the case with their other arguments, are not found in the texts in a systematised form, they are rather to be culled from the texts. But two works are found mainly, though not entirely, devoted to offering something like a philosophical explanation of these practices; the first is the *Citta-viśuddhi-prakarāṇa* of Āryadeva and the second is the *Tattva-siddhi* of Śānta-rakṣita.¹

The main argument, to start with, is that to pass any ethical judgment on the nature of an action, it should always be remembered that an action, of whatsoever nature may it be, is by itself neither moral nor immoral; in its non-relational absolute nature it is purely colourless, and hence in itself it has got no value, that being always relative. Thus the moral, immoral and non-moral nature of an action is to be determined

¹ MS. (C.L.B.), No. 18124.

by the effect it produces in relation to the general scheme of life. To be strictly ethical, it is not even the effect that determines the nature of an action,—it is rather the motive behind that speaks either for or against it. The main emphasis of the Tāntric Buddhists seems to be on this vital point of ethics. If it be the motive behind the action, and not the action itself, that determines the nature of an action, any and every action in the form of some religious practice is to be justified, provided, the motive behind is nothing but the attainment of some religious fulfilment. The ultimate goal of the Tāntric Buddhists is the realisation of *Mahāsukha* in the form of perfect enlightenment, which tantamounts to the realisation of the *Vajra-nature* or the *Sahaja-nature* of the self and the *dharmas*. With a view to attaining this final goal they adopted a particular *sexo-yogic* practice. If we are to judge this practice by the motive which impels them to have recourse to it—and not by the commonly accepted nature and value of the action—we shall have nothing to say against it. If the motive or rather the perspective be not pure, they will be like fools building their house on the sands and great will be their fall in the dark abyss of the cycle of birth and death. This path of Yoga with sex-relation has frequently been spoken of as a very easy path for the attainment of success, as it is the most *natural path*, being in consonance with our natural human tendencies and involving no unnatural strain of continual repression. At the same time it has also been repeatedly reminded at every step that it is also a very dangerous path of Yoga; for, everything depends on the purity of the *citta*.

In the *Citta-viśuddhi-prakaraṇa* of Āryadeva we find a short ethical discussion on the nature of sin. There it is said that the mind is the real agent of all actions—nay, it is the antecedent factor of the *dharmas*, it is the most important, it is the quickest; it is through the pleasure and displeasure of the mind that our speech

and actions follow.¹ It is, therefore, that the *citta* is solely responsible for the ethical nature of an action.²

Thus the intention behind an action gives an action a moral or immoral colouring, and as this principle has got its sanction in the Scriptures, no pious man can have any objection to it.³ Then the author goes on to say that the Yogin, who has made a 'god' of himself by the universalisation of the self, and all of whose activities are prompted by a spirit of benevolence towards the world, attains liberation by the enjoyment of objects, and never is he bound down by any such enjoyment. As a man versed in the science of poison knows poison as poison and then swallows some quantity of it and yet he never falls swooning thereby, on the other hand becomes cured of diseases, so also is the case with an expert Yogin, who attains liberation through enjoyment.⁴

A general consideration of the trend of argument of the Tāntric Buddhists will show that their main stress is on the point that no action duly performed with

¹ *manahpūrvanīgamā dharmā manahśreṣṭhā manojabāh |
manasā hi prasannena bhāṣate vā karoti vā || Verse 10.*

This verse is nothing but the Sanskritised form of the two opening verses of the Pāli text *Dhamma-pada* which run thus:—

*manopubbaṅgamā dhammā manoseṭṭhā manomayā |
manasā ce paduṭṭhena bhāṣati vā karoti vā |
tato'naṃ dukkha-manveti cakkāṇ'va vahato padaṇṇi ||
manopubbaṅgamā dhammā manoseṭṭhā manomayā |
manasā ce pasannena bhāṣati vā karoti vā |
tato'naṃ sukhamanveti chāyā va anapāyini || Verses 1-2.*

² The author takes an instance here. An old man was directed by a slumbering monk to go quickly to a place; because of the rapidity of motion the old fellow had a fall and he died; now apparently the sin of causing death to the old man seems to devolve on the slumbering monk; but as the intention of the monk in ordering the old man to hasten to a particular place was very good, he should not be held morally responsible for the death of the old man and thus he commits no sin. The authority of the *Vinaya* is also referred to here which holds that unintentional causing of death is no sin on the part of a man possessing no wicked mind. Verses 11-18.

³ *tasmād āśaya-mūlā hi pāpa-punya-vyavasthitih |
ity uktam āgame yasmān nā'pattiḥ śubha-cetasān ||
Ibid., Verse 15.*

⁴ *Ibid.*, Verses 17-18.

Prajñā and Upāya comes within the scope of our popular code of morality. Prajñā, we have seen, is perfect knowledge of the nature of perfect vacuity about the nature of the self and the *dharmas* ; and Upāya is the spirit of universal compassion, and these two taken together constitute the Bodhicitta. Now, it can very easily be shown from the standpoint of Prajñā or perfect knowledge that there cannot be any provision for morality, and similarly it can also be shown that from the standpoint of Upāya or universal compassion also there cannot be any provision for morality.

We have seen that the Tāntric Buddhists, in unison with the Mahāyānist Buddhists in general, were advocates of an extreme form of idealism. With them the world has no objective basis; everything that appears to exist outside, is nothing but the illusory creation of the subject: It is, indeed, extremely difficult to construct any system of ethics on the ground of this extreme form of idealism. And as a matter of fact the idealistic Buddhists left no scope for morality. It has been declared by Nāgārjuna in unequivocal terms that the value of morality is always provisional, there being no objective basis for it whatsoever. The whole universe of good and bad, right and wrong, being a mere creation of the *citta*, the world of morality has only subjective value and as such is always provisional. This extreme form of subjectivism has often been emphasised by the Tāntric Buddhists in connection with the ethical questions pertaining to their practices. The *citta* or mind being the ultimate ground of all creation, the nature of the *citta* will determine the nature of all appearances in the form of actions ; and so, all actions done with a pure mind cannot but be pure even if sex-relation is involved in them. In the *Jñāna-siddhi* of Indra-bhūti we find an interesting discussion on this topic of virtue and vice. It is pointed out that contradictory statements and injunctions are to be met with in the Tāntric texts ; some actions are described somewhere as virtuous and

vicious in other places. How then to reconcile these contradictory statements? It has been replied that in reality there is nothing virtuous and nothing vicious. Virtue and vice depend on the condition of the *citta*. There are three elements (*dhātu*) which generally combine together for the performance of an action ; these are body (*kāya*), speech (*vāk*) and mind (*manas*). Of the three, body has no power to do anything without mind ; speech is also never possible without mind ; so, it stands that it is the *citta* that is doing all good and bad through body and speech.¹ How then to define virtue and vice? It is said, whatever is done with a view to doing good to the world is right or virtuous, and whatever is done with any other motive is a sin.² All the right and wrong are created by the *citta* and it is through the *citta* again that they are all destroyed. Charity is one of the universally recognised moral virtues ; but the mere action of giving produces no virtue unless it is done with a charitable mind ; the virtue of the action of giving depends solely on the attitude of the mind.³ It is finally decided here that there is no other criterion of virtue than the benevolent spirit ; any action prompted by such a spirit is moral, and any action done with a malicious spirit is immoral.⁴

It has been further argued in some of the texts that the Yogin, before he enters into the auspicious circle

¹ Ch. IX, Verses 6-7.

² *hitū-rthān yad bhavet karma sarvaṃ suc-caritaṃ bhavet |
viparyayāt apuṇyaṃ tat pravādanti jino-ttamiṅh ||*
Ibid., Verse 8.

³ *Ibid.*, Verse 15.

⁴ In the tenth chapter of the same work it is said that the conceptions of purity and impurity are mere thought-constructions. There is nothing as purity; for, the very existence of purity will posit its anti-thesis impurity; and if there be no conception of purity at all, there will not be any conception of impurity also. Both the conceptions are relative and are mere imagination of the common run of people (X, 9-10). In the eleventh chapter of the same work we find that a Yogin is justified to adopt any woman for the purpose of his Yoga, no matter in what relation she stands to him; for, all human relations are without any objective truth behind them; they are mere thought-constructions of the common people.

with a view to be initiated in the secret cult of Yoga, must possess a trained mind and perfectly purified body (or rather an immortal body) fit to realise the ultimate void-nature or the *Sahaja*-nature of the self and the *dharmas*. When the mind of a Yogin is thus 'placed in the knowledge of the vacuity or the non-dual knowledge', he cannot have any mental complex of morality and immorality or virtue and vice. To such a man all the *dharmas* appear to be of the same non-dual nature.¹ In the *Yuganaddha-krama* of the *Pañca-krama* it has been said that when the Yogin places himself in the state of *Yuganaddha* or unification he should make no distinction between the self and the enemy, the wife and the daughter, the mother and a public woman, a *Dombī* and a lady belonging to the caste of the twice-born; to him a piece of cloth and the skin of a beast, jewel and the husk of corn, urine and good drink,

Moreover, in the beginningless whirl of birth and death a mother of one life may become the daughter of the other life, and she again in her turn may become a wife in the next birth; so there being no fundamental difference between a woman as the mother and as the daughter and the wife, any one of them may be adopted for the purpose of Yoga. This ocean of world is full of the water of thought-constructions, and the yogic process of the Vajra-yāna is like a raft to take people across the other shore.

It has been said in the *Ekalla-vīra-caṇḍa-mahā-roṣaṇa-tantra* that the Yogin of the Vajra-yāna should have no fear either of heaven or of hell; for there is neither any vice, nor any virtue; all vice and virtue are spoken of only for the satisfaction of the common people. As everything is by nature nothing but the *citta* and as the existence of everything is but momentary, who is there to go to hell and who to go to heaven?

na pāpaṃ vidyate kiñcit na puṇyaṃ kiñcid astiha |
lokānāṃ citta-rakṣāya pāpa-puṇya-vyavasthitih ||
citta-mātraṃ yataḥ sarvaṃ kṣaṇa-mātraṃ yataḥ sthitih |
narakaṃ gacchati ko'sau ko'sau svargaṃ prayāti hi ||

MS. (R.A.S.B., No. 9089) p. 14(A).

¹ It is said in the fifteenth chapter of the *Prajñō-pāya-viniścaya-siddhi* of *Anaṅga-vajra* that in the yogic practices of Vajra-yāna there should be no deliberation as to what kind of a *Mudrā* should be adopted and what not; for, through the Yoga, which leads to the realisation of the magical nature of the universe, one can safely enjoy everything. Everything having its existence in the ultimate non-dual substance (*dharma-dhātu*), nothing can be harmful to Yoga; and, therefore, the Yogin should enjoy everything to his heart's content without the least fear or hesitation. Verses 29-80.

cooked food and waste matter, scented camphor and the bad smell of the impure, praises and scorns—all are of equal value. To him there is no distinction between the fierce God (*i.e.*, Śiva) and the wielder of the thunder (*i.e.*, Indra), between day and night, dream and awakening, the past and the present, happiness and sorrow, any wicked man and the son, hell and heaven, virtue and vice.¹

We find it quoted in the *Subhāṣita-saṃgraha* that whatever easy movements of the limbs are produced through the Bodhicitta, which is pure by nature, are to be recognised as the Mudrās (yogic Mudrās), and all the sound-vibrations are to be recognised as the Mantras. Not only that, in connection with the Sahaja all the varieties of emotions, such as the erotic emotion, heroism, the emotion of scorn, anger, laughter, fear, pity, wonder and quiescence,—the emotions of attachment, hatred, infatuation, pride and jealousy, etc.,—all become pure by nature and all of them reflect the knowledge of vacuity ; for, at basis all these are nothing but the forms of the one *citta* produced through the three elements.²

In the *Hevajra-tantra* the Bodhisattva Vajra-

¹ *yathā'tmani tathā śatrau yathā bhāryā tathā-tmajā |*
yathā mātā tathā veśyā yathā dombi tathā dviḥjā ||
yathā vastram tathā carma yathā ratnani tathā tuṣam |
yathā mūtram tathā medyam yathā bhaktam tathā saḥṛt ||
yathā sugandhi-karpūram tathā gandham amedhyajam |
yathā stutikaram vākyaṃ tathā vākyaṃ jugupsitam ||
yathā rudras tathā vajrī yathā rātris tathā divā |
yathā svapnam tathā dṛṣṭam yathā naṣṭam tathā sthitam ||
yathā saukhyaṃ tathā duḥkhaṃ yathā duṣṭas tathā sutah |
yathā vicis tathā svargās tathā puṇyam tu pāpakam ||

Pañca-krama, MS. p. 88(A).

² *Subhāṣita-saṃgraha*, p. 47. Again it has been said,—foolish people think of liberation (*mokṣa*) as something entirely different from the enjoyment of the world; but whatever there is sublime and great which is heard, seen, smelt, eaten, known and touched, is good all round; all the women are to be known as the thunder-women (*vajra-nārī*), all men are to be recognised as the lords of the yogic circle, the whole drama of the world is to be known as perfectly pure by nature.-

Subhāṣita-saṃgraha, p. 56.

garbha asks the Lord (*bhagavān*) how it may be possible to attain success in Yoga in the company of a woman. In reply the Lord says that in the Prajñā and the Upāya there is neither origination nor destruction ; through perfect knowledge of the world the Yogin first of all should realise the illusory and magical nature of the world, and then he will find that all the theses and anti-theses vanish in the sky. Yoga presupposes this knowledge about the world and the Yoga performed with this kind of knowledge is always above the range of the ordinary codes of morality.¹

Tillo-pāda says in one of his Dohās, " I am void, the world is void,—all the three worlds are void,—in this pure *Sahaja* there is neither sin nor virtue."² The ultimate reality is bereft of both merit and demerit.³

Now, from the standpoint of Upāya or universal compassion we see, that the ordinary standard of morality cannot be applied to a Bodhisattva. For, a Bodhisattva has no right to consider the pros and cons

Cf. also,—*yas tu sarvāṇi kriyāṇi prajñayā viniyojayet |*
so'pi śūnya-pada-yogas tapo hy etam mahātmanām ||
Sarva-deva-samāgama-tantra, quoted in the *Subhāṣita-*
saṅgraha, p. 49.

¹ MS. pp. 35(A)—35(B). In the *Svādhiṣṭhāna-krama* of the *Pañca-krama* we find it prescribed that in Yoga the Yogin first of all should worship himself as the all-pervading perfectly enlightened one; and then the employment of Mantras and Mudrās and the describing of the yogic circle and the performances of offering and sacrifice should all be made as magic; all performances for peace and well-being, and the *Āvhicārika* performances (like subduing or attracting others) should all be made as if they are all like the rainbow in the sky, and all enjoyment like that of sex-intercourse, etc., and songs and sounds, etc., should be made as if they are all like the moon reflected in water.

mantra-mudrā-prayogaṅca maṇḍa(lā)di-vikalpanam |
bali-homa-kriyāṅ sarvāṅ kuryāt māyo-pamāṅ sadā ||
śāntikāṅ pauṣṭikāṅ cā'pi tathā vaśyā-bhicārikāṅ |
ākarsṇā-di yat sarvāṅ kuryāt indrāyudho-pamaṅ ||
śrīgārā-dy-upabhogaṅ ca gīta-vādyā-di-sevanam |
kalāsu ca pravṛttiṅ ca kuryād udaka-candra-vaṅ ||

MS. p. 27(B).

² *hau suṅṅa jagu suṅṅa tihua[ṅa] suṅṅa |*
[ṅimmala sahaje ṅa papa ṅa puṅṅa]

Dohā No. 84.

³ Dohā No. 29.

of any action from his own standpoint, that is to say, he has no right to judge an action as right or wrong only because it produces some good or bad effect on him. The life of a Bodhisattva being pledged for the world at large, he may be required to do something which pleases others but may do him harm. ¹

The main emphasis of the Vajra-yānists is on the point that all the other religious systems are rather

¹ Thus we find in the *Tattva-siddhi*,—if a woman falls passionately in love with a Bodhisattva and if she be about to sacrifice her life for him, it is the bounden duty of the Bodhisattva to save her life by satisfying her. So the Bodhisattva should transgress the law even of the ten kinds of meritorious deed for the sake of others.

*co'ktaṃ bhagavatā śīla-pāṭale / bodhisattvena daśa-kuśalāny api
parā-rthena khaṇḍayitavyāni / yathā kācit kāmā-rthiṇī kāmīni stri
bodhisattvaṃ prati prāṇūn tyajet / tasyaḥ kāmādi-samsevaneṇa
prāṇa-sañcāraṃ kāryam /*

Tattva-siddhi, MS. (C.L.B.) p. 205.

It is for this reason that it has been repeatedly said in many of the texts that there is nothing in the world, which a Bodhisattva-yogin should not do for the sake of the beings. (*Citta-viśuddhi-prakarana*, Verse 33). It has also been repeatedly declared that the only criterion of morality is a sincere will for the good of others. Anything that is harmful to the beings is immoral by nature. Perfect enlightenment has been denied to the orthodox monks belonging to the Hīna-yāna fold, though they strictly observe all the so-called discipline of morality. It is said in the *Guhyendu-tilaka* and other Tantras of the same type,—“There is nothing not to be done by a man whose mind is equipped with Prajñā and Upāya; he should always enjoy the five objects of desire most unhesitatingly. ** ** He, whose Bodhicitta is firm and whose mind is not attached to desires, need not have any doubt or hesitation in this Yoga, his success is guaranteed”.

*nāsti kiñcit akartavyaṃ prañño-pāyena cetasā |
nirvīśaṅkaḥ sadā bhūtvā bhoktavyaṃ pañca-kāmakam ||*
* * * * *

*bodhicittaṃ dṛḍhaṃ yasya niḥsaṅgā ca natir bhavet |
vicikitsā naiva kartavyā tasyedaṃ sidhyate dhruvam ||*

Quoted in the *Tattva-siddhi*, MS. p. 185.

It has been said in the *Upāli-paripṛcchā-sūtra* that the passions of the Bodhisattva proceed out of deep compassion for the beings. Because of the aim and also the knowledge which accompany them they never affect the mind of the Bodhisattva. It is, therefore, said that with the Bodhicitta the Yogin himself becomes a god, such a Yogin need not inflict torture on him through hard penance; let him be the perfectly enlightened one through the enjoyment of bliss. Neither purity, nor rules and regulations, neither penance nor hard works are required for success; success is to be attained through an easy process of pleasure and bliss. (Quoted in the *Tattva-siddhi*, MS. pp. 188-189.)

defective inasmuch as they prescribe the most unnatural repression on the mind of a man. Through the practices of the difficult vows, fastings and hard penances a man may inflict torture upon his body and mind, but through such an unnatural process nothing like final tranquillity of the mind can be attained. The principle of the Tāntric Buddhists in introducing the esoteric yogic practice is much akin to the principle of Homœopathy, viz., *Similia similibus curantur*. It has been found on investigation that the element, which causes a disease under particular circumstances, cures the same disease when applied by an expert physician in a different way, i.e., in a very smaller dilution. The Vajra-yānists will also say, that the very action which binds a man down to the world of infinite misery may help him to attain liberation, if taken from a different perspective, i.e., if taken with the knowledge of the Prajñā and the Upāya. This principle of the Vajra-yānists is found explained in many of the texts in the form of a set of analogies, the main purport of which is, what binds the fool, liberates the wise ; that which may prove fatal to ordinary people may conduce to health and happiness in the case of a scientist, that which drags the uninitiated fool to the hell of debauchery may help the initiated Yogin to attain perfect enlightenment. It has been said in the *Citta-viśuddhi-prakarāṇa* of Āryadeva, "Childish people are pleased with colour and beauty—the mediocre resort to renunciation, the highly enlightened ones, who know the ultimate nature of all form and beauty are liberated."¹ What is real with childish fools is unreal with the Yogins,—through this principle the wise realise the end, they are neither bound down nor liberated (i.e., they realise the state which transcends both bondage and liberation). Only the ignorant think of existence and

¹ *bālā rajyanti rūpeṣu vairāgyaṃ yānti madhyamākḥ |
svabhāva-jñā vimucyante rūpasyo'ttama-buddhayaḥ ||*
Verse 20.

extinction,—the seers of truth think neither of the world nor of nirvāṇa. In this ocean of the world our thought-constructions are the only disturbing elements ; those great saints who have been able to transcend these constructive imaginations are liberated from the bondage of the world.¹ Ordinary people are as much obstructed by the poison of doubt as the really poisoned people are ; the compassionate must eradicate all such doubts and move freely. As a transparent gem is coloured by the colour of other objects, so also is the gem of *citta* coloured by the constructive imaginations,² but by nature this gem of *citta* is free from the colour of imaginations—it is pure from the beginning—uncreate—essenceless and stainless.³ Whatever should not be done by foolish people should very carefully be done by the Yogin whose mind is pure. When women are charmed and enjoyed by a Yogin, whose mind is bent on the good, they conduce to the fulfilment of desires and also to liberation at the same time.⁴ By a methodical meditation on the supreme qualities of the gods a Yogin revels through his passionate mind and is again liberated through the fulfilment of the passions. As a man affected with poison again gets rid of it through poison, as the water in the ear is removed with the help of water poured in the ear, as a thorn is removed with the help of another thorn, in exactly the same manner the great sages get themselves relieved of all the passions with the help of the passions. As the washerman washes and cleanses his clothes with the help of some dirty substance, so should the wise remove the filth of his mind through filth (of enjoyable objects).⁵ As a

¹ *Ibid.*, Verses 23-25.

² *Ibid.*, Verses 26-27.

³ *Ibid.*, Verse No. 28.

⁴ *Ibid.*, Verses 29-30.

⁵ *viṣā-krānto yathā kaścīd viṣeṇaiva tu nirviṣaḥ ||*
karnāj jalaṁ jalenaiva kaṅṭakenaiva kaṅṭakam |
rāgeṇaiva tathā rāgam uddharanti maṇiṣiṇaḥ ||
yathaiva rajako vastraṁ malenaiva tu nirmalam |
kuryād vijñāsa tathā'tmānaṁ malenaiva tu nirmalam ||

Ibid., Verses 36-38.

glass becomes pure and transparent when rubbed with rubbish, in exactly the same manner when something bad is done and enjoyed by the learned, it becomes the destroyer of all wrong. When a lump of iron is thrown into water it certainly sinks down, but when it is shaped as a vessel it not only floats on water, but takes others also to the other shore ; exactly in the same manner, if through the principles of Prajñā and Upāya the mind can be shaped into a vessel, one is not only liberated through the enjoyment of objects but relieves others also.¹ It is well known to all that milk destroys poison(?) ; but the very milk taken by the snake is transformed into strong poison. As the swan drinks milk out of a mixture of milk and water, so also the learned enjoy the poisonous objects of desire, but avoids bondage and attains liberation.² Then the author tries to show that no object of the world and no action whatsoever has got any absolute nature with a beneficial or a pernicious influence. The nature of the *dharmas*, depend mainly on the collocation of cause and conditions. A slight elemental change, or a slight change of condition may change the nature of an object altogether. From this the author draws the conclusion that human passions and the actions prompted by them have got no absolute nature of their own, and as such by themselves they are neither beneficial nor pernicious, neither virtuous nor vicious. As, for instance, poison, when taken in a scientific manner acts like nectar, and even food like buttered cakes, etc., when taken in an unscientific manner by the fool, acts like poison, so also is the case with this *citta*, which, when purified by pure cause and conditions shines bereft of all thought-constructions, bereft of any attachment and is pure by nature.³ As the dim ray of a lamp, when supplied with fresh oil and wick, becomes unflickering

¹ *Ibid.*, Verses 89-41.

² *Ibid.*, Verses 48-44.

³ *Ibid.*, Verses 45-46.

light and removes all darkness, as the small seed of the banyan tree with proper nourishment grows in exuberance with roots, branches, leaves and fruits and becomes a great tree, as the yellow colour in combination with lime undergoes a great change, so also is the element of the *dharma*s which admits of great change in association with Prajñā and Upāya.¹ Clarified butter (*ghṛta*), when taken with honey, has the chemical effect of poison ; but when it is duly taken, it has got a chemical action conducive to health. As copper, rubbed with some chemical substance, becomes pure gold, so the afflictions (*kleśa*), when purified with knowledge, becomes beneficial to men. The followers of the Hīnayāna have their fear of death at every step, but the followers of the Mahāyāna, accepting the religion of compassion and with their mind bent on relieving the whole world and having the bow of Prajñā in their hands, have no fear of anything whatsoever.² As the lotus grows in mud, but is never affected by the defects of mud, so the Yogin is never affected by the vices of imaginative construction and of the desires.³

The *Tattva-siddhi* of Śānta-rakṣita breathes the same spirit as the *Citta-viśuddhi-prakarana*. There also we find that the beauty and objects of the world, when duly enjoyed with the knowledge of the Prajñā and Upāya, must produce some special religious effect (*viśiṣṭa-phala-vāhakā bhavanti*). It is a commonly accepted truth that some special substance has got the capacity of producing some special effect ; as, for instance, the fruit of the Emblic Myrobalan (*āmalaka*),

¹ *yathā vahnih kṛśo'py eṣa taila-vartyā-di-saṃskṛtaḥ |*
dīpo nirmala-niṣkampaḥ sthira-timira-nāśanaḥ ||
vata-bījaṇi yathā sūksmaṃ sahakāra-samanvitam |
śākhā-mūla-phalopetaṃ mahāvṛkṣa-vidhāyakam ||
haridrā-cūrṇa-saṃyogād varṇā-ntaram iti smṛtam |
prajño-pāya-samāyogād dharmā-dhātus tathā viduḥ ||
Ibid., Verses 47-49.

² *Ibid., Verses 50-58.*

³ *pañka-jātaṃ yathā padmaṃ pañka-doṣair na lipyate |*
vikalpa-vāsanā-doṣais tathā yogi na lipyate ||
Ibid., Verse 115.

which grows on earth, has got by nature an astringent taste ; but that very *āmalaka* fruit, when it obtains the additional condition of being run through a process with milk, tastes very sweet. So it is found experimentally that the same thing may have different effects when affected or reformed through other different cause and conditions. If that be true, there cannot be any doubt about the fact that the objects of the world, when properly enjoyed with the yogic practices can produce some special religious effect. In this connection it is reminded that there is no such law that a particular thing will produce the same particular effect under all the varying circumstances ; on the other hand, it is seen that one root-cause may produce entirely different effects under different collocation of conditions. The mysterious capacity of the combination of the cause and conditions is unknown and unthinkable even to the wise.¹ That being the case, it is to be admitted that sex-passions, which generally drag a man down to the level of the beasts, may also raise him to the level of the gods, if, however, these passions are reformed and purified with the knowledge of the *Prajñā* and *Upāya*. The authority of the *Ratna-kūṭa-sūtra* is quoted here, where it has been said that as in the cane-fields or in the paddy-fields fertilisation of the soil becomes of much help for the growth of corn, so also the reformed afflictions become beneficial to the *Bodhisattva*.²

As the forms (*rūpa*) and objects (*dravya*) have no absolute nature of their own, and as their nature depends on the variation of conditions, and as associated with *Prajñā* and *Upāya* they, instead of fettering the mind of a man in the world of misery, can conduce to supreme bliss and final liberation, so also is the case

¹ *acint(y)atvāddhetu-pratyaya-sāmarthyasya sarvavidām |*

MS. p. 184.

² *tad yathā keṣu ikṣu-kṣetreṣu śāli-kṣetreṣu saṁskāra-kūṭa upakāri-bhūto bhavati/evam eva bodhisattvasya kleśa upakāri-bhūto bhavati,*

Quoted in the *Tattva-siddhi*, MS. pp. 187-188.

with the bliss resulting from any kind of tactual sensation (*sparsā-nirjāta-sukha*). As in association with ignorance this bliss may be the cause of bondage and of illusion, in association with Prajñā and Upāya it may again lead one to a transcendental state. The whole thing depends on the motive or the mental attitude or the resolution (*sañkalpa*). If the mental resolution (*manoratha-sañkalpa*) be pure, everything will be beneficial not only to the self, but also to the whole world.

Again it is said, this tactual pleasure may, through practice and a strict mental discipline, lead our mind to a transcendental absolute position, where the tranquillity of mind becomes a natural outcome of the tactual pleasure. As fine arts, through sights and sounds, can gradually lead our disciplined mind to a tranquil, supreme and permanent nature (*saṁhita-parama-śāśvata-svabhāva*), so also is the case with this tactual bliss. Our deep emotions of passion, grief, fear, etc., and the bliss arising out of touch, etc., may also have a supreme transcendental effect on our mind. The intensity of an emotion can destroy all the waves of mentation and create an undisturbed oneness (*samarasa*) in the mind. It has been said that through those very emotions, through which the mind of a man becomes concentrated, the mind of a man becomes absorbed in the thatness just like the *Viśva-rūpa* jewel.¹ The whole thing hinges, as we have seen, on the condition of the subject. As when the *Ketaki* flower is eaten by an elephant, its scent transforms itself into musk, and when eaten by any one other than the elephant, it has different transformations, and as the *Ketaki* flower itself is not responsible for any of these

¹ *yena yena hi bhāvena manaḥ saṁyujyate nr̥nām |*
tena tanmayatām yāti viśva-rūpo mañir yathā ||

Quoted in the Commentary, *Marma-kalikā-tantra*, MS. p. 5(A); quoted in the *Tattva-siddhi*, MS. p. 197.

It is also found in the *Heruka-tantra* with slight variations in reading. MS. (R.A.S.B., No. 11279) p. 74(B).

transformations, so also is the case with our passions ; they produce entirely different effects under different circumstances mainly depending on the subjective conditions. Again as milk drunk by the snake results in poison and has the effect of nectar when drunk by others, so also is the case with the human passions.¹

As a matter of fact, what really is the *vrata* (vow) of a Yogin of the Vajra-yāna? It is to view all the *dharma*s as well as the self from the perspective of perfect vacuity. If that be the fact, when a perfect Yogin sees some objects with his eyes, the objects are void, and so are his eyes ; how, then, can the void be affected by the void? The vow of a Yogin is to do everything with a compassionate mind and with the knowledge of the void ; and if these conditions are fulfilled, the Yogin can do whatever he likes without the least possible fear of his being fettered again in this world ; what is done with a compassionate, fearless mind, free from thought-constructions, is the best of all the vows.² Again what is *tapas* (penance)? It is said, "To perform all works with a fearless mind—to observe all the practices with a fearless mind,—this is the best kind of *tapas* (penances)."³

The arguments discussed above represent the general trend of thought found in the Buddhist Tantras in justification of the practices they adopt in their yogic *Sādhanā*. The same arguments are to be found in many of the Tantras and Dohās, explained with the help of various kinds of analogies. Thus it is said in the *Jvālāvali-vajra-mālā-tantra*,—"As mad elephants are subdued with the help of molasses and rice, so also should the highest knowledge be attained through a similar process. As some medicine is sweet to taste

¹ *Ibid.*, MS. pp. 205-206.

² *punaś co'ktam/yoginām kīṛṣam vratam?*
sopāyam sarva-karmāṇi nirviśaṅkaś caret sadā|
nīrvikalpena bhāvena vratānām uttamo-ttamaḥ ||
Tattva-siddhi, MS. p. 192.

³ *Ibid.*, p. 192.

and at the same time cures disease, so also is the bliss coming out of the combination of the Prajñā and the Upāya,—it destroys the afflictions easily and smoothly Again, what to one is a rope for hanging oneself, is the remover of bondage to the other. O, the wonderful power of the followers of the excellent vehicle of Mahāyāna! Kāma here practices religion by deeply embracing women.” The commentary on the *Dohakoṣa* of Kāṇhu-pāda raises a question in this connection, *Mahā-sukha* is non-causal (*nirnimitta*), whereas the bliss arising out of the combination of the Prajñā and Upāya is causal; how then can the latter become the *Mahā-sukha*? The reply is that through the instructions of a good preceptor the causal bliss itself may become non-causal.² The authority of Saraha-pāda is also quoted here. He says, “This causal bliss becomes non-causal to the great, and it becomes of the nature of *Mahā-sukha* which is free from thought-constructions and is of the nature of self-produced knowledge.” Again it may be questioned,—if at the time of the *Sādhanā* everything of the world is to be thought of as void in essence and illusory or magical in appearance, then even the Mantras, Mudrās and all the other accessories of Yoga become illusory and magical; how can then the Yoga

¹ *yathaiiva matta-mātaṅgā guda-taṇḍulakādinā |
pravaśīkriyate vijñānī vijñānañ ca mahādaye || (?)
yathā mahauśadhañ kiñcit sukhā-svādañ vyādhi-ghātaḥ ||
prajñopāya-sukhañ tadvat helayā kleśa-nāśanam ||*
* * * * *

*ekasya gala-pāśah syād aparasya vandha-kartikā ||
aho upāya-sāmarthyāñ mahā-yāna-suyāyinām |
kāmināñ gādham āliṅgya bhajānti (?) makaradhvajah ||*

MS. (B.N. No. Sanscrit 47) p. 22(B).

In the Com. on the *Marma-kalikā-tantra*, these verses are quoted as belonging to the *Gṛhya-vaṅśa-virāsini-sādhanā*, vide MS. p. 16(A).

² *mahā-sukhañ ca nirnimittañ, tat kathañ tathā bhavitum arhati/san-nimitta-sukhañ eva sad-gurū-padeśāñ nirnimittañ bhavati/*

MS. (Cambridge University library Add. 1699) p. 44(A).

³ *yad idañ sannimitta-sukhañ tad eva mahatāñ nimitta-parihīnam,*

*jñāna-svayambhū-rūpañ mahā-sukhañ kalpanā-sūnyam ||
Vyakta-bhāvā-nugata-tattva-siddhi, MS. p. 171.*

have the capacity of destroying the afflictions of the mind? In reply it has been said, "A snake-charmer creates a magical pillar and then destroys it,—but though the pillar is destroyed for ever, men are relieved thereby from poison, etc. So also is the case with all the yogic practices."¹

In the *Hevajra-tantra* we find some verses in explanation of the Buddhist Tāntric practices, and as the *Hevajra-tantra* is quoted as authority in many connections in most other Tantras and commentaries, these verses of the *Hevajra-tantra* are made much use of in many of the texts and commentaries. It has been put into the mouth of the Lord himself that men may attain liberation through existence itself; they are destroyed by the fetters of worldly things, but are liberated through a critical examination of their nature.² Again it is said, "The very bliss, which causes death (in one case), is itself called the meditation."³ It is further said, "Remove all your fetters of bondage through those very things through which people are ruined. People are deluded,—and they never know the truth,—and without truth they can never attain success."⁴ The other arguments found in the text are substantially the same as discussed above.⁵

¹ *yathā gāruḍikaḥ stambhaṁ sādhayitvā vināśyati |
sa tasmin cira-naṣṭe'pi viśādin upasāmyati ||*

Ascribed to Śānti-pāda, quoted in the *Subhāṣita-saṁgraha*, p. 67.

² *bhāvenaiva vimucyante vajra-garbha mahā-kṛpa |
badhyante bhāva-bandhena mucyante tat pariḥsayā ||*

MS. p. 2(B).

³ *maraṇam yena saukhyena [sukheneha] tat-sukham dhyānam
ucyate ||*

Ibid., MS. p. 14(A).

⁴ *yena yena tu badhyate lokas tena tena tu bandhanam mucyate |
loko muhyati na vetti tattvam tattva-vivarjitaḥ siddhir na
lapsye ||*

Ibid., MS. p. 26(A).

⁵ "A man versed in the science of poison counteracts the effect of poison with the same quantity of poison, which causes death to all the creatures. Meat-diet is prescribed for a patient attacked with gout,—and thus gout is cured by the application of another form of it; indeed the prescription of medicine often seems to be con-

In the Dohās of Tillo-pāda, Saraha-pāda and Kāṅhu-pāda we meet with almost similar verses. Thus it is said,—as one engaged in the science of poison swallows poison and yet is not affected thereby, so does one enjoy the world without being attached to it.¹

tradictory to the ordinary experiences. The world is purified by the world itself,—false imaginations by greater imaginative constructions. As the water in the ear is removed by the application of water in the ear, so also the imaginative constructions of existence are to be purified in their turn by the corporeal forms. The fire-burnt are again pacified through fire, so those who are burnt by the fire of passions are again pacified by the fire of passions. Through the same direful activities, through which all creatures are ruined, they are again liberated from the fetters of the world, on condition that the activities are all prompted by a spirit of universal compassion. People die through passions and are again liberated through the passions,—this is an apparently contradictory idea,—unknown to the ordinary orthodox Buddhists.”

yenaiva viṣa-khaṇḍena nriyante sarva-jantavaḥ |
tenaiva viṣa-tattvajño-viṣeṇa sphoṭayed viṣam ||
yathā vāta-grhītasya mūsa-bhakṣyaṃ pradiyate |
vātena hanyate vātaṃ viparīṭ auṣadhi-kalpanā ||
bhṛva-śuddho bhavenaiva vikalpaṃ pravikalpataḥ ||
karṇe toyam yathāviṣṭam pruti-toyeṇv kṛsyate |
tathā bhāva-vikalpo’pi ākāraḥ śodhyate khalu ||
yathā pāvaka-dagdhās ca sidhyante vahninā punaḥ |
tathā rāgāgni-dagdhās ca sidhyante rāga-vahninā ||
yena yena tu badhyante jantavo raudra-karmanā |
sopāyena tu tenaiva mucyante bhava-vandhanāt ||
rāgeṇa badhyate loko rāgeṇaiva vimucyate |
viparīta-bhāvanā hyeṣā na jñātā buddha-tirthikair ||

MS. pp. 87(A)—87(B).

See *Samputikā*. MS. p. 47(B).

Cf. also,—*yena cittena bālās ca samsāre bandhanam gatāḥ |*
yoginas tena cittena sugatānām gatirgatāḥ ||

Pañca-krama, MS. p. 26(B).

yenaiva mūḍhā badhyante buddhā kṛdanti tair iha |

Vajra-dāka-tantra, MS. (R.A.S.B. No. 8825).

yena yenaivopāyena mattā gacchanty adho-gatini |
tena tenaivo’pāyena yogī śighram prasidhyati ||

Ekalla-vīra-caṇḍa-roṣaṇa-mahā-tantra, MS.
 p. 22(A).

rāgeṇa hanyate rāgo vahni-dāhas ca vahninā |
viṣato’pi viṣam hanyāt upadeśa-prayogataḥ ||

* * * * *
sarva-pāpaṃ kṣayam kṛtvā viparītenaiva sidhyati |

Ibid., MS. p. 22(B).

¹ *jīma viṣa bhakḥkhai viṣahi paluttā |*
[tīma bhava bhūñīai bhavahi na juttā]
Dohās of Tillo-pāda.

As one touches the foam of some drink and yet his hands are not besmeared with the drink, so also the Yogin, though revelling in the objects of pleasure, is not affected thereby.¹ As the lotus-leaf is never affected by the waves of water, though it is constantly in water, so also is the mind of a Yogin, which, though always surrounded by objects of pleasure, is never affected by them in any way.² A Yogin, thus versed in the fundamental truth, is never disturbed by the objects of pleasure though he may indulge in them.³

It should be remembered that wherever the *citta* clings to the objects of desire the ultimate nature of the self is not to be found ; the ultimate nature of the self can be realised through the enjoyment of the worldly objects only when the ultimate nature of the world is realised as the vacuity through the instructions of the preceptor, and in such a state there remains no

¹ *visaa ramanta na visaa vilippai |*
ūara harai ṇa pāṇi cchippai ||

Dohās of Saraha-pāda.

² *punar yathā padma-patre jala-taraṅgam gṛhītvā tat pāṇiyair*
na lipyate/tadotpannā ca padma-patrāmbho-vad iti vacanāt/evam
abhyāso yoginaś ca |

Comm. on the previous Dohā.

³ *emai jo mūla saranto |*
visahi na vāhai visaa ramanto ||

Dohās of Saraha-pāda.

It has been further said that the Yogin, who, even after he has been able to purify the objects of desire (through his transcendental knowledge), does not enjoy objects of pleasure, merely floats in the void; and for him there is every possibility of returning of the world of pleasure. His position is just like a crow in the ocean, which leaves the mast and hovers round and round and again returns to the same mast it left.

visaa-visuddhe ṇau ramai kevala sunna carei |
uḍḍi vohia kāu jima paluṭṭia tahavi paḍei ||

Ibid.

Again,—“Don’t repress the desire for the objects of pleasure, says Saraha; if one does so, he will be destroyed by these objects of desire again, as is the case with fish, insects, the elephant, black-bees and the deer”. (Fish, insects, the elephant, black-bees and the deer are attracted and killed by their particular attachment to flavour, beauty, tactual pleasure, smell and sound respectively.)

visaāsatti ma bandha karu are vaḍha sarahe vutta |
mīṇa paṅgama kari bhamara pekkhaha hariṇaha jutta || Ibid.

difference between the world and the vacuity as there is no difference between the water of a river and its waves.¹ Profound and secret are the ways of this cult,—who can say anything, and who can hear? Who is really engaged in this cult? This path is just like an underground passage of a fort. As it is very advantageous to enter into a fort and to capture it and destroy it through this underground passage, so also is this path,—very advantageous to capture the fort of the illusory world and to destroy it through the destruction of all the imaginary constructions of the mind; but as there is the wicked dust in the passage which kills the inexperienced, but cannot do any harm to the experienced, so also there is in this path a great risk, which kills the uninitiate but can be overcome only by the initiate.² When water enters into water, there is produced the *Samarasa*; similarly when mind enters into the pure consciousness of vacuity, it reaches the final state, where the virtues and vices of the world go neither for nor against the Yogin.³

¹ *jatta vi cittahi vipphurāi tatta vi nāha sarūa |
anṇa taraṅga ki anṇa jalu bhava-sama khasama surūa || Ibid.*

² *kāsu kahijjai ko sunai etthu kajjasu liṇa |
duṭṭha suraṅga-dhūli jima hia-jāa hiahi liṇa || Ibid.*

³ *jatta vi paisai jalahi jalu tattai samarasa hoi |
dosa-guṇāara citta tahā vaḍha parivakkha ṇa koi || Ibid.*

BIBLIOGRAPHY¹

Abhidhānottara, 56, 92

Acintyā-dvaya-kramopadeśa (by Kuddāla-pāda), 49, 91n, 108, 140n,
154-56n,

A Dictionary of Pāli Buddhism (by Rhys Davids), 144

Advaya-samatā-vijaya (quoted in the Jñāna-siddhi), 148n

Advaya-siddhi, 80n, 91n.

Advaya-vajra-saṅgraha, 16, 41-48n, 57, 58n, 60, 64n, 71, 86, 87n,
89n, 95n, 96n, 103n, 106n, 112n, 120, 146, 152n, 176n, 191n

A History of Indian Philosophy (by S. N. Dasgupta), Vol. I, 24, 88n

Aitareya Āraṇyaka, 121, 121n.

Akulāgama, 171

Amanasikāra (quoted in Advaya-vajra-saṅgraha), 120

Amanasikāra-dhārā (quoted in Advaya-vajra-saṅgraha) 114n

Amṛta-siddhi, 188n

An Introduction to Buddhist Esoterism (by B. Bhattacharya), 8n, 60,
62n, 64n, 96n

Āṅguttara, 144-45

Apratiṣṭhāna-prakāśa by Nāgārjuna-pāda (quoted in the Advaya-
vajra-saṅgraha), 42, 149.

Aspects of Mahāyāna Buddhism and its Relation to Hinayāna (by
N. Dutt), 11, 13n,

Aśoka and his Inscriptions (by B. M. Barua), 62n

Aṣṭa-sāhasrikā-prajñā-pāramitā, 63

Avatamsaka-sūtra, 12.

Awakening of Faith in Mahayana (of Aśvaghoṣa, translated by Suzuki),
6, 24n, 25, 25n, 102

Ādibuddha, 180n

Ādibuddha-tantra, 149n

Ānanda-lahari, 166n

Ārya-mañjuśrī-mūla-kalpa-tantra, 56

Bauddha-gāna-o-dohā, 52

Bhadrapāda-krama, 49n

Bodhi-caryā-vatāra (of Śāntideva) 8, 54

Bodhisattva-bhūmi (of Asaṅga), 66, 66n, 102n

Brahma-sūtra, 89

Buddha-kapāla-tantra-ṭikā (by Abhayakara-gupta), 185n

Buddhist Iconography (by B. Bhattacharya), 96n

Caryā, Caryā-pada, 59, 59n, 72, 118, 118n, 119n, 140, 141n, 150n,
171n, 174-75, 178n, 179n, 185

Caturābharāṇa, 149n

¹ Names of manuscripts are given in italics.

Catur-devī-paripṛcchā-vyākhyā-tantra, 188

Catur-mudrā, 91n, 191

Citta-viśuddhi-prakarāṇa (of Ārya-deva), 47, 55-56, 105, 115n, 187, 178n, 197-98, 198n, 205n, 206-07, 207n, 208n, 209, 209n

Comm. on Marma-kalikā-tantra, 186n, 148n, 152n, 178, 180n, 189, 211n, 218n

Comm. on Sat-cakra-nirūpaṇa (by Kālicaraṇa, Śaṅkara and Viśva-nātha), 168n

Comm. on the Dohākoṣa of Kāṅhu-pāda, 121, 122n, 149n, 172n, 173n, 174

Comm. on the Dohākoṣa of Kāṅha-pāda, 186n, 180n, 189n

Devendra-paripṛcchā-tantra, 122n

Dhamma-pada, 144n, 145, 145n, 199n

Dohā(s) of Kāṅha-pāda, 159, 159n, 162n, 172, 215

Dohā(s) of Saraha-pāda, 141n, 150, 150n, 215, 216n, 217, 217n

Dohās of Saraha and Kāṅha, 140

Dohā(s) of Tillopāda, 141n, 191n, 195, 204, 215, 215n

Dohā (quoted in *Hevajra-tantra*), 151n

Dohākoṣa, 59

Dohākoṣa of Kāṅha(u)-pāda, 71, 118n, 121-22, 122n, 186n, 186n, 189n, 218

Dohākoṣa of Saraha, 172, 186, 186n

Dohānava (ed. by Chaudhuri), 118n

Dohānava (ed. by Śāstri), 57, 58, 58n, 107n, 116n, 119n, 184-85, 149n

Ḍākinī-vajra-pañjara, 187

Ekalla-vīra-candā-mahā-roṣaṇa-tantra, 115n, 116, 120, 181-82, 186n, 156n, 171, 171n, 202n, 215n

Gandha-vamśa, 91n

Ghaṅṭā-pādiya Pañca-krama, 118n

Gheranda-saṁhitā, 188n

Gītā, 120

Gorakṣa-siddhānta-samgraha (ed. by Gopinath Kavirāj), 172n

Guhya-samāya, 46n

Guhya-siddhi, 48-49, 79, 79n, 112, 133-34, 149n, 158, 154n, 156n

Guhya-vajra-virāsini-sādhana, 178n

Guhyendu-tilaka, 205n

Guhya-vajra-virāsini-sādhana, 218n

Hasting's Encyclopaedia of Religion and Ethics, 185n

Hatha-yoga-pradīpikā, 172, 188n

Heruka-tantra, 56, 67, 98n, 117n, 118n, 180, 180n, 168-65, 171n, 179n, 188n, 211n

Hevajra-pañjikā, or, *Yoga-ratna-mālā* of Kṛṣṇācārya-pāda, 71, 114, 115n, 121-25, 158, 167n, 168n, 192, 192n

Hevajra-tantra, 64n, 65, 65n, 86, 88n, 92n, 96n, 103, 114-15, 117-25, 181, 181n, 185-86, 186n, 188-40, 152-53, 157-59, 166-69, 176-80, 192-95, 203, 214, 215n

Illustrations of the Literature of Nepal (by Hodgson), 108n
 Indian Philosophy, Vol. I (by S. Radhakrishnan), 88
 Itivuttaka, 145

J. R. A. S., 14

Jvālāvali-vajramālā-tantra, 57n, 86, 87n, 116, 185, 185n, 178, 212
 Jñāna-siddhi (of Indrabhūti), 45-46, 55, 79, 88, 89n, 91-92, 92n, 100n, 117, 148n, 176, 200-01
Jñāna-vajra-samuccaya-mahāyoga, 187

Kanaka-varṇa-prajñā-pāramitā-sādhanaṃ (in Sādhana-mālā), 114n
 Kathā-vatthu, 145, 145n
Kāla-cakra-tantra (also *Śrī-kāla-cakra-tantra*), 75-76
 Kāma-kalā-vilāsa, 128, 129n
 Kāraṇḍa-vyūha, 7
Kriyā-saṃgraha (also *Kriyā-saṃgraha-nāma-pañjikā*), 57, 81-83, 117n, 118n, 135, 186n, 149n, 176, 179n
Kṛṣṇa-yamāri-tantra, 80, 120
 Kudrṣṭi-nirghātana (collected in Advaya-vajra-saṃgraha), 57n, 103

Laghu-kāla-cakra-tantra-rāja-ṭīkā (or, *Vimala-prabhā*), 61, 61n, 75, 77n

Lalita-vistara, 51n

Lamaism (by Waddell), 72n, 78

Laṅkāvatāra-sūtra, 16, 16n, 18, 28, 28n

Les Chants Mystiques de Kāṅha et de Saraha (by M. Shahidullah), 60

Madhyānta-vibhāga (Madhyānta-vibhāga-ṭīkā), 28n, 27-31, 84n
 Mahā-sukha-prakāśikā (collected in Advaya-vajra-saṃgraha), 64, 151
 Mahāyāna sūtrālaṅkāra (of Asaṅga), 5, 5n, 27n, 29n, 85n, 62
 Mahāyāna-śraddhotpāda-sūtra (of Aśvaghoṣa), 6, 18n, 102
 Mahāyāna-vimśikā, 48, 56
 Maitrāyaṇīya Upaniṣad, 181, 184
 Majjhima-nikāya, 144
 Manual of a Mystic, 65, 65n
Marma-kalikā-tantra (with commentary) 66n, 118n, 121n, 124, 124n, 178n, 179n, 181
 Mādhyamika-vṛtti (of Nāgārjuna), 18-28, 37, 87n, 44-45, 56, 102, 146, 146n
 Māṇḍūkyopaniṣad, 88-89, 89n
 Milinda-pañho, 143, 148n
 Modern Buddhism and its Followers in Orissa (by N. Bose), 72-78

Nāma-saṅgīti, 120

Nāyikā-sādhana-ṭīkā, 178n

Nirnāda-tantra, 112n

Obscure Religious Cults as Background of Bengali Literature (by S. B. Dasgupta), 62n, 66n, 72, 78, 187n, 190n

Outlines of Mahayana Buddhism (by Suzuki), 12

Padma-tantra, 72n

Pañca-krama (of Ghaṇṭā-pāda), 179n

Pañca-krama (of Nāgārjuna-pāda), 51-54, 58n, 64n, 67, 88n, 90n, 93-94, 96n, 116, 117n, 119, 125, 127n, 128n, 140, 155n, 174, 178n, 182-84, 202-04, 215n

Pañca-krama-ṭīpanī, 52, 117n, 185

Pañca-tathāgata-mudrā-vivarāṇa (collected in *Advaya-vajra-saṁgraha*), 96n

Pañca-vimśati-sāhasrikā, 13

Pañcākāra (collected in *Advaya-vajra-saṁgraha*), 96n

Philosophical Essays (by S. N. Dasgupta), 2, 67

Post-Chaitanya Sahajiyā Cult (by M. Bose), 173n

Prajñā-pāramitā-dhāraṇī, 63

Prajñā-pāramitā-hṛdaya-sūtra, 63

Prajñō-pāya-viniścaya-siddhi, 43, 56-57, 88, 92, 98n, 100-05, 115n, 135, 155n, 176n, 202

Prema-pañcaka (collected in *Advaya-vajra-saṁgraha*), 107n, 128

Pūjā-khaṇḍa, 109n

Ratn-kuṭa-sūtra (quoted in *Tattva-siddhi*), 210

Ratnāvalī (quoted in *Mādhyamika-vṛtti*), 23

Saddharma-puṇḍarīka, 16, 102n

Sahaja-siddhi, 116n, 118n

Samājottara (quoted in *Pañca-krama*), 184n

Samputīkā (*Śrī-samputīkā*, *Samputōdbhava-kalpa-rāja*), 45, 68, 68n, 89n, 96n, 114n, 115n, 122-23, 135, 135n, 140n, 152, 152n, 157n, 158n, 159, 159n, 162, 165-69, 176n, 192, 192n, 215n

Sammohana-tantra, 119, 172

Saṅjutta-nikāya, 145

Sarva-devatāgama-tantra, 148n

Saundarānanda Kāvya, 147, 147n

Sādhana-mālā, 49, 50n, 55-58, 62n, 78, 79n, 81, 81n, 83-84, 84n, 114n, 118n, 119n, 121n, 122, 123, 128n, 130, 130n, 149n, 155n

Seka-nirṇaya (collected in *Advaya-vajra-saṁgraha*), 194n

Sekatānvaya-saṁgraha (collected in *Advaya-vajra-saṁgraha*), 176, 176n

Sekoddeśa-ṭīkā, 75-76, 77n, 99n, 123, 165, 166n, 173, 176n, 177n, 180n, 181, 192n, 193, 193n, 196n

- Studies in the Tantras, 121n, 169n, 171n, 172n
 Subhāṣita-saṁgraha, 105, 107n, 115n, 118n, 121n, 122n, 136n, 137,
 141n, 148n, 149n, 151n, 179n, 208, 208n, 204n, 214n
 Sutta-nipāta, 144
 Śata-sāhasrikā, 18
 Śāstra-dīpikā, 17
 Śikṣā-samuccaya (of Śānti-deva), 7, 46n
 Śiva-saṁhitā, 168n
 Śrī-cakra-sambhāra-tantra, 65, 66n, 71n, 94n, 116, 130, 130n, 176n
 Śrī-ḍākārṇave Mahāyoginī-tantra-raja, 119n
 Śrī-guhyā-samāja-tantra (Śrī-guhyā-samāja, Śrī-saṁāja), 46, 46n, 47,
 48n, 56, 78, 78n, 80n, 91n, 94, 96n, 98n, 100n, 115, 115n, 117n,
 118n, 181, 181n, 184, 184n
 Śrī-kāla-cakra-tantra, 73, 78n, 105, 158, 168n, 178n, 180n
 Śrīmac-chākyarāja-sarva-durgati-pariśodhana-mukhākhyaṇa-prathama-
 ādiyoga-nāma samādhiḥ, 69
 Śrī-vajra-maṇḍalā-laṅkāra (quoted in Jñāna-siddhi), 89n
 Saṭ-cakra-nirūpaṇa (by Pūrṇānanda), 119n, 168n, 166n, 172
 Saṭ-cakra-nirūpaṇa (by Viśva-nātha), 166n
 Śoḍaśa-mānaśi-bhava-cakra, 91n
- Tantrāloka (of Abhinava-gupta), 61n, 71
 Tathāgata-guhyā-sūtra, 46
 Tattva-prakāśikā (collected in Advaya-vajra-saṁgraha), 42
 Tattva-ratnāvalī (collected in Advaya-vajra-saṁgraha), 41, 60, 60n
 Tattva-siddhi (of Śānta-rakṣita), 91n, 197, 205n, 209-13
 The Conception of Buddhist Nirvāṇa (by Stecherbatsky), 36n
 The Gods of Northern Buddhism (by Getty), 96n, 109n
 The good wishes, 94n
 The Principles of Mahāyāna Buddhism (by Suzuki), 142n
 Therī-gāthā, 145, 145n
 Trīmśikā, 33n, 148n
 Two Vajrayāna Works, 45, 79
- Uccuṣma-tantra, 112n
 Upāli-pariprechā-tantra (quoted in Tattva-siddhi), 205n
- Vajra-ḍāka-tantra, 135, 215n
 Vajra-mālā, 182
 Vajra-valī-nāma-maṇḍala-paṅjikā, 177
 Vajra-vārāhi-kalpa-mahātantra, 94n, 119n, 131, 131n
 Vighraha-vyāvartanī, 22
 Vajra-yāna-sādhanaṅ gāni, 91n
 Vijñapti-mātratā-siddhi, 81, 83n, 35n, 148
 Vijñāna-bhairava, 64n
 Vimāla-prabhā, 61, 75, 77n
 Vimāna-vatthu, 145, 145n
 Visuddhi-maggo, 80, 145-46

Vyakta-bhāvānugata-tattva-siddhi, 68, 69n, 89n, 140, 140n, 154n,
155n, 218n

Yogavāsiṣṭha, 89, 40, 40n

Yogācāra-bhūmi (of Vasubandhu), 102n

Yuganaddha-prakāśa (collected in *Advaya-vajra-saṅgraha*), 42, 128

INDEX

[The words are arranged in order of the English alphabets. English words are given in Roman with a capital. Non-English general names and words are given in Roman with a capital and diacritical marks. Technical non-English terms are in small italics with diacritical marks.]

- Abhaya-mudrā, 97
abheda (nerve) 169n
abhicāra, 70, 80
abhidharma, 17
abhimukhī, 11, 82
abhimukti, 82
abhiṣeka, 74, 175-77, 176n-77n
 Abode of bliss, 140
 Absolute, 160, 195 ;
 oneness, 148 ;
 state, 127 ;
 unity, 125
abhāva (nerve), 169n
abhāva-kalpanā, 43
abhūta-parikalpa, 27-31, 37, 37, 95
acalā, 11, 82
 Achala, 73
acitta (nerve), 169n
 Active consciousness (*pravṛtti-vijñāna*), 83-84
 Adamantine knowledge, 177-78 ;
 nature, 51, 87 ;
 path, 87 ;
 reality, 177 ;
 truth, 40, 98 ;
 way, 78 ;
 woman, 112
adharmā (nerve), 169n
 Advaita Vedānta, 88
advaya, 80, 125, 128, 130, 140
 Afflictions, 210, 218-14
agni (nerve), 169n
 Agnostic attitude, 142
Aiśvarika (school), 107n, 108
Ajñā-cakra, 162n
Akṣobhya, 14, 64, 64n, 78, 94, 95n, 97, 99n, 180, 159, 173, 176
 All-conserving mind, 24, 26, 30, 34
 All-pervading bliss, 188
 All-pervading oneness, 161
 All-pervading universal consciousness, 150
 All-void, 58, 126
Amarolī, 188n
Amitābha, 64, 64n, 78, 94, 95n, 97, 130, 157, 159, 173, 177
Amitāyus, 94, 99n
Amoghasiddhi, 64, 94, 95n, 97, 99n, 130, 159, 173, 177
anekamukhī, 82
anupādhi-sesa-nibbāna, 146
anupādhi-śeṣa-samādhi, 56
anusmṛti, 181, 187
anutpāda, 23
anuttara-samādhi, 185
 Anuttara-tantra, 71n
 Anuttara-yoga-tantra-yāna, 71n
 Anāhata-cakra, 162n, 163, 166
aṅga-nyāsa, 189
apāra (nerve), 169n
apāna, 74, 75, 166n, 173, 184, 188n, 189n
apratīsamākhya-nirodha, 147
 Arahan, 65
arāga, 126
arciṣmatī, 11, 82
 Arhathood, 7
arthābhāsa, 28
arūpa, 47
arūpa-dhātu, 46
asamprajñāta-samādhi, 147
Asaṅga, 18, 62, 147
asmṛti, 126
athira (nerve), 169n
aśūnyatā, 26, 44
Aśvaghōṣa, 23, 24, 27, 87, 102, 147
Aśvinī-mudrā, 188n
ati-śūnya, 51, 52, 117
ati-śūnyatā, 116
 Atiyoga-tantra-yāna, 71n
 Avadhūti, (-tikā), 118, 164, 169, 170-71, 174, 189n, 190, 191
 Avadhūti-maṇḍala, 107
 Avadhūti-pā, 41
 Avalokiteśvara, 7, 8, 97, 180
avidyā, 24
avidyā (nerve), 169n
ābhicārika (performance), 204n

Ācārya, 176-77
 ādarśa-jñāna, 95n
 Ādi-Buddha, 72-78, 94, 108, 110, 110n, 180
 Ādi-prajñā, 108, 108n, 110
 Ādi-śakti, 108
 ālaya-vijñāna, 24, 26, 88-89
 āli, 67, 120-21, 124-25, 164, 169, 169n, 170-78
 āloka, 51-52
 āloka-jñāna, 51
 ālokopalabdhi, 52
 ālokābhāsa, 51-52
 ānanda, 167, 191-98
 Āraṇyakas, 65, 160
 Ārya-deva, 55
 ārya-satyā, 9, 142, 167
 Ārya-tārā, 97, 180
 Ārya-vimāla-kīrti, 108
 āsana, 187
 ātma-tattva, 167
 āyatana, 82, 99n
 āvaraṇa, 187

 bali, 169n
 bandha(s), 187, 187n, 188n
 Beatific Body, 14
 Bhadra-pāda, 49, 152
 bhaga, 88, 117, 188-84
 bhagavati, 118, 114n
 bhagini (prajñā as), 114
 bhava, 99, 100, 190, 192-98
 bhāsa-traya, 186
 bhāva, 48
 bhāva (nerve), 169n
 bhāva-kalpanā, 48
 Bhāva-viveka, 20
 bheda (nerve), 169n
 Bhūsparśa-mudrā, 97
 Bhusuka-pada, 140, 185
 Bhūta-nātha, 184
 bhūta-tathatā, 28-24
 bindu, 66, 111, 122, 108n, 169n, 188n, 196
 bija(s), 64, 64n, 65, 84, 164
 bija-mantra, 68-65, 95, 98, 178
 bodhi, 11, 14, 56, 57n, 98, 99n, 174
 Bodhicitta, 10, 46-47, 57, 57n, 68, 70, 76, 83-84, 92, 96, 98, 98n, 99n, 100, 100n, 102, 105n, 125, 128, 185, 148, 154, 158-59, 164, 170-71, 174, 177-81, 187-95, 200, 208, 204n
 bodhicitto-tpāda, 10

Bodhi-mind, 92, 99n, 112-18, 186
 Bodhisattva(s), 7-8, 10-11, 13, 15-16, 47, 54, 78-79, 84, 89n, 92, 95, 97, 109, 181, 204-05, 205n, 210
 Bodhisattva-bhūmi, 10, 82, 158
 Bodhisattvahood, 187
 Bodhisattva Vajragarbha, 157
 Bodhisattva Vajrasattva, 62, 208-4
 Bodhisattva-yāna, 9, 16, 71n
 Bodhi-vṛkṣa, 82
 Body (as the epitome of the universe), 161
 Body (as the medium), 161
 Body of bliss, 18
 Body of enjoyment, 14
 Body of the laws, 12
 Body of transformation, 14
 bola, or bolaka, 118
 Brahman, 160
 brahma-randhra, 170
 Brahma-realisation, 91
 Brahma-vihāra, 88
 Breath control, 158, 188n
 Buddha-ghoṣa, 145-46
 Buddhahood, 10, 55, 92-98, 105, 152
 Buddha-kāya, 14
 Buddhalogy, 14-15
 Buddha Mahāvairocana, 109n
 Buddha Vajrasattva, 94n

 cakra (plexus), 76, 78, 97, 104, 162-68, 168n, 165-67, 169-70, 188-90, 195 ;
 (circle), 181 ;
 (cycle), 188
 Cakra-pāṇi, 97
 camana, 169, 169n, 172, 172n
 candra, 169, 169n
 Candra-kīrti, 19n, 20n, 146
 Caṇḍaroṣaṇa, 171
 Cardiac plexus, 162, 162n
 Caryā or Upāya-tantra-yāna, 71n
 Caryā-tantra, 71n
 catuṣkoṭi, 168
 Cause and conditions, 48
 Celestial Buddha Vairocana, 62
 Cerebral plexus, 162
 Chantings, 71n
 Charm, 70
 Cikitsā-tantra, 2
 cintāmaṇi kula, 97
 Circle of effulgence, 187
 Circle of time, 78

citrinī, 170
citta, 185, 188n, 194, 198-99, 201, 202n, 207 ;
 (nerve), 169n
citta-dhārā, 88
 Consonants, 121, 172-78
 Constructive functions, 188
 Constructive imagination, 29, 52, 207
 Cosmic oneness, 49 ;
 principle, 188 ;
 unity, 12
 Created Body, 15
 Creative potencies, 95
 Cundā, 82

dakṣiṇa (nerve), 169n
daśa-bhūmi, 10
 Defiled nature, 182
 Demoniacal Buddhas, 72-74
 Dependent origination, 18, 48
devatā-tattva, 167
dhamana, 169, 169n, 172, 172n
dharma-cakra, 128, 158, 164, 167-68, 192
Dharma-cakra-mudrā, 97, 109
dharma-dhātu, 12, 24, 31, 49, 55, 75, 104-05, 155, 177, 191, 202n
Dharma-kāya, 12-15, 87, 88, 90, 105n, 106, 124, 128, 148, 151-52, 168, 167, 171
dharma-megha, 11, 82
Dharma-mudrā, 128, 163, 191-92
dharma-nairātmya, 82-83, 99n, 126
dharma-tathatā, 14
dharma-vaśitā, 82
dhāraṇā, 181, 181n, 187
dhāraṇī(s), 68, 66, 70, 82
dhātu, 99
dhyāna, 78, 94, 95n, 181, 181n, 187
Dhyānī Buddha(s), 14, 78, 94-97, 109, 180, 159, 182
Dhyānī Bodhisattva, 14
 Diamond element, 110n
 Disturbing element, 207
divya-samādhi, 149n
 Dīpaṅkara, 14
 Docetic conception, 12
Dohā(s), 58-59, 99, 100n, 112, 112n, 118n, 119n, 180n, 175, 190, 191, 212
 Dormant seeds, 82, 88
 Double lotus, 164

Duality, 8, 28, 27-28, 87, 104, 125, 127
duhitā (Prajñā as), 114
dūraṅgamā, 11, 82
dveṣa kula, 78, 97
dyau (nerve), 169n
 Dākini, 78, 168n, 166
 Dombi, 190, 202
 Dombi (Prajñā as), 114

e, 169, 169n
 Effulgent nature, 174
 Egohood, 129, 193
 Ego-principle, 26
ekāgratā, 181-82
 Eka-jaṭā-sādhanam, 50
 Element of Nirvāṇa, 149
 Ens, 23
 Esoteric Buddhism, 176
 Esoteric method, 1
 Esoteric practice(s), 41, 61, 69, 158, 178
 Esoteric school, 62
 Esoteric theology, 41
 Esoteric yoga, 195
 Esoteric yogic practices, 86, 150
 Essencelessness, 23
 Eternal flux, 21
evam, 122-28
evamkāra, 122
 Evolution of the consciousness
 (*cid-vivarta*), 40
 Expedience (-ient), 11, 101, 128, 135
 Experiential series, 80-81
 External categories, 84
 Extreme nihilists, 27 ;
 realists, 27-28

False idealisation, 147
 Female counter-part, 182, 184, 171, 178
 Fifteen digits of the moon, 164
 Final bliss, 192
 Final stage, 150
 Final state, 190
 Fire of time, 178
 Five Abhijñās, 187n
 Five Buddhas, 181-88
 Five *dhyānas*, 95
 Five *jñānas*, 184
 Five kinds of knowledge, 95, 95n
 Five kinds of lustre, 188-84
 Five kinds of wind, 184

Five kinds of wisdom, 183
 Five families (*kula*), 159
 Five omens, 187
 Five Tathāgatas, 96, 180, 159, 178, 178
 Flow of consciousness, 88
 Four *aṅgas* (stages in the *sādhana*), 167
 Four categories, 168
 Four kinds of bliss, 132, 190-95
 Four kinds of *jhānas*, 145
 Four moments, 190-95
 Four Mudrās, 190-91
 Four noble truths, 142, 163, 167

Gaṅgā, 169-71
garbha-dhātu, 109, 110n
 Gauḍa-pāda, 88-89
 Gautama (Buddha), 14, 97
 Gāthā(s), 80, 99n
 Generative energy, 185
 Goddess Caṇḍālī, 189-90
grāhaka, 28, 32, 35, 96, 126, 148, 169, 169n, 171
grāhya, 28, 82, 85, 96, 126, 148, 169, 169n, 171
 Great bliss, 114, 135, 189, 149n, 163, 191, 195
 Great vehicle, 5
 Great woman, 69
*guhya*bbhiṣeka, 176-77
 Guru, 139, 175
 Guru-vāda, 175

Hatha-yoga, 80, 180
 Hākinī, 168n
 Heruka, 64n, 78, 98, 107, 180
hetu-pratyaya, 9, 18n, 21
 Hevajra, 98
 Hindu-Buddhist vernacular literature, 182
 Hinayāna, 4-6, 17, 205n, 209
 Hinayānists, 7, 12
hrdaya, 65
 Human Buddha, 97

Ideal reflection, 181
idā, 118-19, 164, 169, 169n, 170, 178, 188n
 Imagination of existence, 48
 Imaginative constructions, 215

Immutable bliss, 149n ;
 cosmic principle, 23 ;
 void, 88
 Impure states (of mind), 51
 Incessant bliss, 149
 Individual consciousness, 150
 Indrabhūti, 79
 I-ness, 110-11
 Infinite bliss, 155, 160
 Initiation, 175-77
 Intense bliss, 112-18, 148-49, 149n, 158, 164, 179-80
 Internal categories, 84

jananī (Prajñā as), 114
japa, 184
japa-mantra, 184
jāgrat, 194
jālandhara-bandha, 188n
 Jāngulī, 82
jhānas, 61
 Jina, 84
jñeyāvaraṇa, 28, 83, 192
jñāna-mudrā, 118
 Jñāna-pāda, 72n
 Jñāna-sattva, 92
jñāna-tattva, 167

kakkola, *kakkolaka*, 118
kalasābbhiṣeka, 176-77
 Kambalāmbara-pāda, 59n
 Kanaka-muni, 97
kapha (nerve), 178
kara-nyāsa, 180n
 Karma-kulā, 128n
karma-mudrā, 128, 184, 165, 191-92
 Karma-nātha, 64n, 94, 188
karuṇā, 8, 10, 46, 54, 59, 59n, 75, 83, 96, 98-106, 111, 124, 126, 128, 182, 185, 166, 170-71
 Kaśyapa, 14
 Kākinī, 168n, 166
kāla-cakra, 72-75
 Kāla-cakra-yāna, 61, 72-78
kālāgni, 178
kālī, 87, 120-21, 124, 164, 169, 169n, 170-78
kāma, 47
kāma-dhātu, 46
kāma-kalā, 128-29
 Kāpālī, 186
 Kārmika school, 107n
 Kāśyapa, 97

kāya(s),* 167-68
 Kāṇha(u)-pāda, 59n, 112-18, 141, 186
kha-dhātū, 153, 153n
 Khasarpaṇa-sādhana, 50
khecari mudrā, 188n
kleśa, 100n, 115
kleśāvaraṇa, 28, 88, 192
 Krakucchanda, 97
 Kriyā-pāda, 72
kriyā-śakti, 129
 Kriyā-tantra, 71n
 Kriyā-tantra-yāna, 71n
kṛtyānuṣṭhāna-jñāna, 95n
 Kṛṣṇācārya, 114, 128
 Kuddāla-pāda, 49
 Kukkuri-pāda, 112, 189n
kula (family), 78, 95, 97
kula-kunḍalini-śakti, 110n, 189
kumbhaka, 184, 188n, 189n
 Lady vacuity, 112
lalanā, 118-19, 121, 164-65, 169-78
 Laryngeal and pharyngeal plexus, 162, 162n
 Law of karma, 31
 Lākini, 163n, 166
 Left nerve, 125
 Liberation, 26-28, 88, 85, 40, 58, 108-5, 131, 188, 148-49, 153, 179, 199, 208, 210, 214
 Little vehicle, 5
 Locanā, 78, 97, 123, 180, 165-66
loka-dhātu, 18
loka-saṃsarjana, 95n
 Lord Caṇḍaroṣaṇa, 116, 156
 Lord Mahāśaukhya, 155
 Lord Mahāśukha, 156
 Lord Mind, 112-13
 Lord Supreme, 88, 89n, 93-94, 98, 109, 112, 130-32, 186, 153
 Lord Vajrasattva, 76, 77n, 89n
 Lord Śrī-kāla-cakra, 75-76
 Lord Śrī-mahāśukha, 155-56
 Lotus, 158, 161, 167, 182, 187
 Lotus in the cerebrum, 188
 Lotus in the head, 162, 179
 Lotus in the heart, 170
 Lower Tantra, 71n
 Lumber plexus, 162, 162n
 Magic, 70

Magic circle, 78-74
 Magical nature of the Universe, 202n
 Magical nature of the world, 204
mahā-bandha, 188n
mahā-bedha, 188n
mahā-karuṇā, 7-10
mahā-mudrā, 69n, 113, 123n, 187, 165, 188n, 191-92
mahā-rāga, 186-37
mahārāga-sukha, 137
mahā-sukha, 77, 88, 112-18, 185, 139-40, 142, 148-59, 168, 177, 179-80, 180n, 185, 192, 194-95, 213
 Mahā-sukha-cakra, 128, 163, 168, 192
 Mahā-sukha-kamala, 168
 Mahā-sukha-kāya, 12, 167, 192
 Mahā-sukha-samādhi, 134n
 Mahā-sukha-yāna, 149n
mahā-sādhana, 167
 Mahā-sattva, 92
 Mahā-saṅgha, 6
 Mahāsāṅghika, (sāṅghi), 6, 167-68
mahā-śūnya, 51-52, 126
 Mahāvireśvara, 57, 134n
 Mahāyāna, 1-9, 12, 15-18, 37, 41-42, 54, 60, 63, 79, 82, 85, 90, 98-99, 146-47, 151, 153, 171, 188, 190, 209, 218
 Mahāyāna-sūtras, 36
 Mahāyānic, 41, 61, 190
 Mahāyānists, 7, 12, 14, 89, 200
 Mahāyoga-tantra-yāna, 71n
maithuna, 128
 Maitreya, 14, 18, 27, 62, 97
maitri, 83, 166
 Mantra(s), 50, 56, 61, 63, 65-70, 79-87, 98, 104, 178, 184-85, 187, 208, 204n
 Mantra-charms, 78
 Mantra-naya, 42, 60
 Mantra-śāstra, 60
 Mantra-tattva, 167
 Mantra-yāna, 60-61, 71n, 72
 Mantra-yoga, 184
 Mañjuśrī, 56
 Maṇḍala, 61-62, 69, 74, 88, 98, 104, 109, 109n, 110n, 177
 Maṇipura (cakra), 158, 158n, 162n, 168, 166, 178, 189n
 Mass produced through the union of Śiva and Śakti, 129
 Matrix element, 109, 110n

Mādhyamika(s), 17-21, 27, 41-42, 62, 146, 179-80
 Māmakī, 97, 128, 180, 163-66
 Mānuṣī Buddha, 14
 māraṇa, 80
 Māricī, 82
 mātrikā, 68
 māyā, 111, 186
 māyā-puruṣa, 22
 māyopama meditation, 127
 māyopamā-dvaya-vāda, 41
 Mental constructions, 146
 Mental complexes, 32
 merudaṇḍa, 161-62
 Microcosm, 161
 Middle nerve, 174
 Middle path, 27, 190
 Mīmāṃsā theory of śabda, 67
 Modification of consciousness, 32
 moha kula, 78, 97
 mohana, 80
 Moments, 122, 166, 166n
 Moon, 121-22, 170, 172-73, 186, 188n, 189
 Moon in the forehead, 193
 Moral virtues, 201
 muditā, 83, 166
 Mudrā(s), 61, 63, 69, 69n, 70, 80, 83, 93, 95, 97, 102, 102n, 104, 109, 110n, 118, 163, 177, 177n, 178, 187, 187n, 188n, 203, 204n
 mūla-bandha, 188n
 Mūlādhāra-cakra, 110n, 162n, 166, 193
 Mystic circles, 57, 61-62, 69, 177 ;
 practices, 115n ;
 syllables, 63, 67 ;
 union, 109
 Nairātma, 112, 180-81, 155, 171, 174, 190
 nartakī (Prajñā as), 114
 Natural path, 198
 Navel region, 189, 189n
 nāda, 68, 169n
 Nāḍis, 188n
 Nāgārjuna, 18, 20-23, 86, 44, 62, 102, 146, 200
 Nāgārjuna-pāda, 51, 116, 149
 Nāthism, 72
 Negativists, 44
 Nepalese Buddhism, 107, 109
 Nerve system, 169

Nihil, 40
 Nihilism, 82, 86
 Nihilistic, 43
 niḥsvabhāva, 28
 Nikāya(s), 167-68
 Nirākāra-vādins, 41
 nirmāṇa-cakra, 123, 158, 165-68, 168n, 178, 192, 195
 nirmāṇa-kāya, 18-15, 106, 168, 167, 169n, 171
 nirupādhi-śeṣa, 126, 146
 nirupādhi-śeṣa samādhi, 56
 nirvāṇa, 7-8, 11-14, 22-23, 37, 43-45, 48, 55-56, 56n, 79, 99-100, 102, 116, 118, 142-51, 153-59, 161, 177, 186, 190, 192-98, 207 ;
 dhātu, 143, 149 ;
 without any residual substratum, 146 ;
 with some residual substratum, 146
 niṣprapañca, 191
 niṣṛīti, 3, 107-8, 110, 111n, 125
 Non-affirmation (adhyāropa), 80
 Non-causality, 21
 Non-dual, 3, 28, 30, 49-50, 180, 140n, 153 ;
 knowledge, 154-55, 202 ;
 nature, 156, 202 ;
 quintessence, 152 ;
 substance, 202n ;
 truth, 155 ;
 union, 180 ;
 Non-duality, 3, 11, 98, 100, 104, 112, 127, 154-55, 164
 Non-enlightenment, 26
 Non-ens, 28
 Non-negation (anapavāda), 80
 Non-phenomenon, 191
 Non-subjectivity, 126
 Non-substantial nature, 21
 Non-void, 26, 44
 Nyāya-tantra, 2
 Nyāya-vaishēṣika, 4
 Objectivity, 23, 27-35, 44, 87, 95, 99n, 104, 171
 Objectless consciousness, 80
 Oneness of the transcendental emotion, 139
 Oneness of the universe, 188
 Oneness underlying phenomena, 147
 Ovum, 129

- pabbajjā*, 176
 Padma, 70, 82, 166, 185
 Padma-nartēsvara, 94n
 Padma-nātha, 188
 Padma-pāṇi, 97
 Padma-vajra (god), 79, (author), 188
 Pali Buddhism, 5
palita, 169n
 Pandit Advaya-vajra, 41
pañcābhijñātvā, 187
pañca-bhūta, 94-95
pañca-kāma, 181
pañca-kāma-guṇa, 70
pañca-makāra, 70, 70n
pañca-tathāgata, 96
para (nerve), 169n
parabhāva, 20
paramā-nanda, 158, 158n, 157, 167, 191-98
paramārtha, 16
parameśvari, 164
paratantra, 85, 51
paratantra-svabhāva, 85
parikalpita, 85, 52
parinirvāṇa, 9
pariniṣpanna, 85, 52
pariniṣpatti, 85
 Parṇa-śavari, 82
 Patañjali, 65
 Pāṇḍarā, 78, 97, 123n, 180, 165-66
pāramārthika, 101, 179
pāramitā, 10, 13, 80, 80n, 82
Pāramitā-naya, 42, 60-61
 Pārvatī, 130, 132
 Pātañjala-yoga, 147
 Perfect bliss, 148, 160, 192-93
 Perfect enlightenment, 10, 78-79, 127, 150, 174, 181, 198, 205n ; knowledge, 9, 71, 100, 155, 177, 192, 200, 204 ; medium, 161 ; union, 43 ; vacuity, 112, 212 ; void, 53-54, 89 ; wisdom, 55, 92, 98, 152-53
 Perfectly enlightened one, 98, 157, 204n
 Perfectly motionless, 196
 Physiological analysis, 161
piṅgalā, 118-19, 164, 169, 196n, 170, 178, 188n
pitta, 178
 Plexus, 67, 161-68, 195-06
prabhākari, 11, 82
 Prajñā, 8, 11, 44, 46, 51-52, 64, 69n, 75-76, 76n, 82, 88, 96, 98, 100-26, 180-41, 149n, 152, 158n, 154, 165, 169, 169n, 171, 175, 177-78, 187, 200, 204, 204n, 206-11, 218
prajñā-bhīṣeka, 176-77
prajñā-jñānā-bhīṣeka, 177
 Prajñā-pāramitā, 14, 68-64, 98, 114, 116, 180
 Prajñā-pāramitā-mantra, 64
prajñā-pustaka, 82
 Prajñā-vardhini, 82
prajño-pāya, 70, 104, 171
prakāśa-mātra-tanu, 129
prakṛti (nerve), 169n
prakṛti-doṣa, 52-58
prakṛti-prabhāsvara, 88
pramuditā, 11, 82
praṇava, 65
praṇidhi, 166
prati-samkhyā nirodha, 146
pratitya-samutpāda, 18-19
pratyayodbhava, 84-85
pratyavekṣaṇa-jñāna, 95n
pratyāhāra, 181, 181n, 187
 Pratyeka-Buddha, 9, 13, 16
 Pratyeka-Buddha-yāna, 9, 16, 41, 71n
pravṛtti, 3, 107-11
 Prājñikas, 108
prāṇa, 74, 169n, 173, 184, 188n, 189n
prāṇa-vāyu, 74, 182
prāṇāyāma, 181-87
 Presiding deities, 159, 165
 Presiding goddess, 168n, 165
 Primal Enlightenment, 93
 Primal Lord, 95
 Primitive Buddhism, 142
 Primordial substance, 107
 Principle of defilement, 186-87, 182
 Principle of impurities, 53
 Principle of purity, 177
 Principle of union, 125, 128-29
prīti (dhyāna), 181
 Provisional truth (*samvṛti-satya*), 19, 126
 Pṛthag-jana, 78
pṛthivī (nerve), 162n
 Psycho-physical practices, 158
pudgala (puggala), 145, 177
pudgala-nairātmya, 32-33, 126

Pure consciousness, 15, 33, 35, 37, 76, 87, 95, 147-49, 164 ;
 effulgence, 195 ;
 vacuity, 45 ;
 wisdom, 102
 Puruṣa, 170 ; (nerve), 169n
 pūraka, 184

rajaki (Prajñā as), 114
 rajas, 169n, 170
 rakṣā, 65
 rakta, 111, 169n
 rasanā, 118-19, 121, 164-65, 169-73
 Ratna-ketu, 94, 99n
 Ratna-nātha, 64n, 82, 94, 138
 Ratna-pāni, 97
 Ratna-sambhava, 64, 94, 95n, 97, 99n, 180, 159, 173, 177
 ravi, 169n
 rāga, 103, 105, 135-40
 rāga-kula, 78, 97
 Rāhu, 173
 Rāja-vajra, 94n
 rākiṇi, 163n, 166
 Realisation of the void (nairātmya-darśana), 43
 recaka, 184
 Receptacle mind, 26
 Red matter, 129
 Reflected awareness, 30
 Refulgent body, 13
 retus (nerve), 169n
 Right nerve, 125
 Root-instincts, 26, 28, 33-34, 147
 rūpa, 32, 47, 94, 94n, 97
 rūpa-dhātu, 46

Sacral plexus, 162
 Sacro-coccygeal plexus
 sad-dharma, 13
 Sahaja, 68, 74, 77, 135, 138, 141, 149, 149n, 158, 193-94, 203-4 ; —bliss, 112, 131, 136, 157, 164, 170, 191, 194 ; —damsel, 113, 171, 174 ; —form, 180n ; —kāya, 12, 90, 163 ; —nature, 178, 180n, 136, 198, 202 ; —prema, 107 ; —sundari, 190 ; —tanu, 105n ; —yāna, 61, 71, 77, 90, 158-59 ; —yānists, 143
 Sahajānanda, 167, 191-93
 Sahajiyā, 60, 77 ; Buddhist school, 60 ; sect, 90 ; school, 77-78
 sahajoli, 139n

sahasrāra (-padma), 110n, 156, 162-63, 170, 189
 sallakṣaṇa, 28
 Samanta-bhadra, 97
 samarasa, 138-41, 211, 217
 samatā-jñāna, 95n, 177
 samaya, 92 ; —kula, 97 ;
 —mudrā, 123n, 165, 191 ;
 —sattva, 92
 Samādhi, 137, 181, 181n, 187 ;
 —kāya, 14 ;
 —mudrā, 97
 samāna, 184
 sambhoga-cakra, 123, 153, 164, 166, 192 ; —kāya, 12-15, 106, 124, 163, 167, 169n, 171
 sambodhi, 79
 sambuddha, 93
 Sameness (samatā), 24, 26
 samprajñāta-samādhi, 147
 samyak sambuddha, 7
 saṃjñā, 94, 94n, 97
 saṃkleśa, 29
 saṃsāra, 23, 26, 43-45, 55, 125, 143, 154
 saṃskāra, 31, 94, 94n, 97, 101, 143
 saṃvidī, 163 ; —vāda, 167-68
 saṃvṛta, 124, 179
 saṃvṛti (-tti), 126, 134 ; —satya, 179
 Sanskrit Buddhism, 5
 Saṅgiti, 91, 91n, 122, 130, 133
 saṅkrānti, 167
 Saraha-pāda, 59, 99, 115, 213
 sarasvatī, 170
 Sarva-buddha-kroṣatī, 32
 sarva-dharmā-pratiṣṭhāna-vāda, 41
 sarva-śūnya, 51, 53, 126
 Sarvāsti, 172 ; —vāda, 167-68 ;
 —vādins, 27
 sattva (guṇa), 170
 Sautrāntika(s), 17, 41-42, 145-46
 savupādhi-sesa-nibhāna, 146
 sādhana (-nas, -nā), 1-2, 49-50, 55, 57n, 69, 78, 81, 83-85, 111, 113, 124-25, 130-31, 160, 167, 176-77, 190-91, 212-13
 sādhumatī, 11, 32
 Sākāra-vādins, 41
 sāmarasya, 141
 Sāmkhya, 4, 19, 94, 111 ; —tantra, 2
 Seed, 129
 Self-particularisation, 26
 Self-produced knowledge, 165

Self-realisation, 139
 Self-sufficient nature, 21
sevā, 167
 Sexo-yogic practice (s), 57n, 62, 74,
 135-37, 158, 160, 185, 197-98
 Shingon sect, 109
 Siddhācāryas, 58, 59n, 118, 175
sita bindu, 129
 Six parts of yoga, 181, 181n
 Six Tathāgatas, 176
 Sixteen digits of the moon, 164, 193
skandha(s), 94-97, 99n, 159, 165
smṛti, 24, 24n, 26, 126
soma (nerve), 169n
sopādhiśeṣa, 126, 146
 Sorceries, 70
 Sound vibration, 203
 Southern Buddhism, 5
 Spinal cord, 161-62, 170
stambhana, 80
 State of bliss, 167
 State of union, 3, 14
 Sthavira-vāda, 167-68
 Sthāvarī, 168
sthira (nerve), 169n
 Sthiramati, 27
sthūla (nerve), 169n
 Subjectivity, 23, 25, 27-35, 76, 79,
 87, 95, 99n, 104, 171
 Subliminal mind-store, 30
 Successional series, 21
 Suchness, 28, 25, 101
sudurjayā, 11, 82
sukha, 152-53, 181
sukha-cakra, 168
 Sukhāvati, 149, 156-57
 Sun, 121-22, 170, 172, 186, 188n
 Supernatural knowledge, 187
 Supreme Being, 90
 Supreme bliss, 49, 116, 144, 151-58,
 165, 179
 Supreme realisation, 100
suṣumnā, 118, 169-73
suṣupta, 194
suvisuddha-dharma-dhātu-jñāna, 95n
sūkṣma (nerve), 169n
sūrya, 169, 169n
 Sūtra(s), 17, 63
svabhāva, 21
 Svabhāva-kāya, 12
svalakṣaṇa, 23, 80
 Svābhāvika, 107

Svābhāvika school, 107-8
svādhi-daivata-yoga, 92
 Svādhiṣṭhāna, 98, 126
svādhiṣṭhāna-cakra, 162n, 166
svādhiṣṭhāna-citta, 52
svātantrya, 189
śabdās, 68
 Śaiva Tantras, 128
 Śakti(s), 3, 78, 95, 110-12, 119, 129-
 32, 186, 141, 163n, 169n, 170, 172,
 189-90
śaśin (nerve), 169n
 Śavara, 61
śākinī, 163n, 166
 Śakta Tantra, 128
 Śākyamuni, 69
 Śākyasīmha Buddha, 14
 Śānti-pāda, 214n
 Śiva, 3, 108, 110-12, 129-30, 132, 141
 163n, 169n, 172
śoṇa-bindu, 129
 Śrāvaka, 9, 18, 16 ; --yāna, 9, 16,
 41, 71n
 Śrī Heruka, 65
 Śrī-kāla-cakra, 54, 152n
 Śrīman-mahāsukha, 155
śukra, 169n
 Śūnyatā, 3, 10, 15, 25, 28-31, 36-37,
 42, 44, 48, 64, 75-76, 78, 86-88, 91,
 96, 98-106, 111-12, 116-21, 124, 128,
 132-34, 141, 147-48, 159n, 155, 170-
 71, 178, 178, 182 ; —doctrine, 22,
 51, 54 ; —essence, 88 ; —jñāna,
 98 ; —knowledge, 96, 115n ;
 —nature, 31, 98, 182 ; —percep-
 tion, 111 ; —perspective, 181 ;
 —yāna, 37
 Śūnyavāda, 4, 16-18, 36, 50
 Śūnyavādins, 18, 35, 37
 Śaḍakṣari-lokeśvari-sādhanam, 50, 56
śaḍaṅga-yoga, 181

tamas, 169n, 170
tanmātra, 94-95
 Tao, 110 ; —ism, 110-11 ; —ists, 111
tarka, 181n
 Tathāgata(s), 18, 47, 64n, 88, 99n,
 131, 133 ; —vighraha, 13
tathatā, 18, 23-25-27, 31, 35, 101,
 106, 109 ; —doctrine, 147 ;
 —*garbha*, 109 ; —nature, 37 ;
 —*rūpa*, 12 ; —*vāda*, 18n, 23, 37

tattva(s), 77, 188, 187, 161, 166, 167.
 174; —*bhāvanā*, 44, 104; —*jñāna*,
 46
 Tāntric esoterism, 86 ; —practices,
 80 ; —rituals, 70 ; —theology, 4
 Tāntricism, 2, 3, 61, 70, 71
 Tārā, 97, 165-66
 Tei, 110
 Ten Buddhas, 178
 Thatness, 12, 23, 24, 31, 49, 106, 109,
 126, 147
 Thera, 6 ; —*vāda*, 168
 Three elements, 201
 Three kāyas (*tri-kāya*), 12, 14-15.
 37, 75, 90, 104, 163, 171
 Thought-construction, 48-50, 99n,
 140, 149-51, 174, 191, 202n, 207,
 212
 Thunder-element, 109
 Thunder or void girl, 81
 Thunder woman, 157, 203n
 Thunderbolt vehicle, 72
 Tillo-pāda, 59n, 112, 191
 Totality of the universe, 147
 Totality of things (*bhūta-koṭi*), 31
trailokya-vijaya, 61
 Transcendental bliss; 156 ; existence
 (*bhāva-mātra*), 28 ; state, 211
 Transformation Body, 15
 Transformation of consciousness.
 88-84
 Transforming the sex-pleasure, 160
 Transubstantiate, 190
 Transubstantiated divine body, 196
tri-dhātu, 201
tri-koṣākāra-yantra, 108n
tri-veṇī, 170
turīya, 194
 Tuṣita heaven, 62
 Two veils, 85

uccāṣana, 80
udāna, 184
uḍḍīyāna-bandha, 188n
 Ultimate element, 155 ; —intention
 (*abhiprāya*), 32 ; —nature, 154,
 179, 180n, 216 ; —reality, 175 ;
 —state, 159 ; —substance, 107,
 159 ; —truth, 126, 156, 161
 Uncompromising negativists, 86
 Uncompromising nihilism, 15
 Underlying oneness, 188
 Undisturbed oneness, 211

Union of wisdom with matter, 110
 Universal compassion, 7-9, 46, 54,
 58, 88, 98, 125, 166, 200, 204
 Universalisation of the self, 199
upa-hṛdaya, 65
upa-nāḍī, 169
 Upaniṣads, 36-88, 65, 146, 160 ;
 —śadic, 88, 90
 Upaniṣadic monism, 4, 18
upapatti, 82
upasampadā, 176
upa-sevā, 167
upāya, 3, 51-52, 76, 76n, 100-26, 135-
 41, 149n, 152, 153n, 154, 165, 169,
 169n, 171, 177-78, 187, 200, 204,
 204n, 206, 208, 209-13
upāya-kauśalya, 102n
upekṣṭi, 88, 166
 Upper Tantra, 71n
uṣṇiṣa-kamala, 156, 158, 162-63, 165,
 177, 188, 188n, 195
 Uṣṇiṣa-vijayā, 82

 Vacuity, 44, 46, 112, 113, 202, 216-17
 Vaibhāṣika, 17, 41
 Vairocana, 14, 64, 64n, 78, 94, 94n,
 95n, 97, 99n, 109, 130, 159, 178, 177
 Vaiṣṇava Sahajiyā, 173
 Vaiṣṇava Tantra, 72n
 Vajra, 52, 70, 78, 80-83, 86-87, 92,
 117-18, 127, 158, 158n, 177 ;
 —*bhairava*, 78 ; —*bhāskari*, 81 ;
 —*cetanī*, 81 ; —*dhara*, 98, 124,
 130-31, 148, 155 ; —*dhātu*, 109,
 110n ; —*dhātviśvari*, 78, 81, 97,
 180 ; —*humkari*, 81 ; —*jñāna*, 48,
 177 ; —*jāpa*, 185 ; —*kanyā*, 81,
 113 ; —*kāya*, 12, 89-90 ; —*khecari*
 81 ; —*knowledge*, 134 ; —*mohini*,
 81 ; —*nature*, 78, 81, 198 ;
 —*nārī*, 208n ; —*nātha*, 183 ;
 —*pāṇi*, 47, 97 ; —*rasanī*, 81 ;
 —*rūpiṇī*, 81 ; —*sarasvatī-sādha-*
nam, 114n ; —*sattva*, 64, 75-76,
 86-91, 98, 96, 98, 100, 124-25, 127,
 130-31, 137, 148, 152-58, 155, 178 ;
 —*sattvahood*, 187 ; —*sattvātmikā*,
 98 ; —*sparsinī*, 81 ; —*sūrya*, 94n ;
 —*tārā*, 78 ; —*tārā-sāadhanam*, 50 ;
 —*trāsani*, 81 ; —*threads*, 181 ;
 —*vairocana*, 180 ; —*vārāhī*, 81,
 98, 180-81 ; —*vikāṣinī*, 81 ; —*vilā-*
sini ; —*virāsinī*, 81 ; —*yāna*, 46,

- 61, 70-75, 77-82, 86-87, 90-91, 93, 140n, 155, 158-59, 202, 212 ; —yānists, 12, 60, 80, 87-90, 118, 205-06 ; —yoṣit, 133, 157
- Vajrā, 170
- Vajrābhīṣeka, 176
- Vajrācārya, 72
- Vajrāsana-sādhana, 83
- Vajrāsani, 81
- Vajreśvari, 81
- vajrolī, 188n
- vajroṇi-mudrā, 188n
- vajropama-meditation, 127
- vaṁ, 169, 169n
- varada mudrā, 97
- varṇa, 67-68
- Vasubandhu, 18, 27, 31, 66, 67, 147
- Vasumatī, 82
- vaśīkaraṇa, 80
- vāhana, 95, 97, 182
- vāma, 119, 169n
- Vārāhī, 56-57, 107, 181, 134n, 155
- vāsanā, 24, 26, 28, 31-34, 101, 126, 148
- vāyu, 52-53, 173, 182 ; -tattva, 182
- vedanā, 94, 94n, 97
- Vedānta, 4, 35-36, 39, 111 ; -tins, 27n, 85-86 ; -tists, 179 ; -tic, 16, 90, 111 ; -tic monism, 18
- Vehicle of great bliss, 149n
- Veils of subjectivity, 192
- vicāra, 181
- vicitra, 166, 191, 194
- vidveṣaṇa, 80
- Vihāra, 169
- viñāpti, 82
- viñāpti-mātratā, 15, 33, 87, 147-48
- viñāna, 24, 28, 42, 94, 94n, 96-97 ; -pariñāma, 33 ; -vāda, 4, 17, 23, 27, 50, 50n, 95, 147-48, 168 ; -vādins, 15, 18, 23, 27n, 33, 35, 37, 87, 90, 148
- vilakṣaṇa, 167, 191, 194
- vimalā, 11, 82
- vimarda, 166, 191, 194
- vimarśa, 129
- vipāka, 166, 191, 194
- vīramānanda, 153, 153n, 157, 167, 191-98
- virāga, 105n, 185, 140, 140n, 180n
- viśuddha-cakra, 162n, 163, 166
- viśva-padma, 164
- Viśva-pāṇi, 97
- Viśva-rūpa jewel, 211
- Viśva-vajra-mudrā, 97
- viśva-varṇa-kamala, 84n
- Vital wind, 185-88
- vilarka, 181
- vivṛta, 124, 179, 180n
- vidyā, 169n
- Void (*śūnya*), 1-10, 13, 15, 17, 23, 25, 27-28, 30, 42, 48, 51-53, 61, 81, 84, 87, 99n, 123, 139, 154, 186, 204, 212-13 ; —consciousness, 96 ; —element, 134, 153 ; —mind, 112 ; —nature, 66, 147, 202
- Vow of renunciation, 176
- Vowels, 121, 172-73
- vyavadāna, 29
- vyāna, 184
- vyāvahārika, 179
- Wheel of time, 73
- Whirl of time, 74
- White matter, 129
- Womb of the Tathāgata (*tathāgata-garbha*), 26
- World force, 189
- World illusion, 186
- yabyum*, 109, 130
- yanunā, 169-71
- yantra, 108n, 161
- Yāna(s), 15-16, 82, 104
- Yātnika school, 107n
- yin-yang, 109-10
- Yoga, 11 ; —philosophy, 65, 72 ; —sādhana, 178 ; —tantra, 71n, 79 ; —tantra-yāna, 71n
- Yoga with six parts, 181
- Yogācāra, 16-18, 27, 37, 41-42, 62
- Yogācārins, 39, 41-42
- Yogic circle, 203n, 204n ; —practices, 41, 49-50, 69n, 81, 156, 158, 174-75, 177, 180n, 184, 191, 202n ; —processes, 189, 202n ; —union, 178
- Yoginī, 118, 174
- Yogopaniśads, 169
- yonī-mudrā, 188n
- yuganaddha, 126-28, 140, 202
- yuvatī, 113